
Louisiana Housing Finance Agency



Multifamily Rental Housing Program

Brenda Evans, Program Administrator
Loretta Wallace, Program Administrator
Marjorianna Willman, Program Manager

April 6, 2011

Table of Contents

Memo to Commissioners.....	3
Agenda.....	5
Minutes from March 16, 2011 Multifamily Committee Meeting.....	7
Decision Brief – Burnette Place Subdivision	10
Resolution – Burnette Place Subdivision.....	11
Project Summary – Burnette Place Subdivision	20
Resolution – Burnette Place Subdivision.....	29
Decision Brief – The Reserve at Jefferson Crossings Apartments.....	32
Resolution – The Reserve at Jefferson Crossings Apartments	33
Resolution –TCAP Awards.....	36
2011 TCAP Preliminary Awards List	42
Memo for Awards of Remaining TCAP Funds.....	45
Resolution – Credit Swap (Draft).....	47
Resolution – 30% Basis Boost Extension.....	50
Legal Memo on GO Zone DDA	54
Resolution – 2011 Draft QAP	57
2011 Draft QAP and Selection Criteria.....	63
2011 QAP Comments.....	140
Stakeholders Meeting Notes.....	163

MEMORANDUM

To: Chairman Guy T. Williams
Commissioner Donald B. Vallee
Commissioner Adena R. Boris
Commissioner Mayson H. Foster
Commissioner Katie Anderson
Commissioner Neal P. Miller

From: Loretta Wallace, Program Administrator
Brenda Evans, Program Administrator

Date: April 13, 2011

Re: Multifamily Rental Housing Program Committee

There will be a Multifamily Rental Housing Program Committee meeting, Wednesday, April 13, 2011 at 10:30 A.M. at the Louisiana Housing Finance Agency, V. Jean Butler Board Room, located at 2415 Quail Drive, Baton Rouge, LA 70808.

The following Resolutions will be presented to the Board:

- Resolutions to issue Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds (**Burnette Place Subdivision, Payne Street, Houma, Louisiana**) in one or more series to finance the acquisition, rehabilitation and equipping of multiple multifamily housing developments within the State of Louisiana; and to establish the maximum qualified basis and low-income housing credits **Burnette Place Subdivision #2010-03BF (Payne Street, Houma, Louisiana 70363)**; authorizing the Agency staff and counsel to prepare the forms of such documents and agreements as may be necessary to allocate 4% Low Income Housing Tax Credits to such facilities; and providing for other matters in connection therewith.
- A resolution authorizing the addition of fourteen thousand, two hundred and sixty-six dollars (\$14,266.00) in 4% Low Income Housing Tax Credits for a total reservation of seven hundred fifty-seven thousand, three hundred fifty-seven dollars (\$757,357.00) of 4% Low Income Housing Tax Credits to **The Reserve at Jefferson Crossing Apartments, #06-514BF (17220 Jefferson Highway, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70817)**; and providing for other matters in connection therewith.
- A resolution providing for an **Award of Tax Credit Assistance Program Funds ("TCAP Funds")** to certain residential rental facilities and providing for other matters in connection therewith.

- A discussion and resolution regarding a credit swap of GO Zone Credits for Per Capita Credits; and providing for other matters in connection therewith.
- A resolution extending to December 31, 2011 the eligibility of the 30% Basis Boost for projects currently awarded GO Zone and Ike Credits; and providing for other matters in connection therewith.
- A resolution approving 2011 Draft QAP; and providing for other matters in connection therewith.
- Update on 2011 Funding Round and Stakeholders' Meeting.
- Update on GO Zone Projects and Awards.
- Update on LIHTC Projects.

Other Business

April 5, 2011

MULTIFAMILY RENTAL HOUSING COMMITTEE MEETING

Notice is hereby given of a regular meeting of the Multifamily Rental Housing Program Committee will be held on **Wednesday, April 13, 2011 at 10:30A.M.**, at Louisiana Housing Finance Agency, **V. Jean Butler Board Room**, located at 2415 Quail Drive, Baton Rouge, LA by order of the Chairman.

AGENDA

1. Call to order, roll call and introduction of guests.
2. Approval of the March 16, 2011 Multi-Family Committee meeting minutes.
3. Multifamily Update
 - Resolutions to issue Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds (**Burnette Place Subdivision, Payne Street, Houma, Louisiana**) in one or more series to finance the acquisition, rehabilitation and equipping of multiple multifamily housing developments within the State of Louisiana; and to establish the maximum qualified basis and low-income housing credits **Burnette Place Subdivision #2010-03BF (Payne Street, Houma, Louisiana 70363)**; authorizing the Agency staff and counsel to prepare the forms of such documents and agreements as may be necessary to allocate 4% Low Income Housing Tax Credits to such facilities; and providing for other matters in connection therewith.
 - A resolution authorizing the addition of fourteen thousand, two hundred and sixty-six dollars (\$14,266.00) in 4% Low Income Housing Tax Credits for a total reservation of seven hundred fifty-seven thousand, three hundred fifty-seven dollars (\$757,357.00) of 4% Low Income Housing Tax Credits to **The Reserve at Jefferson Crossing Apartments, #06-514BF (17220 Jefferson Highway, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70817)**; and providing for other matters in connection therewith.
 - A resolution providing for an **Award of Tax Credit Assistance Program Funds ("TCAP Funds")** to certain residential rental facilities and providing for other matters in connection therewith.
 - A discussion and resolution regarding a credit swap of GO Zone Credits for Per Capita Credits; and providing for other matters in connection therewith.

- A resolution extending to December 31, 2011 the eligibility of the 30% Basis Boost for projects currently awarded GO Zone and Ike Credits; and providing for other matters in connection therewith.
 - A resolution approving 2011 Draft QAP; and providing for other matters in connection therewith.
 - Update on 2011 Funding Round and Stakeholders' Meeting.
 - Update on GO Zone Projects and Awards.
 - Update on LIHTC Projects.
4. Other Business
 5. Adjournment

Milton J. Bailey, LHFA President

If you require special services or accommodations, please contact Barry E. Brooks at
(225) 763-8773 or via email bbrooks@lhfa.state.la.us

Pursuant to the provisions of LSA-R.S. 42:17, upon two-thirds vote of the members present, the Board of Commissioners of the Louisiana Housing Finance Agency may choose to enter executive session, and by this notice, the Agency reserves its right to go into executive session as provided by Law.

**Louisiana Housing Finance Agency
Multifamily Committee Meeting Minutes
Wednesday, March 16, 2011
2415 Quail Drive
Baton Rouge, LA 70808
10:30 A.M.**

Committee Members Present

Commissioner Donald B. Vallee
Commissioner Mayson H. Foster
Commissioner Katie Anderson
Commissioner Adena R. Boris

Committee Members Absent

Chairman Guy T. Williams
Commissioner Neal P. Miller

Other Commissioners Present

Chair of Full Board Allison A. Jones

Staff Present

MaKeisha Johnson-August
Milton J. Bailey
Ronald Burrough
Nicole Carter
Annie Clark
Joseph Durnin
Brenda Evans
Urshala Hamilton
Wendy Hall
LaTosha Overton
Ricky Patterson
Terri Ricks
Annie Robinson
Louis Russell
Leslie Strahan
Loretta Wallace
Marjorianna Willman

Counsel Present

Wayne Neveu, Foley & Judell

Guests Present

Attached

Call to order, roll call, and introduction of guests. Chairperson Allison Jones called the meeting to order at 10:40 a.m. and asked for a roll call. A quorum was established.

Approval of the Minutes. Commissioner Donald Vallee moved to approve the February 9, 2011 Multifamily Committee minutes. Commissioner Katie Anderson seconded the motion, and the motion was unanimously approved for recommendation to the Full Board.

- **Resolutions accepting the proposal of Bank of America, N.A. or such other purchaser as may be designated by the Developer for the purchase of not to exceed Twenty-Two Million Dollars (\$22,000,000) Louisiana Housing Finance Agency Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds and establishing the maximum qualified basis and low-income housing credits to *1501 Canal Apartments #2010-05BF* (1501 Canal Street, New Orleans, LA 70112); authorizing the Agency staff and counsel to prepare the forms of such documents and agreements as may be necessary; and providing for other matters in connection therewith.**

Mr. Vallee moved to approve the resolutions for both Bond financing and Tax Credits, which was seconded by Ms. Anderson and was unanimously approved for recommendation to the Full Board.

- **A resolution establishing the maximum qualified basis and low-income housing credits *The Elysian Apartments #2010-02BF* (North 13th Street & Spanish Town Road, Baton Rouge, LA 70802); authorizing the Agency staff and counsel to prepare the forms of such documents and agreements as may be necessary to allocate 4% Low Income Housing Tax Credits to such facilities; and providing for other matters in connection therewith.**

Ms. Anderson made a motion to approve the resolution, which was seconded by Mr. Vallee and was unanimously approved for recommendation to the Full Board.

- **A resolution clarifying policy regarding the awarding of unallocated and/or returned *GO Zone Credits* to qualified low-income buildings in the GO Zone that will be placed in service prior to January 1, 2012 as authorized by the LHFA Board via resolution at its regular meeting on January 19, 2011; and providing for other matters in connection therewith.**

There was discussion among the committee members regarding the late addition of the resolution to the material. Mr. Vallee made a motion to approve the resolution, which was seconded by Ms. Anderson and was unanimously approved for recommendation to the Full Board.

- **A resolution regarding the removal of the Managing General Partnership for *Villas at Angel Point*, #2010-66, (located at 323 Patterson Street, Lafayette, LA 70501) as stipulated in the 2010 Qualified Allocation Plan (QAP) by Louisiana Housing Finance Agency with respect to project submitting a request for such removal of the managing general partnership; and providing for other matters in connection therewith.**

After some discussion by committee members, Mr. Vallee made a motion to approve the resolution, which was seconded by Commissioner Adena Boris and was approved by all commissioners with the exception of Ms. Anderson who abstained from voting on the issue.

- **A resolution providing for an award of *Tax Credit Assistance Program Funds* (“TCAP Funds”) to certain residential rental facilities; authorizing the Agency's staff, General Counsel, and Foley & Judell, L.L.P as the Agency’s TCAP Asset Manager, to prepare the forms of such documents and agreements as may be necessary to award TCAP Funds in accordance with HUD Notice CPD-09-03 – REV issued May 4, 2009 but revised July 27, 2009 (the “HUD TCAP Notice”); and providing for other matters in connection therewith.**

Staff requested this item be deferred until the April 13, 2011 committee meeting.

- **Update on GO Zone Projects and Awards.**

There was discussion among members of the committee and Ms. Evans regarding the GO Zone projects, interviews and awards.

- **Update on 2011 Stakeholders’ Meeting.**

Ms. Evans gave the meeting information and there was discussion among the committee members regarding avoiding major changes to the QAP. Ms. Evans gave the following information regarding the meeting location: Monday, March 28, 2011 at 10:00 a.m., Sojourner Truth Neighborhood Center, 2200 Lafitte Street, New Orleans, LA 70119.

- **Other Business.**

Vernon Martin and Attorney Ernest Johnson came before the committee to petition assistance regarding Gateway Subdivision #2010-10. Commissioners discussed the issue and expressed understanding to Mr. Martin’s request.

- **Adjournment.**

A motion to adjourn was made by Ms. Jones and seconded by Mr. Vallee at 11:11 a.m.

DECISION BRIEF:

The issuance of \$4,100,000 in Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds and allocation of \$185,146 in 4% Low Income Housing Credits for Burnette Place Subdivision located in Houma, Louisiana, Terrebonne Parish

Issue

Burnette Place Limited Partnership, 6747 Renoir Avenue, Suite A, Baton Rouge, LA is requesting the Louisiana Housing Finance Agency to issue Multifamily Revenue Bonds in an amount not to exceed \$4,100,000 to allocate \$185,146 in 4% Low Income Housing Credits for the development of 35 single family lease purchase units located on Payne Street, Houma, Terrebonne Parish, Louisiana. Twenty-four (24) of the units will be set aside for >50<=60% AMI and eleven (11) units at market rate.

In addition to the Bond Proceeds, other sources of funding to be utilized in the construction of this development will be \$3,200,000 from CDBG; \$1,694,478 from Low-Income Housing Tax Credit Equity; and \$217,206 from Deferred Development fee.

This proposal consists of a 15-year Lease Purchase Cooperative Homeownership Program with sixteen (16) three-bedroom homes; six (6) which will be Permanent Supportive Housing; and nineteen (19) four-bedroom homes. These single family workforce homes will be located in a mixed income subdivision with an office/clubhouse and day care center. Project amenities include a range/oven, refrigerator, dishwasher, disposal, ceiling fans patio, microwave oven, central heating and air conditioning, and laundry facility in addition to washer/dryer hookups.

Market rents are proposed to be \$925.00 for a three-bedroom home and \$970.00 for a four-bedroom home. The 60% or less units will be priced at \$730.00 for a three-bedroom and \$802.00 for a four-bedroom.

Pros:

- LHFA will continue its mission of providing safe, decent and affordable housing for low to moderate-income families by utilizing its resources.
- The recommended action will enhance the housing stock for the citizens of Louisiana.

Cons: None

Recommendation:

Staff recommends approval of resolutions for the issuance of Multifamily Revenue Bonds and 4% Low Income Housing Tax Credits to further the mission of providing housing to the citizens of this state.

LOUISIANA HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY

The following resolution was offered by Commissioner _____ and seconded by Commissioner _____:

RESOLUTION

A resolution of intention to issue not exceeding Four Million, One Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$4,100,000) Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds (Burnette Place Subdivision Project) in one or more series to finance the acquisition, construction and equipping of a single multifamily housing development within the State of Louisiana; and providing for other matters in connection therewith.

WHEREAS, the Louisiana Housing Finance Agency (the "**Agency**") is authorized by Chapter 3-A of Title 40 of the Louisiana Revised Statutes of 1950, as amended (the "**Act**"), and other constitutional and statutory authority supplemental thereto, to issue revenue bonds to provide financing for multifamily rental housing in the State of Louisiana (the "**State**"); and

WHEREAS, developer listed in Schedule I hereto, (the "**Developer**") has met with officials of the Agency and has advised the Agency of the Developer's interest in the acquisition, rehabilitation and equipping of a multifamily housing facility, more particularly described in Schedule I hereto (the "**Project**") within the State, subject to the willingness of the Agency to finance the Project by the issuance of revenue bonds pursuant to the Act; and

WHEREAS, the Agency deems it necessary and advisable that it takes such action as may be required under applicable statutory provisions to authorize and issue revenue bonds in one or more series to finance the cost of the Project set forth in Schedule I hereto, together with costs incident to the authorization, issuance and sale of the bonds, the aggregate costs of the Project and costs of authorization, issuance and sale of the bonds being presently estimated to be the amount set forth in Schedule I hereto; and

WHEREAS, the Developer has stated its willingness to arrange for the acquisition, rehabilitation and equipping of the Project and to enter into contracts therefore; and

WHEREAS, the income tax regulations prescribed by the Internal Revenue Service require that the issuer of tax exempt bonds adopt a resolution with respect to such bonds or take the other similar "official action" towards the issuance of the bonds prior to the commencement of the acquisition, rehabilitation and equipping of an exempt facility bond project; and

WHEREAS, one purpose of this resolution is to satisfy the requirements of said income tax regulations with respect to the Project set forth in Schedule I hereto.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Commissioners of the Louisiana Housing Finance Agency, that:

SECTION 1. Pursuant to the authority of the Act and other constitutional and statutory authority supplemental thereto, the Project is hereby approved, and the financing of the acquisition, rehabilitation and equipping thereof through the issuance of revenue bonds of the Agency pursuant to the Act is hereby authorized in one or more series and in a sufficient principal amount presently estimated as set forth in Schedule I hereto. It is the intent of this resolution to induce the financing of the Project. This resolution is the affirmative official action of the Agency acting by and through its Board of Commissioners towards the issuance of its special, limited obligation revenue bonds in accordance with the Constitution and statutes of the State and the United States Treasury Department Regulations, Section 1.150-2. It is recognized and agreed that the Developer may exercise its rights and perform its obligations with respect to the financing of the Project either through (i) the Developer itself; (ii) any "related person" as defined in Section 147(a)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"); or (iii) any legal successor thereto, respectively, subject to approval of the Agency's Bond Counsel, hereinafter employed.

SECTION 2. The costs of financing the Project will be paid out of the proceeds from the sale of the bonds, in one or more series, which shall be special, limited obligations of the Agency, payable solely out of the revenues derived by the Agency with respect to the Project for which financing is made available, and the bonds and the interest thereon shall never constitute the debt or indebtedness of the Agency, the State, or any political subdivision thereof within the meaning of any provision or limitation of the Constitution or statutes of the State, nor shall the

same give rise to a pecuniary liability of the Agency or the State or any political subdivision thereof or a charge against their general credit or taxing power, and such limitation shall be plainly stated on the face of the bonds.

SECTION 3. The issuance of not exceeding Four Million, One Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$4,100,000) aggregate principal amount of Single-Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds (Burnette Place Subdivision Project) in one or more series (the "**Bonds**") of the Agency, pursuant to the Act, and other constitutional and statutory authority supplemental thereto, be and the same is hereby authorized and approved. In authorizing the issuance of the Bonds, the Agency will make no warranty, either expressed or implied, that the proceeds of the Bonds will be sufficient to pay the cost of the Project or that the Project will be suitable for the Developer's purposes or needs. The Bonds shall be sold by the Agency on such date as may be determined by the Chairman of the Board of Commissioners of the Agency, in accordance with the requirements of the Act, and pursuant to the provisions of the Notice of Intention to Sell at Private Sale attached hereto as Exhibit I.

By virtue of the Agency's application for, acceptance and utilization of the benefits of the Louisiana State Bond Commission's approval(s) resolved and set forth herein, it resolves that it understands and agrees that such approval(s) are expressly conditioned upon, and it further resolves that it understands, agrees and binds itself, its successors and assigns to, full and continuing compliance with the "State Bond Commission Policy on Approval of Proposed Use of Swaps, or other forms of Derivative Products Hedges, Etc.", adopted by the Commission on July 20, 2006, as to the borrowing(s) and other matter(s) subject to the approval(s), including subsequent application and approval under said Policy of the implementation or use of any swap(s) or other product(s) or enhancement(s) covered thereby.

SECTION 4. The operation of the Project, as well as the financing of the Project, will comply with all Federal, State and local laws and regulations, and the Developer will obtain all necessary approvals and permits required thereunder.

SECTION 5. The Chairman of the Board of Commissioners and/or the President of the Agency are authorized and directed to call for a public hearing with respect to the Project and the proposed revenue bonds to finance same in accordance with the requirements of Section 147(f) of the Code, and cause to be published appropriate notice of each public hearing in accordance with the Code.

SECTION 6. The officers of this Board of Commissioners and the President of the Agency are authorized and empowered to take any and all further action and to sign any and all documents, instruments and writings as may be necessary to carry out the purposes of this resolution and to file, on behalf of the Agency, with any governmental board or entity having jurisdiction over the Project, such applications or requests for approval thereof as may be required by law, including an application to the State Bond Commission for approval of the financing (provided that the application shall be made only in conjunction with an approving letter from the President of the Agency).

SECTION 7. The Chairman of the Board of Commissioners and/or the President is authorized to execute the standard form of the Preliminary Agreement.

SECTION 8. All commitments by the Agency herein with respect to the Project are subject to the condition that on or before 36 months from the date of adoption hereof, the Agency and the Developer shall have agreed to mutually acceptable terms for the financing documents and the sale and delivery of the Bonds or other obligations.

SECTION 9. That it is recognized that a real necessity exists for the employment of bond counsel in connection with the issuance of the Bonds; and accordingly, Foley & Judell, L.L.P., Bond Counsel, New Orleans, Louisiana, be and they are hereby employed as bond counsel to the Agency to do and to perform comprehensive, legal and coordinate professional work with respect thereto. The fee to be paid Bond Counsel shall be an amount based on the Attorney General's then current Bond Counsel Fee Schedule and other guidelines for comprehensive, legal and coordinate professional work in the issuance of revenue bonds applied to the actual aggregate principal amount issued, sold, delivered and paid for at the time the Bonds are delivered, together with reimbursement of out-of-pocket expenses incurred and advanced in connection with the issuance of the Bonds, subject to the Attorney General's written approval of said employment and fee.

SECTION 10. The Developer will comply with all rules, regulations and reviews of the Agency in effect or undertaken from time to time.

This resolution having been submitted to a vote, the vote thereon was as follows:

YEAS:

NAYS:

ABSENT:

And the resolution was declared adopted on this, the 13th day of April 2011.

Chairman

Secretary

SCHEDULE I

DEVELOPER: AL84 Development LLC

INITIAL OWNER/OPERATOR: Burnette Place Limited Partnership I

BOND AMOUNT: Not exceeding \$4,100,000

PROJECT NAME	LOCATION	ESTIMATED NUMBER OF UNITS	ESTIMATED TOTAL COST
Burnette Place Subdivision	Houma, Terrebonne Parish, LA	35	Approximately \$6,850,368

I, as authorized representative of the Developer, have reviewed the information above and hereby certify this Schedule I to be accurate and complete as of this date.

AL84 DEVELOPMENT LLC

By: _____

By: _____
Name: Will J. Belton

Date: _____

EXHIBIT I

NOTICE OF INTENTION TO SELL AT PRIVATE SALE

LOUISIANA HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY SINGLE-MULTIFAMILY HOUSING REVENUE BONDS (BURNETTE PLACE SUBDIVISION PROJECT) IN ONE OR MORE SERIES

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN in compliance with the provisions of Chapter 3-A of Title 40 of the Louisiana Revised Statutes of 1950, as amended (the "**Act**"), that the Louisiana Housing Finance Agency (the "**Agency**"), proposes to sell its Single-Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds (Burnette Place Subdivision Project) Series 2011 (the "**Bonds**") in aggregate principal amount of Four Million, One Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$4,100,000) in one or more series at a rate or rates not exceeding twelve percentum (12%) per annum. The Bonds are to be sold to Duncan Williams to finance the acquisition, construction and equipping of the Burnette Place Subdivision, located in Houma, Terrebonne Parish, Louisiana (the "**Project**") at a meeting of the Board of Commissioners of the Louisiana Housing Finance Agency scheduled for Wednesday, May 11, 2011, at ten (10:00) o'clock a.m., Louisiana time, at the office of the Louisiana Housing Finance Agency, 2415 Quail Drive, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70808. The Agency reserves the right to postpone the date, hour and place set forth above for the sale of the Bonds (without any further publication of notice of the change in the sale date, time and/or location). In the event the sale is postponed as provided above, anyone desiring written notice of the subsequent date and time which said sale is to be accomplished must request such notice from the President of the Agency. The Bonds will be sold pursuant to the terms of a resolution to be adopted by the Agency and a Trust Indenture (the "**Indenture**") to be executed by and between the Agency and a trustee bank.

The Bonds are being issued pursuant to the Act and the Indenture for the purpose of financing the acquisition, construction and equipping of a single-multifamily housing project and (ii) paying the costs of issuance associated with the Bonds. The Bonds are limited obligations of the Agency and will be payable solely out of the income, revenues and receipts derived from the funds and accounts held under and pursuant to the Indenture and pledged therefor. As provided in the Act and the Indenture, the Bonds do not constitute an obligation, either general or special, of the State of Louisiana, any municipality or any other political subdivision thereof.

The principal of and interest on the Bonds will be payable at the principal office of the paying agent or agents selected by the Agency in accordance with the provisions of the Indenture.

The Bonds will be dated as provided in the Indenture, will bear interest at such rate or rates established at the time of sale of the Bonds, payable on such dates as set forth in the Indenture, and will mature no later than forty (40) years from date of issuance.

The Bonds will be issued in fully registered form in the denominations as provided in the Indenture. Bonds will be transferable as provided in the Indenture.

This Notice of Sale of Bonds is being published in accordance with the requirements of the Louisiana Constitution and the Act. For a period of thirty (30) days from the date of publication hereof, any person or persons in interest shall have the right to contest the legality of this notice, the resolution, any provision of the Bonds to be issued pursuant to it, the provisions securing the Bonds, and the validity of all other provisions and proceedings relating to the authorization and issuance of the Bonds. If no action or proceeding is instituted within the thirty (30) days, no person may contest the validity of the Bonds, the provisions of the resolution pursuant to which the Bonds were issued, the security of the Bonds, or the validity of any other provisions or proceedings relating to their authorization and issuance, and the Bonds shall be presumed conclusively to be legal. Thereafter no court shall have authority to inquire into such matters.

For further information relative to the Bonds and not contained in this Notice, address Foley & Judell, L.L.P., Bond Counsel, One Canal Place, Suite 2600, 365 Canal Street, New Orleans, LA 70130.

BY ORDER OF THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS, acting as the governing authority of the Agency.

LOUISIANA HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY

Chairman

Secretary

STATE OF LOUISIANA
PARISH OF EAST BATON ROUGE

I, the undersigned Secretary of the Board of Commissioners of the Louisiana Housing Finance Agency, do hereby certify that the foregoing eight (8) pages constitute a true and correct copy of the resolution adopted by said Board of Commissioners on April 12, 2011, entitled: "A resolution of intention to issue not exceeding Four Million, One Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$4,100,000) Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds (Burnette Place Subdivision Project) in one or more series to finance the acquisition, construction and equipping of a single multifamily housing development within the State of Louisiana; and providing for other matters in connection therewith."

IN FAITH WHEREOF, witness my official signature and the impress of the official seal of the Agency on this, the 13th day of April 2011.

Secretary

(SEAL)

**LOUISIANA HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY
MULTI-FAMILY PROJECT SUMMARY**

Date: March 18, 2011

- (1) **PROJECT NAME:** **Burnette Place Subdivision**
- (2) **AMOUNT OF BOND
ISSUE REQUESTED
(NOT TO EXCEED):** \$4,100,000
- (3) **PROJECT DESCRIPTION:**
- 1) Number of Units 35
 - 2) Total Land Area 322,344 square feet
 - 3) Density: 4.7 # of Units per Acre Low
Rise X High Rise _____
 - 4) New Construction: Yes
Rehabilitation: _____
 - 5) Land Control: Current Legal Owner of Land:
Contract to Acquire Land by 4/30/11
(date)

or
Option to Acquire Land by _____
(date)
 - 6) Number of Parking Spaces Per Unit 2
 - 7) Census tract where Project located 7
 - 8) State Representative District 53
Name of Representative Damion J. Baldone
 - 9) State Senatorial District 20
Name of Senator Norby Chabert
- (4) **LOCATION:
(STREET ADDRESS OR
LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF
LAND AND PARISH):** **Payne Street, Houma, LA 70363**

- (5) **CONTACT PERSON
FOR PROJECT:** **Will J. Belton**

Phone: 225-926-8124
- (6) **DEVELOPMENT TEAM:**
- Developer: AL84 Development LLC
Contact: Will J. Belton
Phone: 225-926-8124
- Architect: MEL, Inc.
Contact: Morgan Watson
Phone: 225-927-7240

General Contractor: Amite Construction Company
 Contact: Mike Juneau
 Phone: 225-292-8872

Attorney: Coats, Rose, Yale, Holm, Ryman & Lee
 Contact: Kelly Longwell, Mattye Jones
 Phone: 504-299-3070/972-982-8453

Lending Institution to Originate Mortgage Loan (if known): Duncan-Williams, Inc.
 Contact: Don Clanton
 Phone: 901-260-6800

Provider of Credit Enhancement on Mortgage Loan (if known):
 Contact: _____
 Phone: _____

Investment Banker for Bonds Publicly Offered (if known): Duncan-Williams, Inc.
 Contact: Don Clanton
 Phone: 901-260-6800

Purchaser of Bonds for Bonds Privately Placed (if known):
 Contact: _____
 Phone: _____

(7) **ZONING:** A1 (residential) _____

(8) **UTILITIES:** All utilities are available to the site.

(9) **FAIR MARKET VALUE OF PROJECT PROPERTY:** \$ TBD _____
 Specify date of most recent appraisal: _____

(10) **FINANCIAL INFORMATION:** Amount

A. **SOURCES OF FUNDS:**

Bond Proceeds	\$4,100,000	44.5% Dev. Cost
Other Sources (list)		
CDBG	\$3,200,000	34.7% Dev. Cost
Tax Credit Equity	\$1,694,478	18.4% Dev. Cost
Deferred Developer Fee	\$ 217,206	2.4% Dev. Cost
TOTAL SOURCES OF FUNDS	\$9,211,684	

B. USES OF FUNDS:

(1)	LAND COSTS	\$ 165,000	\$0.51 per sq. ft.
(2)	BUILDING ACQUISITION COSTS (less Land costs)	\$ _____	\$ _____ per D/U
(3)	CONSTRUCTION (or Rehabilitation Costs)	\$4,763,714	\$136,106 per D/U
(4)	PROFESSIONAL FEES:		
		<u>Amount</u>	<u>% of Total Funds</u>
	Architectural	\$90,000	1.0%
	Engineering	\$10,000	0.1%
	Legal:		
	Counsel to Issuer	\$ 7,500	0.08%
	Bond Counsel	\$40,000	0.4%
	Special Tax Counsel (specify firm name)	\$ _____	_____ %
	Other Legal (specify firm & purpose)		
	Peck, Shaffer	\$30,000	
	TBD	\$ 5,000	
	TBD (Developer)	\$75,000	
	TBD (Lender)	\$30,000	
		\$ _____	_____ %
		\$ _____	_____ %
	Total Professional Fees	\$287,500	3.1%
(5)	UNDERWRITING:		
	Management Fee	\$ _____	
	Sales Commission	\$ _____	
	Underwriter's Counsel	\$ _____	
	Net to Underwriters	\$41,000	
	Expenses (list)		
	MSRP / CUSIP / PSA	\$ 3,500	
		\$ _____	
	Total Underwriting Fee:	\$44,500	

(6) COSTS OF ISSUANCE:

Printing	\$ _____
Publishing/Advertising/ Recording	\$ _____
Rating Expense	\$10,000
Letter of Credit Fees and other credit expenses	\$76,875
Consultants	\$15,583
Insurance	\$
Issuer's Financing Fees	\$6,500
Trustee Bank's initial fee and expenses	\$6,000
Other:	
Bond Commission Fees	\$7,500
Accountant Verification	\$ _____

(7) OTHER:

Pay down construction loan	\$2,300,000
Reserves	\$ 61,316
Other Soft Costs	\$1,467,196

TOTAL USES OF FUNDS \$9,211,684 \$263,191 per D/U

Amount of Permanent
Mortgage Requested \$1,800,000 19.5%
of Total Costs

(11) UNIT TYPES:

All (100%) Units

Low Income Units

Unit Type	No.	Total Sq. Ft.	No. of Unit Types Set Aside for 50% or less Area Median Income	Total Sq. Ft.	No. of Unit Types Set Aside for 60% or less Area Median Income	Total Sq. Ft.
Eff.	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
1 BR	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
2 BR	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
3 BR	11	1,250	_____	_____	11	13,750
4 BR	13	1,400	_____	_____	13	18,200
3 BR	5	1,250	Market-rate	_____	_____	_____
4 BR	6	1,400	Market-rate	_____	_____	_____
Other	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Total	35	46,600	_____	_____	_____	_____

Estimate Market Rents For
Non-Low Income Units:

<u>Unit Type</u>	<u>Total Units</u>	<u>Total Monthly Rent Per Non-LIU</u>	<u>Total Annual Rent of Non-LIU's</u>
Eff.	_____	_____	_____
1 BR	_____	_____	_____
2 BR	_____	_____	_____
3 BR	5	879	52,725
4 BR	6	922	66,348
Other	_____	_____	_____
Total	11		119,073

(12) **CURRENT RENTAL COSTS AND RELOCATION PLAN:**
(For Acquisition and/or Rehabilitation Projects Only)

<u>Unit Type</u>	<u>Monthly</u>	<u>Present Rent Annual</u>	<u>Sq. Ft./Month</u>
Eff.	_____	_____	_____
1 BR	_____	_____	_____
2 BR	_____	_____	_____
3 BR	_____	_____	_____
Other	_____	_____	_____
TOTAL	_____	_____	_____

Have interior and exterior photographs of Project been attached?

Yes _____ No _____

Will any of the present tenants be displaced because of higher rents due to rehabilitation?

Yes _____ No _____

If yes, approximately how many? _____

Is there a relocation plan? Yes _____ No _____

Please briefly describe relocation plan (Submit detailed relocation plan when completed)

(13) **VACANCY RATE:** The present vacancy rate in the general market area is < 5.0%
(per Mitchell R.E. Appraisals market study dated 4/28/10).

(14) **AREA MEDIAN INCOME:** Median income in area according to HUD is \$55,800.

(15) **ELECTION OF THE MINIMUM SET-ASIDE REQUIREMENT:**

The owner irrevocably elects one of the Minimum Set-Aside Requirements (Check one

only):

_____ At least 20% of the rental residential units in this development are rent restricted and to be occupied by individuals whose income is 50% or less of area median gross income.

X At least 40% of the rental residential units in this development are rent restricted and to be occupied by individuals whose income is 60% or less of the area median gross income.

(16) **OPTIONAL ELECTION:**

The owner elects to occupy 15% or more of all low-income units by tenants with income of 40% or less of area median income and the average rent charged to tenants in residential market rent units is at least 300% of the average rent charged to low-income tenants:
Yes _____ No X

(17) **EQUAL OPPORTUNITY:**

Do you agree to provide equal opportunity to members of minority groups and to employ such groups in the Project's development in the roles of, including but not limited to, contractor, subcontractor, employee, laborer, agent, appraiser, or supplier?
Yes X No _____

(18) **STATE BOND COMMISSION TENANT BENEFIT PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS:**

State Bond Commission Rule No. HS2-1993 "Rule Relative to State Bond Commission Multifamily Housing Applicants" provides as follows with respect to applications submitted to the State Bond Commission for new construction, acquisition and/or rehabilitation, or refunding of multifamily housing projects:

Multifamily housing applications must include defined tenant benefit programs for those units set aside for very low, low and/or moderate income families. Those applications that do not include such programs will not be docketed for consideration.

The staff of the State Bond Commission shall use the following criteria when evaluating defined tenant benefit programs.

A. **Nonspecial Needs Multifamily Housing.**

A developer shall select at a minimum two of the seven options listed below for the set-aside units.

1. **Material Rent Differentials.**

In order to be deemed material, a rent differential must satisfy the federal tax credit guidelines which specify that rent for set-aside unit should not exceed 30 percent of the imputed income limit for the set-aside unit.

2. **Deposit Waivers and/or Application Fee Waivers.**

Deposit or application fee waivers may be applied to either an

application fee, a security deposit, or both.

3. Rent Cap.

Rent caps may be applied which limit the dollar and/or percentage of increase in rent upon renewal of a lease. Such rent caps must be equal to or less than one-half the scheduled rent increase for such lease renewal.

4. Rent Deferral.

Rent deferral programs would apply to those tenants which become unemployed during the term of their lease. Rent deferral programs can reschedule rent payments at reduced amounts or have a 100 percent deferral either until six months after the resident is no longer receiving unemployment compensation. This program may be funded with a reserve set aside for this specific purpose and clearly delineated in the bond documents.

5. Educational Programs or Other Socialization Programs.

These programs may include literacy or tutorial programs, re-education assistance for the unemployed or other such assistance which would increase opportunities for the targeted income class.

6. Day-care Related Programs.

These programs may either be located on site or subsidized off site day care centers. Programs may include after school care and/or supervision for the children of working parents.

7. Other such benefit programs as may be proposed by the developer, such as:

- a. tenant security programs;
- b. energy conservation programs.

B. Special Needs Multifamily Housing.

The commission recognizes the development of special needs housing for the elderly, disabled, homeless, etc., is essential to the welfare of the citizens of the State. Therefore, the criteria for the defined tenant benefit program shall be based on the total package to be offered to the special needs group, including, but not limited to the following:

1. Meals Programs.

Depending upon the special needs group targeted, this benefit can include one or more meals provided in a central dining area or some other meal program included as part of the total benefit package.

2. Transportation Assistance.

3. On-site Health Services.

4. Housekeeping.

5. Social Activities.

6. Trained and Certified Staff.

7. Rent Differentials.

C. Multifamily Housing in Qualified Redevelopment Areas.

The commission recognizes the importance of encouraging the redevelopment and/or revitalization of urban and inner city areas. Therefore, additional consideration will be given to the following:

1. A Qualified Redevelopment Area.

A qualified redevelopment area shall be defined by the governing authority of the local jurisdiction and as approved by the State Bond Commission.

2. Project Plan.

The project plan must include whether it is new construction or a redevelopment of an existing property. The plan must also include a defined tenant benefit package if the project targets a special income class. If the project requires the relocation of current residents, the plan must show how the relocation will be addressed.

Please include as Exhibit I a description of the Applicant's defined tenant benefit program. For your consideration, State Bond Commission Rule No. HS1-1993 provides the following definitions of income classes:

Very Low Income--households whose incomes do not exceed 50 percent of the median income for the area, as determined and adjusted from time to time by HUD.

Low Income--households whose incomes do not exceed 80 percent of the median income for the area, as determined and adjusted from time to time by HUD.

Moderate Income--households whose incomes are between 81 percent and 95 percent of the median income for the area, as determined and adjusted from time to time by HUD.


Middle Income--households whose income are between 96 percent and 120 percent of the median income for the area, as determined and adjusted from time to time by HUD.

The schedule of income levels as published periodically by HUD will be used for purposes of this rule to determine income levels for particular areas of the state.

I certify that the information contained in this Project Summary and Application Package is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge.

Burnette Place Limited Partnership I
PROJECT OWNER

By:


Authorized Representative

Dated: 2-1-11

LOUISIANA HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY

The following resolution was offered by _____ and approved by _____:

RESOLUTION

A resolution establishing the maximum qualified basis and low-income housing credits to Burnett Place Limited Partnership; authorizing the Agency staff and counsel to prepare the forms of such documents and agreements as may be necessary to allocate 4% Low Income Housing Tax Credits to such facilities; and providing for other matters in connection therewith.

WHEREAS, the Louisiana Housing Finance Agency (the "Agency") has been ordered and directed to act on behalf of the State of Louisiana (the "State") in applying for, implementing, allocating, and administering programs, grants and/or resources made available pursuant to Section 42 of the Internal Revenue Code (the LIHTC Program); and

WHEREAS, the Agency approved certain application and other forms, documents and proceedings related to the Low Income Housing Tax Credits ("LIHTC Program"), including credits available to projects financed with tax-exempt bonds under Section 142(d) of the Internal Revenue Code; and

WHEREAS, the staff of the Agency has processed Burnett Place Subdivision's application in accordance with the Qualified Allocation Plan and is prepared, based upon the preliminary feasibility analysis of Foley & Judell, L.L.P., to recommend Tax Credits for Burnett Place Limited Partnership project:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Commissioners of the Louisiana Housing Finance Agency (the "Board"), acting as the governing authority of said Agency that:

SECTION 1. Burnett Place Subdivision (the "Project") is hereby preliminarily approved for Tax Credits in the amount of one hundred eighty five-thousand and one hundred forty-six dollars (\$185,146.00) subject to the conditions of the preliminary feasibility analysis of Foley & Judell, L.L.P. and the information contained in the Project application.

SECTION 2. The Agency staff, General Counsel, and Foley & Judell, L.L.P., as LIHTC Program Counsel, shall establish such procedures as may be necessary to structure, cancel or reduce such Tax Credits to maintain the feasibility and viability of the Project; provided, however, that no increase in Tax Credits to any project may be made without approval of the Board.

SECTION 3. The Agency staff and counsel are authorized and directed to prepare the forms of such documents and agreements as may be necessary to evidence the allocation of Tax Credits.

SECTION 4. The Chairman, Vice Chairman, President, Vice President and/or Secretary of the Agency be and they are hereby authorized, empowered and directed to execute any forms and/or documents required to be executed on behalf of and in the name of the Agency, the terms of which are to be consistent with the provisions of this resolution as approved by the Agency's General Counsel and LIHTC Program Counsel, Foley & Judell, L.L.P.

This resolution having been submitted to a vote, the vote thereon was as follows:

YEAS:

NAYS:

ABSENT:

And the resolution was declared adopted on this, the 13th day of April 2011.

Chairman

Secretary

STATE OF LOUISIANA

PARISH OF EAST BATON ROUGE

I, the undersigned Secretary of the Board of Commissioners of the Louisiana Housing Finance Agency (the "Agency"), do hereby certify that the foregoing two (2) pages constitute a true and correct copy of a resolution adopted by said Board of Commissioners on April 13, 2011, "A resolution establishing the maximum qualified basis and low-income housing credits to Burnett Place Limited Partnership; authorizing the Agency staff and counsel to prepare the forms of such documents and agreements as may be necessary to allocate 4% Low Income Housing Tax Credits to such facilities; and providing for other matters in connection therewith."

IN FAITH WHEREOF, witness my official signature and the impress of the official seal of the Agency on this, the 13th day of April, 2011.

Secretary

(SEAL)

DECISION BRIEF:

Request by The Reserve at Jefferson Crossing for Additional 4% Tax Credits Located in Baton Rouge, Louisiana in East Baton Rouge Parish

Issue

Thomas Delahaye with The Reserve at Jefferson Crossing, LLC is requesting the Louisiana Housing Finance Agency to allocate an additional \$14,266.00 in 4% Low Income Housing Credits for the new construction of a 180 unit, multi-family residential project located at 17220 Jefferson Highway in Baton Rouge, East Baton Rouge Parish, Louisiana. 100% of the units will serve households whose incomes 60% or below the of the area median income.

The project currently has an allocation of \$743,091.00 in 4% Tax Credits awarded in December 2006. In addition to the Bond Proceeds, Permanent First Mortgage Loan of \$8,374,800.00, Gross Tax-Credit Equity of \$7,207,354.13, HOME Loan \$3,943,528.00 and \$241,741.33 in deferred developer fee will be utilized in the construction of this development. The total development cost of this project will be around \$19,453,940.00.

Lapalco Court Apartments consists of 8 buildings that contain 180 units consisting of thirty-six (36) one-bedroom units; eighty-four (84) two-bedroom units; forty-eight (48) three-bedroom units; and twelve (12) four-bedroom units.

Pros:

- LHFA will continue its mission of providing safe, decent and affordable housing for low to moderate-income families by utilizing its resources.
- Will enhance the housing stock for the citizens of Louisiana.
- Bond Financing leveraged with Low Income housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) equity.

Cons: None

Recommendation:

Staff recommends approval of this request to issue 4% Low Income Housing Credits to further the mission of providing housing to the citizens of this state.

LOUISIANA HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY

The following resolution was offered by _____ and seconded by _____:

RESOLUTION

A resolution authorizing the addition of fourteen thousand, two hundred sixty-six dollars (\$14,266.00) in 4% Low Income Housing Tax Credits for a total reservation of seven hundred, sixty-seven thousand, three hundred fifty-seven dollars (\$767,357.00) of 4% Low Income Housing Tax Credits to The Reserve at Jefferson Crossing, #06-514BF (17220 Jefferson Highway, Baton Rouge, East Baton Rouge Parish, Louisiana); and providing for other matters in connection therewith.

WHEREAS, the Louisiana Housing Finance Agency (the "Agency") has been ordered and directed to act on behalf of the State of Louisiana (the "State") in allocating and administering programs and/or resources made available pursuant to Section 42 of the Internal Revenue Code (the "Housing Tax Credit Program");

WHEREAS, the Agency approved the form of certain applications, documents, agreements and proceedings related to the Housing Tax Credit Program;

WHEREAS, Taxpayer/Owners of The Reserve at Jefferson Crossing, a tax-exempt bond financed project, submitted an original request for seven hundred forty-three thousand, ninety-one dollars (\$743,091.00) in 4% Low-Income Housing Tax Credits and was approved at the December 2006 Board of Commissioners' Meeting; and has subsequently submitted a request for an additional fourteen thousand, two hundred sixty-six dollars (\$14,266.00) in 4% credits; and

WHEREAS, staff has reviewed and recommends the request for an additional fourteen thousand, two hundred sixty-six dollars (\$14,266.00) in 4% credits for a total reservation of seven hundred, sixty-seven thousand, three hundred fifty-seven dollars (\$767,357.00).

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Commissioners of the Louisiana Housing Finance Agency (the "Board"), acting as the governing authority of said Agency:

SECTION 1. The reservation and/or allocation of additional 4% credits in the amount of fourteen thousand, two hundred sixty-six dollars (\$14,266.00) is hereby made to the project The Reserve at Jefferson Crossing in the amounts specified above.

SECTION 2. The Agency's staff and counsel are authorized and directed to prepare the forms of such documents and agreements as may be necessary to implement the Board's actions.

SECTION 3. The Chairman, Vice Chairman, President, Vice President and/or Secretary of the Agency be hereby authorized, empowered and directed to execute any forms and/or documents required to be executed on behalf of and in the name of the Agency, the terms of which are to be consistent with the provisions of this resolution as approved by the Agency's General Counsel and LIHTC Program Counsel, Foley & Judell, L.L.P.

This resolution having been submitted to a vote, the vote thereon was as follows:

YEAS:

NAYS:

ABSENT:

And the resolution was declared adopted on this, the 13th day of April, 2011.

Chairman

Secretary

STATE OF LOUISIANA

PARISH OF EAST BATON ROUGE

I, the undersigned Secretary of the Board of Commissioners of the Louisiana Housing Finance Agency, do hereby certify that the foregoing two (2) pages constitute a true and correct copy of the resolution adopted by said Board of Commissioners on April 13, 2011, entitled: "A resolution authorizing the addition of fourteen thousand, two hundred sixty-six dollars (\$14,266.00) in 4% Low Income Housing Tax Credits for a total reservation of seven hundred, sixty-seven thousand, three hundred fifty-seven dollars (\$767,357.00) of 4% Low Income Housing Tax Credits to The Reserve at Jefferson Crossing, #06-514BF (17220 Jefferson Highway, Baton Rouge, East Baton Rouge Parish, Louisiana); and providing for other matters in connection therewith."

IN FAITH WHEREOF, witness my official signature and the impress of the official seal of the Agency on this, the 13th day of April, 2011.

Secretary

(SEAL)

LOUISIANA HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY

The following resolution was offered by _____ and seconded by _____:

RESOLUTION

A resolution providing for an award of Tax Credit Assistance Program Funds ("TCAP Funds") to certain residential rental facilities; authorizing the Agency's staff, General Counsel, and Foley & Judell, L.L.P as the Agency's TCAP Asset Manager, to prepare the forms of such documents and agreements as may be necessary to award TCAP Funds in accordance with HUD Notice CPD-09-03 – REV issued May 4, 2009 but revised July 27, 2009 (the "HUD TCAP Notice"); and providing for other matters in connection therewith.

WHEREAS, the Louisiana Housing Finance Agency (the "Agency") has been ordered and directed to act as the housing credit agency under Section 42 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 as amended (the "Code"), on behalf of the State of Louisiana (the "State") in allocating and administering programs and/or resources to be made available pursuant to Section 42 of the Code (the "LIHTC Program"); and

WHEREAS, Title XII of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (the "Recovery Act") appropriated \$2.250 billion under the HOME Investment Partnerships (HOME) Program heading for a grant program to state housing credit agencies to facilitate development of LIHTC projects that will be completed by February 16, 2012 by providing funds for capital investments in such LIHTC projects; and

WHEREAS, the Recovery Act establishes certain requirements applicable to the TCAP Program, including deadlines for commitment and expenditures, transparency, and distribution of funds; and

WHEREAS, the HUD TCAP Notice requires the Agency to distribute TCAP Funds competitively under the Recovery Act and pursuant to the existing Qualified Allocation Plans ("QAP"), including a written description of all selection criteria and any weightings assigned to competitively award its TCAP Funds, and how the Agency will redistribute TCAP Funds to more deserving Projects from projects which are not in compliance with deadlines established in the written agreement between the Agency and project owners; and

WHEREAS, the Agency has submitted information to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development ("HUD") about how the Agency will meet the Recovery Act accountability requirements, including the publication of a notice of public hearing in which the project selection process and criteria was available to the public for comments from the public for a period of not less than five days; and

WHEREAS, the HUD TCAP Notice provides that the Agency (i) must repay TCAP Funds that were used for ineligible costs, or for a project that is never completed or for a project that failed to meet the requirements under Section 42, (ii) must repay, during the grant period, to the Agency's TCAP Line of Credit, in accordance with procedures established by HUD, (iii) must seek specific performance to obtain compliance in accordance with the required TCAP written agreement if a project fails to maintain compliance with the TCAP requirements, and (iv) has no repayment obligation in the event of foreclosure of a project if the grantee was performing asset management and took reasonable actions to ensure the long-term viability of the project; and

WHEREAS, the Agency has executed a *Tax Credit Assistance Program (TCAP) Grant Agreements* (HUD Form 40092), which obligated \$39,383,397 (the "TCAP Grant") to the Agency; and

WHEREAS, the Recovery Act specifically requires that the Agency (i) commits not less than 75% of the TCAP Grant within one year of the enactment of the Recovery Act (i.e., by February 16, 2010), (ii) demonstrate that all project owners have expended 75% of the TCAP Grant within two years of the enactment of the Recovery Act (i.e., by February 16, 2011) and (iii) expend 100% of the TCAP Grant within three years of the enactment of the Recovery Act (i.e., February 16, 2012); and

WHEREAS, the HUD TCAP Notice requires the Agency to track and report on a regular basis in (i) the Integrated Disbursement Information System (IDIS), (ii) a supplemental Recovery and Management Performance System ("RAMPS") is expected to interface with IDIS in order to capture data elements that are required by the Recovery Act but not captured in IDIS, including job creation and job retention information, and (iii) OMB's FederalReporting.gov website's progress in committing and expending the TCAP Grant and requires TCAP Grant Funds not expended by the end of the three-year performance period to be recaptured by HUD; and

WHEREAS, following the completion of an environmental clearance for a project and approval of the Request for Release of Funds (RROF), the Agency must execute a legally-binding agreement with the owner of a project (the "TCAP Written Agreement") setting forth all of the TCAP Program and crosscutting federal grant requirements applicable to the funding, and must make these requirements enforceable through the recordation of a restriction that is binding on all owners and successors; and

WHEREAS, the TCAP Written Agreement must be signed and dated by the Agency and the project owner before any TCAP Funds are disbursed and must provide that such TCAP Funds may not be drawn from the U.S. Treasury in advance of the need to pay an eligible cost and, once drawn, must be expended for an eligible cost within 3 days; and

WHEREAS, the Recovery Act requires the Agency (i) to post on its website a description of its competitive selection criteria for awarding TCAP Funds to eligible projects, (ii) to identify all projects selected for funding and post the amount of each TCAP Funds award on its website; and

WHEREAS, the Recovery Act requires the Agency to perform asset management functions, or contract for performance of these services, at the owner's expense, to ensure compliance with Section 42 of the Code and the long term viability of projects funded by the TCAP Program; and

WHEREAS, the Agency must comply with the federal requirements listed in the HUD TCAP Notice; and

WHEREAS, the Agency approved certain applications and other forms, documents and proceedings related to the LIHTC Program and, in accordance with the Memorandum for Award of Remaining Tax Credit Assistance Program Funds dated February 17, 2011 (Exhibit A); and

WHEREAS, the Agency has solicited competitive applications for TCAP Program funding in accordance with the TCAP Program; and

WHEREAS, the Agency's staff has scored and ranked the applications in accordance with the criteria listed in the Memorandum for Award of Remaining Tax Credit Assistance Program Funds dated February 17, 2011 (Exhibit A) for each of the residential rental projects described in Exhibit B for funding; and

WHEREAS, the staff of the Agency is also prepared, based upon the analysis of Foley & Judell, L.L.P., to award TCAP Funds for each of the residential rental projects described in Exhibit B for funding.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Commissioners (the "Board") of the Louisiana Housing Finance Agency, acting as the governing authority of said Agency that:

SECTION 1. The residential rental facilities (the "Project") described in Exhibit B hereto are hereby approved for an award of TCAP Funds in the amounts specified in said Exhibit B based upon the recommendations and opinion of Foley & Judell, L.L.P., and the information contained in the TCAP submissions with respect to each such Project.

SECTION 2. The Agency's staff, General Counsel, and Foley & Judell, L.L.P., as Tax Credit Counsel, shall establish such procedures as may be necessary to award such TCAP Funds to maintain the feasibility and viability of the Projects in accordance with the TCAP Written Agreement for each such project in order to comply with Federal Grant Requirement, including

the Fair Housing Act, Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, the National Environmental Policy Act and Related Laws, the Lead-Based Paint Poisoning Prevention Act and the Residential Lead-Based Paint Hazard Reduction Act of 1992, the Davis-Bacon Prevailing Wages under Section 1606 of Division A of the Recovery Act, the Anti-Lobbying Restrictions in 31 USC 1352 and implementing regulations at 24 CFR Part 87, the Drug-Free Workplace act of 1988, and OMB Regulations and Circulars and to set up the asset management functions of the Agency to assess the performance and viability of each project in accordance with underwriting model that will update at closing for each project and within the framework for performance under the Mark-to-Market Program administered by HUD's Office of Affordable Housing Preservation.

SECTION 3. Foley & Judell as the Agency's Participating Administrative Entity's ("PAE") Teaming Partner is hereby authorized and directed to prepare and submit a financial closing underwriting model in connection with the execution of each TCAP Written Agreement in order to memorialize the financial structure at closing of each project receiving TCAP Funds and to establish the appropriate benchmarks in such financial closing underwriting model for the Agency's asset management functions.

SECTION 4. The Agency's staff and counsel are authorized and directed to prepare the forms of such documents and agreements as may be necessary to award TCAP Funds to the Projects listed in Exhibit B.

SECTION 5. The Chairman, Vice Chairman, President, Vice President and/or Secretary of the Agency be and they are hereby authorized, empowered and directed to execute any forms and/or documents required to be executed on behalf of and in the name of the Agency, the terms

of which are to be consistent with the provisions of this resolution as approved by the Agency's General Counsel and Tax Credit Counsel, Foley & Judell, L.L.P.

This resolution having been submitted to a vote, the vote thereon was as follows:

YEAS:

NAYS:

ABSENT:

And the resolution was declared adopted on this, the 13th day of April, 2011.

Chairman

Secretary

STATE OF LOUISIANA

PARISH OF EAST BATON ROUGE

I, the undersigned Secretary of the Board of Commissioners of the Louisiana Housing Finance Agency (the "Agency"), do hereby certify that the foregoing five (5) pages constitute a true and correct copy of a resolution adopted by said Board of Commissioners on April 13, 2011, captioned, "A resolution providing for an award of Tax Credit Assistance Program Funds ("TCAP Funds") to certain residential rental facilities; authorizing the Agency's staff, General Counsel, and Foley & Judell, L.L.P as the Agency's TCAP Asset Manager, to prepare the forms of such documents and agreements as may be necessary to award TCAP Funds in accordance with HUD Notice CPD-09-03 – REV issued May 4, 2009 but revised July 27, 2009 (the "HUD TCAP Notice"); and providing for other matters in connection therewith."

IN FAITH WHEREOF, witness my official signature and the impress of the official seal of the Agency on this, the 13th day of April 2011.

Secretary

(SEAL)

2011 TCAP APPLICATION PRELIMINARY AWARDS LIST
(SUBJECT TO BOARD APPROVAL)
Updated 3/15/2011

	Project No.	PROJECT NAME	Contact Information	Parish	Current TCAP Award	TCAP Amount Requested	Additional TCAP Feasibility Amount	100% TCAP Expenditure as of 2/25/11* (50 points)	50% TCAP Expenditure as of 2/25/11* (30 points)	TCAP Request < \$100,000 (40 points)	TCAP Request > \$100,000 = < \$250,000 (30 points)	Rural Projects (25 points)	Total Score
1	07/08(FA)-52	Grand Lake Elderly	Archie Jones PO Box 46042 Baton Rouge, LA 70895 225-272-7526	Cameron	\$ 266,867.00	\$ 107,175.00	\$ -	50	0	0	30	25	105
2	06(R)-409	Hideaway Crossing	Will Belton 6747 Renoir Ave, Suite A Baton Rouge, LA 70806 225-926-8124	Rapides	\$ 798,138.00	\$ 249,416.00	\$ -	50	0	0	30	25	105
3	09(PC)-49	Historic Bastrop High School	Thomas Crumley 521 Tchoupitoulas St., Ste 201 New Orleans, LA 70130 501-620-5482	Morehouse	\$1,249,000.00	\$ 249,999.00	\$ 249,999.00	50	0	0	30	25	105
4	06(R)-407	St. Landry Crossing	Will Belton 6747 Renoir Ave, Suite A Baton Rouge, LA 70806 225-926-8124	St. Landry	\$ 704,282.00	\$ 209,130.00	\$ 95,843.00	50	0	0	30	25	105
5	09(PC)-21	Willowood Estates	Dale Lancaster P.O. Box 672 Madison, MS 39130	Jefferson Davis	\$ 250,000.00	\$ 249,999.00	\$ 249,999.00	50	0	0	30	25	105
6	08(GO)-43	Dorgenois Lofts	David Miller 7808 Pearl Street New Orleans, LA 70118 504-862-6238	Orleans	\$ 1,202,719.00	\$ 99,999.00	\$ 99,999.00	50	0	40	0	0	90
7	07/08(FA)-44	Monet Acres Estates II	Will Belton 6747 Renoir Ave, Suite A Baton Rouge, LA 70806 225-926-8124	Calcasieu	\$ 844,925.00	\$ 249,000.00	\$ 93,482.00	0	30	0	30	25	85
8	07/08(FA)-43	Renoir Acres Estates II	Will Belton 6747 Renoir Ave, Suite A Baton Rouge, LA 70806 225-926-8124	Calcasieu	\$ 995,565.00	\$ 249,000.00	\$ 90,013.00	0	30	0	30	25	85
9	08(GO)-46	Chateau Carre	Amber Seely 4162 Canal Street New Orleans, LA 70119 504-708-4370	Orleans	\$ 1,000,000.00	\$ 150,000.00	\$ -	50	0	0	30	0	80

**2011 TCAP APPLICATION PRELIMINARY AWARDS LIST
(SUBJECT TO BOARD APPROVAL)
Updated 3/15/2011**

	Project No.	PROJECT NAME	Contact Information	Parish	Current TCAP Award	TCAP Amount Requested	Additional TCAP Feasibility Amount	100% TCAP Expenditure as of 2/25/11* (50 points)	50% TCAP Expenditure as of 2/25/11* (30 points)	TCAP Request < \$100,000 (40 points)	TCAP Request > \$100,000 = < \$250,000 (30 points)	Rural Projects (25 points)	Total Score
10	09(PC)-32	Tudor Square Apartments	Joseph Stebbins, II 4127 S. Claiborne Ave. New Orleans, LA 70125 504-822-4811	Orleans	\$ 600,055.00	\$ 228,545.00	\$ 228,545.00	50	0	0	30	0	80
11	07/08(FA)-63	Old Morrison Homes	Verlyn Foley 8440 Holcomb Bridge Road, #560 Alpharetta, GA 30022	Orleans	\$ 1,249,999.00	\$ 249,000.00	\$ 124,000.00	0	30	0	30	0	60
12	07-04R	Southern Place Apartments	Archie Jones PO Box 46042 Baton Rouge, LA 70895 225-272-7526	Evangeline	\$ -	\$ 179,047.00	\$ 179,047.00	0	0	0	30	25	55
13	07-14 BF	Mallard Crossing	Michael Gross 1473 South Fourth Street Louisville, KY 40208 502-638-0534	East Baton Rouge	\$ 1,000,000.00	\$ 500,000.00	\$ 500,000.00	0	0	0	0	0	0
15	08-08BF	Woodcrest Apts	Michael Gross 1473 South Fourth Street Louisville, KY 40208 502-638-0534	East Baton Rouge	\$ 1,000,000.00	\$ 500,000.00	\$ 500,000.00	0	0	0	0	0	0
14	07/08(FA)-16	St. Michael's Senior Housing	Michael Peralta 3433 Tulane Ave New Orleans, LA 70119 504-488-0830	Orleans	\$ -	\$ 1,111,727.00	\$ 971,727.00	0	0	0	0	0	0
16	09(PC)-55	Fifth Avenue Apartments	Gary Hassenflu 413 East 3rd Street Kansas City, MO 64106	Calcasieu	\$ 1,000,000.00	DISQUALIFIED							
17	06(2)(N)-344	Hideaway Crossing II	Will Belton 6747 Renoir Ave, Suite A Baton Rouge, LA 70806 225-926-8124	Rapides	\$ -	INELIGIBLE							
18	07/08(FA)-49	Oak Villa II	Murray Childers 1500 N Monet, Suite A110 Shreveport, LA 71107	Orleans	\$ -	DISQUALIFIED							

2011 TCAP APPLICATION PRELIMINARY AWARDS LIST
(SUBJECT TO BOARD APPROVAL)
Updated 3/15/2011

	Project No.	PROJECT NAME	Contact Information	Parish	Current TCAP Award	TCAP Amount Requested	Additional TCAP Feasibility Amount	100% TCAP Expenditure as of 2/25/11* (50 points)	50% TCAP Expenditure as of 2/25/11* (30 points)	TCAP Request < \$100,000 (40 points)	TCAP Request > \$100,000 = < \$250,000 (30 points)	Rural Projects (25 points)	Total Score
19	06-02	Rising Sun Homes	James Neville 671 Rosa Ave, Suite 201 Metairie, LA 70005 504-828-1253	Orleans	\$ -	INELIGIBLE							
\$ 4,582,037.00 \$ 3,382,654.00													

** The bold line on page 2 indicates funding line. The \$2.7 M in available TCAP funds will fund through Woodcrest Apartment.



LOUISIANA HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY MEMORANDUM

TO: Development Community
FROM: Tax Credit Department
DATE: February 17, 2011
SUBJECT: Memorandum for Award of Remaining Tax Credit Assistance Program Funds

This memorandum describes the procedures that the Agency will follow in awarding the remaining TCAP Funds that are returned and/or recaptured from prior awards to projects awarded tax credits under Section 42(h) in from October 1, 2006 through September 30, 2009 with or without existing TCAP awards. The maximum TCAP Funds (including the additional TCAP Funds to be approved pursuant to this initiative) per project will be \$1.5 million. **Note:** Only projects that have achieved a prior Environmental Clearance pursuant to the prior commitment of HOME, CDBG, and/or TCAP Funds are eligible to apply for the remaining TCAP Funds.

Timeline

- February 17, 2011: Send out notice of fund availability by not later than close of business on Thursday February 17th, advising Developers of the existence of additional Tax Credit Assistance Program Funds.
- February 25, 2011: Applications for additional TCAP Funds using the AMEC Model will be due by 4:30 pm on Friday, February 25th.
- March 7, 2011: Staff will rank and score applications and post preliminary rankings by no later than 4:30 p.m.
- March 16, 2011: LHFA's Board of Commissioners will approve awards submitted by staff.

Rank and Scoring

All Projects must evidence an ability to expend all TCAP Funds by not later than December 20, 2011. Projects will be scored and ranked as follows:

- | | |
|--|-----------|
| 1. Projects that have expended 100% of prior TCAP Funds. | 50 points |
| 2. Projects that have expended 50% or more of prior TCAP Funds. | 30 points |
| 3. Projects that request less than \$100,000 of additional TCAP Funds. | 40 points |
| 4. Projects that request more than \$100,000 but less than \$250,000 of additional TCAP Funds. | 30 points |
| 5. Rural Projects | 25 points |

In the event of a tie in scoring, the project requesting the lower amount of TCAP funding will be allocated the funds in advance of projects requesting higher amounts of funds.

Submissions

1. Two (2) disk submission of updated AMEC model submitted to the Agency by close of business February 25, 2011.
2. Submissions must identify of the eligible basis in the construction/rehabilitation budget that may be filled paid with an increase in TCAP funds.
3. The Developer/Taxpayer must certify that no other resources are available to fill the identified funding gap.

LOUISIANA HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY

The following resolution was offered by _____ and seconded by _____.

RESOLUTION

A resolution authorizing and directing staff to provide for the credit swap of per capita credits for GO Zone credits from projects located in the GO Zone that will be placed in service prior to January 1, 2012 and to prepare the forms of such documents and agreements as may be necessary to allocate the returned Per Capita Credits to the designated projects; and providing for other matters in connection therewith.

WHEREAS, the Louisiana Housing Finance Agency (the "Agency") has been ordered and directed to act on behalf of the State of Louisiana (the "State") in allocating and administering programs and/or resources made available pursuant to the Section 42 of the Internal Revenue Code (the "LIHTC Program"); and

WHEREAS, the Agency desires to authorize and direct staff and tax credit counsel to arrange for the allocation of the balance of unallocated GO Zone Credits to qualified low-income buildings in the GO Zone that will be placed in service prior to January 1, 2012 and to prepare such strategies as may be necessary to allocate any GO Zone Credits that are voluntarily returned and/or recaptured after March 31, 2011 (but prior to January 1, 2012); and

WHEREAS, the Agency has determined that the best immediate strategy to avoid loss of GO Zone Credits under current law is to swap Per Capita Credits currently awarded to projects located in the GO Zone that will be placed in service on or prior to December 31, 2011 with unallocated GO Zone Credits and to allow returned per capita credits to be awarded to the projects designated by the developer returning Per Capita Credits; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Commissioners of the Louisiana Housing Finance Agency (the "Board"), acting as the governing authority of said Agency that:

SECTION 1. The Agency staff and Tax Credit Counsel shall establish such procedures and documentation as may be necessary to swap Per Capita Credits currently awarded to projects

within the GO Zone that are able to meet the December 31, 2011 placed in service deadline so as to assure that GO Zone Credits are not lost to the State.

SECTION 2. The Agency staff and Tax Credit Counsel are authorized and directed to prepare the forms of such documents and agreements as may be necessary to allocate returned per capita credits to designated projects.

SECTION 3. The Chairman, Vice Chairman, President, Vice President and/or Secretary of the Agency be and they are hereby authorized, empowered and directed to execute any forms and/or documents required to be executed on behalf of and in the name of the Agency, the terms of which are to be consistent with the provisions of this resolution.

This resolution having been submitted to a vote, the vote thereon was as follows:

YEAS:

NAYS:

ABSENT:

And the resolution was declared adopted on this, the 13th day of April, 2011.

Chairman

Secretary

STATE OF LOUISIANA

PARISH OF EAST BATON ROUGE

I, the undersigned Secretary of the Board of Commissioners of the Louisiana Housing Finance Agency (the "Agency"), do hereby certify that the foregoing three (3) pages constitute a true and correct copy of a resolution adopted by said Board of Commissioners on January 19, 2010, authorizing and directing staff to provide for the credit swap of per capita credits for GO Zone credits from projects located in the GO Zone that will be placed in service prior to January 1, 2012 and to prepare the forms of such documents and agreements as may be necessary to allocate the returned Per Capita Credits to the designated projects; and providing for other matters in connection therewith.

IN FAITH WHEREOF, witness my official signature and the impress of the official seal of the Agency on this, the 13th day of April 2011.

Secretary

(SEAL)

LOUISIANA HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY

The following resolution was offered by Commissioner _____ and seconded by Commissioner _____:

RESOLUTION

A resolution authorizing and approving an increase in the eligible basis of buildings located in the GO Zone pursuant to Section 42(d)(5) of the Internal Revenue Code in order to sustain their financial feasibility; and providing for other matters in connection therewith.

WHEREAS, the Louisiana Housing Finance Agency (the "**Agency**") has been ordered and directed to act on behalf of the State of Louisiana (the "**State**") in allocating and administering programs and/or resources made available pursuant to Section 42 of the Internal Revenue Code; and

WHEREAS, Section 101 of The Gulf Opportunity Zone Act of 2005 (the "**GO Zone Act**") added a new Part II – Tax Benefits for Gulf Opportunity Zone (the "**GO Zone**") and enacted a new Section 1400N of the Internal Revenue Code (the "**Code**") that provided additional housing credit dollar amounts for the GO Zone pursuant to Section 1400N(c); and

WHEREAS, Section 1400N(c)(3)(A)(1) provides that, for purposes of Section 42, the GO Zone, the Rita Zone and the Wilma Zone "*shall be treated as difficult development areas designated under subclause (I) of section 42(d)(5)(C)(iii)*" without taking into account the limits on such areas under subclause (II) of such section; and

WHEREAS, Section 1400N(c)(3)(B) provided that only allocations of housing credit dollar amounts during the period beginning on January 1, 2006 and ending on **December 31, 2008** to buildings placed in service during such period qualified for treatment of the GO Zone as a difficult development area ("**DDA**"); and

WHEREAS, Section 8222(a) of the Small Business and Work Opportunity Tax Act of 2007 (the "**2007 SBWOT Act**") added a new paragraph (5) to Subsection (c) of Section 1400N extending the time within which a building located in the GO Zone that received an allocation of GO Zone Credits may be placed in service to **December 31, 2010** and Section 8222(b) of the 2007 SBWOT Act amended Section 1400N(c)(3)(B) permitting the GO Zone, Rita Zone or Wilma Zone to continue to be treated as a DDA during a period "*ending on December 31, 2010*"; and

WHEREAS, Section 763 of the Tax Relief, Unemployment Insurance Reauthorization, and Job Creation Act of 2010 (the "**2010 Tax Act**") amended Section 1400N(c)(5) by striking "January

1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2012” thereby extending the PIS Deadline for buildings allocated GO Zone Credits to December 31, 2011 but the 2010 Tax Act did **not** amend Section 1400N(c)(3)(B) to extend the treatment of the GO Zone as a DDA beyond December 31, 2010; and

WHEREAS, Section 3003 of Division C of the Housing and Economic recovery Act of 2008 (“**HERA**”) added a new clause (V) in Section 42(d)(5) to permit State housing credit agencies to designate buildings *as requiring an increase in credit under this subparagraph in order for such building to be financially feasible as part of a qualified low-income housing project...as located in a difficult development area*; and

WHEREAS, buildings located in the GO Zone which were initially underwritten with a 30% basis boost as a result of Section 1400N(c)(3)(A)(1) are at risk of losing credits necessary to sustain their financial feasibility solely because of a failure of the 2010 Tax Act to extend the DDA treatment of the GO Zone to December 31, 2011 simultaneously with the placement in service extension to December 31, 2011; and

WHEREAS, the Agency’s Board of Commissioners (the “**Board**”) now determined to provide taxpayers constructing and/or rehabilitating buildings located in the GO Zone that received allocations of low-income housing credits (“**Credits**”) that are facing closing deadlines and/or have not yet been placed in service assurance that buildings located in the GO Zone will continue to be treated as located in a difficult development area under clause (V) in Section 42(d)(5) in order to sustain their financial feasibility through the later of December 31, 2011 or a later date corresponding to the date that Congress extends the placed in service deadline for buildings allocated GO Zone Credits;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Commissioners (the “**Board**”) of the Louisiana Housing Finance Agency, acting as the governing authority of said Agency that:

SECTION 1. Treatment of Buildings Allocated GO Zone or Rita Credits as located in the GO Zone: Any building located in the GO Zone that received a basis boost and an allocation of Credits based upon the treatment of the GO Zone as a difficult development area shall continue to be treated under Section 42(d)(5)(V) of the Code as located in a difficult development area pursuant to the provisions of Section 42(d)(5) through the later of December 31, 2011 or a later date corresponding to the date that Congress extends the placed in service deadline for buildings allocated GO Zone Credits. The actions taken by any staff or officer of the Agency to provide assurance to Taxpayers that buildings being constructed and/or rehabilitated in the GO Zone with

equity attributable to credits based upon the additional basis from such buildings being located in a difficult development area are hereby ratified and confirmed.

SECTION 2. The Agency staff, General Counsel, and Foley & Judell, L.L.P., as Tax Credit Counsel to the Agency shall advise and confirm to Taxpayers that the Board's determination to treat buildings located in the GO Zone as located in a difficult development area notwithstanding the failure of the 2010 Tax Act to continue to treat the GO Zone as a difficult development area and the actions of the Board pursuant to this resolution have been taken to assure the financial feasibility of buildings located in the GO Zone.

SECTION 3. The Chairman, Vice-Chairman, President, Vice-President and/or Secretary of the Agency be and they are hereby authorized, empowered and directed to execute any forms and/or documents required to be executed on behalf of and in the name of the Agency, the terms of which are to be consistent with the provisions of this resolution as approved by the Agency's General Counsel and Tax Credit Counsel.

The resolution having been submitted to a vote, the vote thereon was as follows:

YEAS:

NAYS:

ABSENT:

And the motion was declared adopted on this, the 13th day of April 2011.

Chairman

Secretary

STATE OF LOUISIANA

PARISH OF EAST BATON ROUGE

I, the undersigned Secretary of the Board of Commissioners of the Louisiana Housing Finance Agency (the "Agency"), do hereby certify that the foregoing three (3) pages constitute a true and correct copy of a resolution adopted by said Board of Commissioners on April 13, 2011, authorizing and approving an increase in the eligible basis of buildings located in the GO Zone pursuant to Section 42(d)(5) of the Internal Revenue Code in order to sustain their financial feasibility; and providing for other matters in connection therewith.

IN FAITH WHEREOF, witness my official signature and the impress of the official seal of the Agency on this, the 13th day of April 2011.

Secretary

(SEAL)

FOLEY & JUDELL, L.L.P.

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELLORS AT LAW

ONE CANAL PLACE

SUITE 2600

365 CANAL STREET

NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA 70130-1138

(504) 568-1249 • FAX (504) 565-3900

M. JASON AKERS
MEREDITH L. HATHORN
DAVID E. HENDERSON
J. HUGH MARTIN
ALAN L. OFFNER
JERRY R. OSBORNE
C. GRANT SCHLUETER
SUSAN WEEKS

TREVOR J. HAYNES

BATON ROUGE OFFICE
ONE AMERICAN PLACE
SUITE 1950, 301 MAIN STREET
BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA 70801
TELEPHONE (225) 923-2476
FAX (225) 923-2477

HAROLD B. JUDELL
WAYNE J. NEVEU
SPECIAL COUNSEL

JOHN W. COX
WILLIAM H. BECK, JR. (RETIRED)
OF COUNSEL

DUDLEY C. FOLEY, JR.
(1910-2006)
LONNIE L. BEWLEY
(1932-2009)

OUR FILE NO.

To: Brenda Evans

From: Wayne J. Neveu

Re: Resolution Authorizing Basis Boost for GO Zone Projects

Date: March 25, 2011

I. GO ZONE: Section 101 of The Gulf Opportunity Zone Act of 2005 (the “GO Zone Act”) added a new Part II – Tax Benefits for Gulf Opportunity Zone (the “GO Zone”). The Go Zone Act enacted a new Section 1400N of the Internal Revenue Code (the “Code”) that provided additional housing credit dollar amounts for the Gulf Opportunity Zone (the “GO Zone”) pursuant to Section 1400N(c).

A. Treatment of GO Zone as Difficult Development Area (“DDA”): Section 1400N(c)(3)(A)(1) provides that, for purposes of Section 42, the GO Zone, the Rita Zone and the Wilma Zone “*shall be treated as difficult development areas designated under subclause (I) of section 42(d)(5)(C)(iii)*” without taking into account the limits on such areas under subclause (II) of such section.

B. Placed In Service (“PIS”) Deadline and Period for Treatment of GO Zone as DDA:

(i) GO Zone Act PIS Deadline and DDA Treatment Deadline: Section 1400N(c)(3)(B) provided that only allocations of housing credit dollar amounts during the period beginning on January 1, 2006 and ending on **December 31, 2008** to buildings placed in service during such period qualified for treatment of the GO Zone as a DDA.

(ii) Extension of PIS Deadline and DDA Deadline to December 31, 2010: Section 8222(a) of the Small Business and Work Opportunity Tax Act of 2007 (the “**2007 SBWOT Act**”) added a new paragraph (5) to Subsection (c) of Section 1400N to extend the time within which a building located in the GO Zone that received an allocation of GO Zone Credits may be placed in service to **December 31, 2010**. *An April 7, 2009 letter from the Deputy Assistant Secretary F. Michael Mundaca of the United States Treasury Department to Mr. Milton J.*

Bailey permits GO Zone Credits allocated in a prior year but returned in a subsequent year to be re-allocated in the year in which the GO Zone Credits are returned. Section 8222(b) of the 2007 SBWOT Act also amended Section 1400N(c)(3)(B) to permit the GO Zone, Rita Zone or Wilma Zone to be treated as a DDA during a period “ending on December 31, 2010.”

(iii) Extension of the PIS Deadline to December 31, 2011 Without DDA Extension: Section 763 of the Tax Relief, Unemployment Insurance Reauthorization, and Job Creation Act of 2010 (the “**2010 Tax Act**”) amends Section 1400N(c)(5) by striking “January 1, 2011” and inserting “January 1, 2012.” This amendment of Section 1400N(c)(5) extends the PIS Deadline for buildings allocated GO Zone Credits to December 31, 2011. The 2010 Tax Act did **not**, however, amend Section 1400N(c)(3)(B) to extend the treatment of the GO Zone as a DDA beyond December 31, 2010.

II. Hurricane Ike Disaster Area: Section 704(b) of the Tax Extenders and Alternative Minimum Tax Relief Act of 2008 (the “**2008 Tax Act**”) provided that Section 1400N(c) “*shall apply to any Hurricane Ike disaster area*. Among other modifications, Section 704(b) of the 2008 Tax Act modified Section 1400N(c) to apply only with respect to calendar years 2008, 2009 and 2010 and by substituting Hurricane Ike disaster area (the “**Ike Zone**”) for the Gulf Opportunity Zone each place it appears. Section 704(b) specifically provided Section 1400N(c) applied to the Ike Zone “*without regard to paragraphs (2), (3), (4), (5) and (6) thereof*. Paragraph (3) of Section 1400N(c) permitted the treatment of the GO Zone as a DDA; however, by disregarding, paragraph (3), the 2008 Tax Act does not automatically treat the Ike Zone as a DDA.

III. DDA Treatment of Buildings under Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008 (“HERA”): Section 3003 of Division C of HERA, adds a new clause (V) in Section 42(d)(5) that permits State housing credit agencies to designate buildings “*as requiring an increase in credit under this subparagraph in order for such building to be financially feasible as part of a qualified low-income housing project...as located in a difficult development area.*”

IV. Blanket Resolution to Treat GO Zone Projects Not Yet Placed In Service as Within DDA: The only limit on a State housing credit agency’s authority to treat buildings as being located in a DDA in order to sustain their financial feasibility is the availability of housing credit dollar amounts to increase the credit allocation to such buildings above the eligible basis without such DDA treatment. Under current law, buildings with GO Zone Credits must be placed in service by the end of 2011. Buildings which were initially underwritten with GO Zone credits with as 30% basis boost as a result of Section 1400N(c)(3)(A)(1) are at risk of losing the credits allocated to the DDA treatment solely because of technical glitch in the 2010 Tax Act to extend the DDA treatment to December 31, 2011 simultaneously with the PIS extension to December 31, 2011. Under such circumstances, the Louisiana Housing Finance Agency (the “**LHFA**”) Board of Commissioners (the “**Board**”) and staff should do what is necessary to provide

taxpayers with projects allocated GO Zone Credits attributable to the being located in a DDA that have not yet been placed in service and are facing closing deadlines, that the they will be treated by the LHFA as located in a DDA under clause (V) in Section 42(d)(5). If an officer of the Board initiates such confirmation, the Board may simply ratify such confirmation by resolution at the next Board meeting.

LOUISIANA HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY

The following resolution was offered by _____ and approved by _____:

RESOLUTION

A resolution providing for preliminary approval of the State's 2011 and 2012 Per Capita Draft Qualified Allocation Plan; and providing for other matters in connection therewith.

WHEREAS, Section 42 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code") provides for a low-income housing credit (the "Housing Credit") that may be claimed as part of the general business credit under Section 38 of the Code; and

WHEREAS, the Housing Credit determined under Section 42 of the Code is allowable only to the extent that the owner of a qualified low-income building receives a housing credit allocation from a housing credit agency such as the Louisiana Housing Finance Agency (the "Agency") unless the building is exempt from the allocation requirements by reason of Section 42(h)(4) of the Code; and

WHEREAS, Section 42(m)(1)(D) provides that Subsection (h)(4) shall not apply to any project unless the project satisfies the requirements for allocation of a housing credit under the Allocation Plan applicable to the area in which the project is located; and

WHEREAS, under Section 42(m)(1)(A) of the Code, the Housing Credit for any building is zero unless (i) such amount was allocated pursuant to a qualified allocation plan (the "Allocation Plan") of the Agency, (ii) the Housing Credit Agency notifies the chief executive officer of the local jurisdiction within which the building located of such project and provides such individual a reasonable opportunity to comment on the project, (iii) a comprehensive market study of the housing needs of low-income individuals is conducted before the credit allocation is made by a disinterested party who is approved by the Housing Credit Agency and (iv) a written explanation is made available to the general public for any allocation of housing credit dollar amount which is not made in accordance with established priorities and selection criteria; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 42(m)(1)(B) of the Code, the Allocation Plan must:

- (i) set forth selection criteria to be used to determine housing priorities of the Agency which are appropriate to local conditions;
- (ii) also give preference in allocation housing credit dollar amounts among selected projects to---
 - (I) projects serving the lowest income tenants,

- (II) projects obligated to serve qualified tenants for the longest periods, and
 - (III) projects which are located in qualified census tracts and the development of which contributes to a concerted community revitalization plan, and
- (iii) provide a procedure that the Agency will follow in monitoring for noncompliance with the provisions of Section 42 of the Code and in notifying the Internal Revenue Service (the "IRS") of such non-compliance which such agency becomes aware of and in monitoring for non compliance with habitability standards through regular site visits.

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 42(m)(1)(C) of the Code, the selection criteria set forth in a qualified allocation plan must include:

- (i) project location,
- (ii) housing needs characteristics including whether the project includes the use of existing housing as part of a community revitalization plan,
- (iii) project characteristics, including whether the project includes the use of existing housing as part of a community revitalization plan.
- (iv) sponsor characteristics,
- (v) tenant populations with special housing needs,
- (vi) public housing waiting lists,
- (vii) tenant populations with children,
- (viii) projects intended for eventual tenant ownership,
- (ix) the energy efficiency of the project, and
- (x) the historic nature of the project.

WHEREAS, Section 42(m)(2)(A) requires the Agency to allocate Housing Credits to a project in an amount which the Agency determines is necessary for the financial feasibility of a project and its viability as a qualified low income housing project throughout the credit period; and

WHEREAS, the Agency is required to take into account in making its determinations under Section 42(m)(2)(A) the following:

- (i) the sources and uses of funds and the total financing planned for the project;
- (ii) any proceeds or receipts expected to be generated by reason of tax benefits;
- (iii) the percentage of housing credit dollar amount used for project costs other than the cost of project intermediaries, and
- (iv) the reasonableness of the developmental and operational costs of the project.

WHEREAS, Section 42(m)(1)(A)(i) of the Code requires that the Allocation Plan be approved by the governmental unit in accordance with the rules similar to the rules of Section 147(f)(2) of the Code (other than subparagraph (B)(ii) thereof) of which the Agency is a part; and

WHEREAS, the Agency's Program Rule attached hereto as **Exhibit I** and the Selection Criteria attached hereto as **Exhibit II** utilized in connection with the Agency's Low Income Housing Tax Credit Application Package has been determined to satisfy the requirements of Section 42(m)(1)(B)(i) and (ii) and Section 42(m)(1)(C) of the Code and to satisfy the Agency's responsibilities under Section 42(m)(2); and

WHEREAS, under Section 42(m)(1)(D) of the Code, the Housing Credit for any project qualifying under Section 42(h)(4) of the Code is zero unless the project satisfies the requirements for allocation of a Housing Credit under the Allocation Plan of the Agency; and

WHEREAS, under Section 42(m)(1)(B)(iii) of the Code, an Allocation Plan is not qualified unless it contains a procedure that the Agency will follow in monitoring compliance with the provisions of Section 42 of the Code and notifying the IRS of any non-compliance of which the Agency becomes aware; and

WHEREAS, Section 42(m)(1)(B)(iii) is effective on January 1, 1992, and applies to all buildings placed in service for which a Housing Credit is, or has been, allowable at any time; and

WHEREAS, final regulations relating to (i) the requirement that State allocation plans provide a procedure for the Agency to monitor for compliance with the requirements of Section 42 of the Code, (ii) how the Agency is to report any non-compliance to the IRS, and (iii) the affect of such regulations on the Agency, owners of buildings or projects for which a Housing Credit is claimed, and taxpayers claiming the Housing Credits are contained at 26 CFR Part 1 (the "Compliance Regulations"); and

WHEREAS, Section 1.42-5 of the Compliance Regulations provides that a procedure for monitoring for non-compliance under Section 42(m)(1)(B)(iii) must include the following:

- (i) Recordkeeping and Record Retention Provisions of Section 1.42-5(b) of the Compliance Regulations;
- (ii) Certification and Review Provisions of Section 1.42-5(c) of the Compliance Regulations;
- (iii) Inspection Provisions of Section 1.42(d)-5 of the Compliance Regulations; and
- (iv) (iv) Notification of Non-Compliance Provisions of Section 1.42(5)(e) of the Compliance Regulations; and

WHEREAS, the form of the Compliance Monitoring Agreement attached hereto as **Exhibit III**, to be entered into by and between the Agency and owners of low-income housing projects, is sufficient to satisfy the Compliance Regulations relating to the requirements that an owner of a low-income housing project (i) keep and retain records for each qualified low-income building in the project, (ii) certify under penalty of perjury certain matters relating go the

operation of the project for prescribed periods, and (iii) make available the project and records in connection with the project for on-site inspection; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 1.42-5(c)(2) of the Compliance Regulations relating to reviews of each low-income housing project by the Agency, the Agency must

- (i) review owner certifications under Section 1.45-5(c)(1) for compliance with the requirements of Section 42,
- (ii) conduct on-site inspections of all buildings in the project by the end of the second calendar year following the year the last building in the project is placed in service and, for a least 20 percent of the project's low-income units, inspect the units and review the low-income certifications, the documentation supporting the certifications, and the rent records for the tenants in those units; and
- (iii) at least once every 3 years, conduct on-site inspections of all buildings in the project and, for at least 20 percent of the project's low-income units, inspect the units and review the low-income certifications, the documentation supporting the certifications, and the rent records for the tenants in those units; and
- (iv) require that the Agency randomly select which low-income units and tenant records are to be inspected and reviewed by the Agency. *[The review of tenant records may be undertaken wherever the owner maintains or stores the records (either on-site or off-site). The units and tenant records to be inspected and reviewed must be chosen in a manner that will not give owners of low-income housing projects advance notice that a unit and tenant records for a particular year will or will not be inspected and reviewed. However, the Agency may give an owner reasonable notice that an inspection of the building and low-income units or tenant record review will occur so that the owner may notify tenants of the inspection or assemble tenant records for review (for example, 30 days' notice of inspection or review).]*

WHEREAS, Section 1.42-5(h) of the Compliance Regulations provides that (i) the requirement of Section 42(m)(1)(B)(iii) that allocation plans contain a procedure for monitoring for non-compliance becomes effective as of June 1, 1992 and applies to buildings for which a low-income housing credit is, or has been, allowable at any time and (ii) Section 42(m)(1)(B)(iii) of the Code and the Compliance Regulations do not require monitoring for whether a building or project is in compliance with the requirements of Section 42 of the Code prior to January 1, 1992; provided, however, if the Agency becomes aware of non-compliance that occurred prior to January 1, 1992, the Agency must notify the IRS of that non-compliance.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Commissioners of the Louisiana Housing Finance Agency:

SECTION 1. PROGRAM RULE. The Program Rule attached hereto as **Exhibit I** is hereby preliminarily approved.

SECTION 2. SELECTION CRITERIA. The Selection Criteria attached hereto as **Exhibit II** is preliminarily approved.

SECTION 3. COMPLIANCE MONITORING AGREEMENT. The Compliance Monitoring Agreement, substantially in the form attached here to as **Exhibit III**, is preliminarily approved.

SECTION 4. Agency staff is authorized to hold public hearings as required by IRC Section 42 and to do any other necessary action in furtherance of finalization of the QAP.

SECTION 5. OTHER ACTIONS AND APPROVALS. The officers of this Board of Commissioners and the President or Vice President of the Agency are authorized and empowered to take any and all further action and to sign any and all documents, instruments and writings as may be necessary to carry out the purposes of this resolution and to file, on behalf of the Agency, with any governmental board or entity having jurisdiction over the Agency, such applications or requests for approval as may be required by law, in accordance with the requirements of Section 147(f) of the Code.

This resolution having been submitted to a vote, the vote thereon was as follows:

YEAS:

NAYS:

ABSENT:

And the resolution was declared adopted on this, the 13th day of April, 2011.

Chairman

Secretary

STATE OF LOUISIANA

PARISH OF EAST BATON ROUGE

I, the undersigned Secretary of the Board of Commissioners of the Louisiana Housing Finance Agency (the "Agency"), do hereby certify that the foregoing five (5) pages constitute a true and correct copy of a resolution adopted by said Board of Commissioners on April 13, 2011, providing for approval of the State's Qualified Allocation Plan; and providing for other matters in connection therewith.

IN FAITH WHEREOF, witness my official signature and the impress of the official seal of the Agency on this, the 13th day of April, 2011.

Secretary

SEAL

LOUISIANA HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY LOW-INCOME HOUSING TAX CREDIT PROGRAM 2011 AND 2012 QUALIFIED ALLOCATION PLAN

I. GENERAL PROGRAM INFORMATION2

A. INTRODUCTION.....	2
B. ALLOCATION POOLS.....	2
C. MAXIMUM TAX CREDITS.....	3
D. GOVERNING LIHTC PROGRAM DOCUMENT.....	4
E. MODIFICATION OF PROGRAM INSTRUCTIONS, REQUIREMENTS, AND PROCEDURES.....	4
F. OTHER FUNDING SOURCES.....	5

II. ALLOCATION PROCESS.....6

A. INSTRUCTIONS FOR SUBMISSION OF APPLICATIONS.....	6
B. TIMELINE OF 2011 CREDIT CEILING RESERVATIONS FOR COMPETITIVE APPLICATIONS.....	7
C. NON-REFUNDABLE FEE SCHEDULE.....	7
D. COMPETITIVE EVALUATION.....	9
E. PROJECT THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS.....	11
F. PROJECT TEAM/DEVELOPER THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS.....	13
G. OTHER PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS.....	16
H. PROJECT SITE INFORMATION.....	21
I. MARKET STUDY AND APPRAISALS.....	22

III. POST-AWARD PROCESSES & REQUIREMENTS26

A. CARRYOVER ALLOCATION OF TAX CREDITS.....	26
B. PLACED IN SERVICE AND ANNUAL AUDIT.....	26
C. COMPLIANCE TRAINING REQUIREMENTS.....	28
D. FEES TO CHDO OR NON-PROFIT GENERAL PARTNER.....	28
E. NOTIFICATION OF MATERIAL CHANGES.....	28
F. NOTIFICATION OF REPROCESSING CHANGE.....	28
G. SITE CHANGE.....	29
H. DEVIATIONS FROM SELECTION CRITERIA IN FILED APPLICATION.....	29
I. RE-ALLOCATION OF RECAPTURED TAX CREDITS BASED UPON HOUSING DISCRIMINATION.....	30
J. REASONABLE PROFESSIONAL FEES AND OTHER SOFT COSTS.....	30
K. QUALITY PRODUCTION.....	30
L. EXTENDED USE AGREEMENT, COMPLIANCE MONITORING AND OTHER REQUIREMENTS.....	30
M. PROTOCOL TO PRESENT MATTERS TO MULTI-FAMILY COMMITTEE/ FULL BOARD.....	31

IV. GLOSSARY.....32

I. General Program Information

A. Introduction

The Low Income Housing Tax Credit Program (the "LIHTC Program") was enacted to stimulate the development of affordable and workforce housing. The 2011 Qualified Allocation Plan (the "2011 QAP") has been developed by the Louisiana Housing Finance Agency (the "Agency") in compliance with Section 42 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code) and reflects a policy framework within which the Agency will allocate low-income housing credits ("LIHTCs" or "Credits") to taxpayers for the development of affordable housing that addresses the housing needs of Louisiana's citizens.

Mission and Allocation Goals - The Louisiana Housing Finance Agency shall administer the LIHTC Program to encourage the development and preservation of appropriate types of rental housing for households that have difficulty finding suitable, accessible, affordable rental housing in the private marketplace. The Agency's intent is to maximize the number of suitable, accessible, affordable residential rental units that are added to the state's housing supply and at the same time to prevent the loss of such housing by enabling the rehabilitation of affordable rental housing. The Agency encourages the participation of for-profit organizations and non-profit organizations in the acquisition, development and operation of accessible affordable housing developments in rural and urban communities. The Agency is encouraging production in rural, emerging growth areas/cities and/or un-served parishes of the State.

It shall be the goal of this Agency and the Board, through the allocation process and provisions of this 2011 Per Capita Qualified Allocation Plan, to encourage diversity through broad geographic allocation of tax credits within the state, and in accordance with the goals and objectives stated above; to promote maximum utilization of the available tax credit amount; and to allocate credits to different entities as practicable without diminishing the quality of the housing that is being built.

B. Allocation Pools

1. General Allocation: LIHTCs will be awarded on a competitive statewide basis to projects satisfying the highest public purposes measured by the competitively scored selection criteria.
2. Non-profit Pool: Ten percent (10%) of the Per Capita Component of the State's 2011 and 2012 credit ceiling will be allocated to a Qualified Non-Profit/CHDO Pool for reservations to qualifying Applications which evidence the material participation of a qualified non-profit organization.

Qualified Non-profit Pool or Community Housing Development Organization (CHDO) Pool: Applicants for reservations from either the Qualified Non-Profit Pool or the CHDO Pool must submit the following:

- IRS Determination Letter of 501(c)(3) or 501(c)(4) status
- Articles and Bylaws

- CHDO approval letter from participation jurisdiction if applying as a CHDO
- Non-profit Participation Information as required by the Application
- Development Services Agreement evidencing that Qualified Non-profit or CHDO will receive at least twenty percent (20%) of the Developer Fee.

3. Public Housing Agency (PHA) Pool: Ten Percent (10%) of the State's 2011 and 2012 Per Capita component will be allocated to qualifying applications from Public Housing Authorities. To qualify for this PHA pool, applicants must have the signed Certification Form from Louisiana Housing Council included in the application package and have at least 51% ownership in the project.

4. Rural Pool: Thirty Percent (30%) of the State's 2011 and 2012 Per Capita component will be allocated to projects located in rural areas. To qualify for this Rural pool projects must meet the rural definition.

Projects are allowed one (1) pool selection only. Projects that are deemed feasible and viable with the highest ranked score will be awarded from the selected pool until such time that insufficient credits are available to award the next highest ranked project. At that time, the balance remaining in the sub-pool will be transferred to the General Statewide Pool for reservations to qualifying applications in the state wide rank order.

Percentage of Credit Ceiling within each Designated Sub-pool. Sub-pool Percentages will be applied against the State's Per Capita Component.

d)

General Statewide Pool (General Credits)	\$	9,891,477
Qualified Non-Profit/CHDO Sub-pool (10%)	\$	1,978,296
PHA Sub-pool (10%)	\$	1,978,296
Rural Sub-pool (30%)	\$	5,934,887
Total 2011/2012 Per Capita Ceiling	\$	19,782,956

C. Maximum Tax Credits

1. Project and Developer Limits: No project will be reserved Credits in excess of \$1,500,000. Rural projects are limited to \$1,000,000. No Developer, related persons thereof or agents thereof or any person having an identity of interest with any Developer, related persons thereof or agents thereof or any combination of the foregoing shall be reserved tax credits in excess of \$1,500,000.

2. Bond Exceptions to Project and Developer Limits:

The limitation of tax credits per project shall not apply to the tax credits which are generated from a bond financing if the total number of low income units in the project is described in a notice of public hearing published in a newspaper of general circulation within the parish where the project is located and a public hearing is held in a local forum proximate to where the project is located. The public hearing must follow a Notice of Public Hearing published at least 14 days prior to the hearing. Such Public Notice must specify the number and percentage of low-income units in the project if the project contains fifty or more units or, if not specified in the Public Notice, the number and percentage of low-income units in the Project must be approved by the governing authority of the jurisdiction within which the Project is located.

D. Governing LIHTC Program Document

The Qualified Allocation Plan is the governing document for the LIHTC Program. If any inconsistencies with other program documents, including the electronic Application, are noted, the Qualified Allocation Plan is the controlling document and dictates the Agency's requirements for the LIHTC Program.

E. Modification of Program Instructions, Requirements, and Procedures

The Agency reserves the right to amend, modify or withdraw any of the program instructions, requirements or procedures contained herein that are inconsistent or in conflict with state and federal laws and/or regulations.

F. Other Funding Sources

1. HOME Investment Partnership Program – The Agency has available \$3,000,000 for projects. The maximum amount of HOME funds available to a single project will be the lower of \$1,000,000.00 or the maximum amount allowable under HUDs 221(d)(3) limits for elevator structures. HOME Funds will accrue interest at a rate and will be payable from fifty percent (50.0%) of Surplus Cash that results at the end of the Extended Use Period on the unpaid balance of the HOME Note combined with any other soft financing not exceeding 80% of the residual value of the project. Please note that effective January 1, 2011 HOME activities with commitments that are over 12 months old with no funds disbursed will be automatically cancelled by HUD and the funds uncommitted.

3. 30% Basis Bump Up Determination: Applicants may qualify for 30% Basis Bump Up if located in a Difficult Development Area (DDA) or a Qualified Census Tract (QCT). Applicants may refer to the following website for DDA and QCT designations: <http://map.sba.gov/hubzone/init.asp>

Intentionally Left Blank

DRAFT

II. Allocation Process

A. Instructions for Submission of Applications

1. Competitive Applications: Applicants for a Tax Credit reservation from the Calendar Year 2011 and 2012 Credit Ceilings must submit the application with all appendixes, exhibits and attachments electronically along with a non-refundable Application Fee and Analysis Fee (and the Subsidy Layering Review Fee, if applicable). The fees must be computed and submitted by no later than July 6, 2011 at 4:30 PM CT in accordance with the Non-Refundable Fee Schedule specified in Sub-letter C within this section.

NOTE: PAPER SUBMITTALS WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED

B.

1. Bond Financed Applications: Applications desiring to verify that a bond financed project satisfies the Qualified Allocation Plan must submit the application and all documents electronically along with the non-refundable Application and analysis fees (and the Subsidy Layering Review Fee, if applicable) computed in accordance with the Non-Refundable Fee Schedule. Processing of bond financed projects must be submitted to the Agency 45 days in advance of the meeting at which such project will be reviewed by the Agency's Board of Commissioner's in accordance with the latest approved Qualified Allocation Plan. Bond financed projects must satisfy all elements of the Qualified Allocation Plan. Bond Financed Applications may be submitted for projects located in any of the Parishes throughout the state. Cost and profit limitations and minimum score may be waived by the Governor in the executive order allocating private activity volume cap or by the Agency's Board of Commissioners following a review that determines that such additional costs are justifiable and reasonable under the circumstances or are attributable to unique development characteristics (e.g. location in a difficult to develop area, limited commercial space or tenant services or common areas essential to the character of the development). Taxpayer/Owners of bond-financed projects must enter into an appropriate regulatory agreement and compliance monitoring agreement prior to receiving Forms 8609.

2. Applications Must be Complete: Applications must be complete and include all appendixes, exhibits and attachments. Applicable fees must be submitted to the agency by no later than 4:30 CT on July 6, 2011. Fees must be paid only by **cashier's check or money order.**
3. **APPLICANTS ARE RESPONSIBLE AND ACCOUNTABLE FOR THE ACCURACY OF INFORMATION SUBMITTED AND FOR COMPLIANCE WITH PRESCRIBED RULES BY IRS AND THE AGENCY.**

LHFA HAS FULL AND FINAL AUTHORITY TO DETERMINE IF AN APPLICATION IS INCOMPLETE AND SHALL BE DISQUALIFIED

4. Application Deadline: The application deadline for delivering complete applications for review is:

4:30 pm, Central Standard Time, June 30, 2011

Applications not received electronically with all appendixes, exhibits and attachments on or before the deadline date shall be disqualified.

C. Timeline of 2011/2012 Credit Ceiling Reservations for Competitive Applications

Estimated Competitive Application Timetable:

January 19, 2011	Draft timeline submitted to the BOC for review.
March 25, 2011	Stakeholders meeting with developers, CHDO/Non-Profits, Local and National Investors, include potential tenants, representatives etc. (Location LHFA)
April 1, 2011	Deadline for receipt of additional written comments from stakeholders meeting
April 13, 2011	Draft QAP presented to the BOC
April 15, 2011	Release of RFPs for Market Study Analyst and Architect
April 18, 2011	Statewide Publication of Draft QAP/Public Hearing Notice
May 2, 2011	Public Hearing on QAP (Location LHFA)
May 11, 2011	Board adopts Final QAP – Simultaneous submission to Governor and Joint Committee on Budget (JLCB)
May 25, 2011	Application Workshop (Subject to analysts development of app)
June 30, 2011	Application Deadline
August 10, 2011	Presentation of Preliminary ranking and scores presented to BOC
October 12, 2011	Board Approval of Final Rank and Reservation of Tax Credit Projects

D. Non-Refundable Fee Schedule

Tax Credit recipients will be required to pay a Credit Award Fee upon award of a Tax Credit reservation by the Agency. The following non-refundable fees govern the Application, processing, reprocessing and reservation of Tax Credits and the fees to monitor and report non-compliance. All fees **must** be paid either with a wire transfer, money order or with a certified check. If any other form of payment is received, the unacceptable form of payment will be returned and the Application will be disqualified.

Please note that the Application Fee and Analysis Fee are due by no later than 4:30 pm CT on July 6, 2011. If the appropriate fees are not submitted to the agency, the application will not be further considered and immediately disqualified. Credit Award Fee will be due upon execution of the Credit Reservation Letter.

1.	<u>Application Fee</u>	
	1 to 4 units	\$ 100.00
	5 to 32 units	\$1,000.00
	33 to 60 units	\$1,500.00
	61 to 100 units	\$2,500.00
	Over 100 units	\$5,000.00

2.	<u>Analysis Fee</u>	
	1 to 4 units	\$ 100.00
	5 to 32 units	\$1,000.00
	33 to 60 units	\$1,500.00
	61 to 100 units	\$2,500.00
	Over 100 units	\$5,000.00

3. Reprocessing Fee
The reprocessing fee established in the fee schedule of the application will be required whenever reprocessing changes occur. The applicant must receive approval of the Agency for any reprocessing change to a project and the fee will be required at the time the reprocessing changes are submitted to the Agency for approval.

1 to 4 units	\$ 50.00
5 to 32 units	\$ 500.00
33 to 60 units	\$ 750.00
61 to 100 units	\$1,250.00
Over 100 units	\$2,500.00

4.	Market Study Fee (tentative)	\$4000-\$4500
----	------------------------------	---------------

5.	Superior Design Architect Fee (tentative)	\$2000
----	---	--------

6.	<u>Credit Award Fee</u>	5% of Credit Reserved
----	-------------------------	-----------------------

7. Annual Compliance/Monitoring Fee

The Minimum Fee will be charged based on project size. The Agency reserves the right to charge such additional amounts at any time as may be required to monitor compliance in accordance with the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, and regulations promulgated pursuant thereto.

Minimum Fee is as follows:

<u>Project Size</u>	<u>Minimum Fee</u>
1-4 units	\$ 20.00
5-16 units	\$ 80.00
17-32 units	\$ 160.00
33 and over	Amount equal to 50% of units in Project times \$10.00

- 8 Subsidy Layering/PIS Review Cost

(Note: If HUD or RD Housing Assistance or other Government Assistance is provided to Project a Subsidy Layering Review is required in addition to the PIS Review)

Subsidy Layering Review	½ Analysis Fee
PIS Review	\$250.00

ALL FEES COLLECTED BY THE AGENCY ARE NON-REFUNDABLE

E. Competitive Evaluation

1. Notice to Applicant and Rank Ordering of Applications: The Agency will notify the applicant upon receipt of an Application. Information will not be provided as to the Application's processing status during the review and selection process following the Final Application Deadline. However, the Agency's staff will process the score of each Application based upon information contained within the attached Selection Criteria and the processed score for review to the Board of Commissioners.

Staff will post to the Agency's designated website a copy of each Application's score, a copy of its feasibility and viability analysis and the tentative rank order list of all Applications prior to the submission to the Agency's Board of Commissioners.

Challenge Period

The Agency's challenge period runs from August 11, 2011 through August 26, 2011. During this period an applicant may challenge **in writing** the Feasibility Viability Analysis Report, Market Study Analysis and Staff's scoring. Complete challenge information, submitted electronically must be received by no later than 4:30 CT on August 22, 2011 for acceptance or review. **Written objections during the challenge period may be based only upon the interpretation of information submitted in the application by the Final Application Deadline as supplemented for clarification during the challenge period.** Developers will be offered the opportunity to meet with staff/review committee to review any submitted challenges during August 25-August 31.

Final Rank Order

Staff will provide each developer a reconciliation of their scores and ranking by no later than close of business on Monday, October 3, 2011.

Based upon such written challenges received by no later than 4:30 on August 22, 2011 and scheduled meetings with the staff/review committee during August 25-August 31, 2011, the staff will submit a supplemental report at the October 12, 2011 meeting of the Board of Commissioners concerning the scoring of each Application. The Board of Commissioners will approve the Final Rank Order of all Applications on October 12, 2011. No information other than requested supplemental clarifying information during the challenge period will be provided

as to an Application's processing status during the review and selection process following the Final Application Deadline. **THE FINAL RANK ORDER OF AN APPLICATION DOES NOT CONSTITUTE ANY ENTITLEMENT TO A RESERVATION OF TAX CREDITS IF A PROJECT IS OTHERWISE NOT FEASIBLE OR NOT VIABLE OR FAILS TO SATISFY THE MINIMUM SCORE UNDER THE QAP.**

2. Agency Request for Supplemental Data and /or Clarification: The Agency retains the right to request supplemental data to support information contained in an Application and/or information to explain or clarify data contained in an Application.
3. Noncompliance in Agency Programs: Developers cited for non-compliance in a project/program administered by the Agency or by an Agency or department of the United States at the time of Final Application submittal shall not receive a reservation/allocation of credits unless or until such non-compliance is cleared.
4. Communication with Contact Person: The Agency will communicate only with the contact person listed in the Application. Information received from persons other than the contact person will be disregarded by the Agency unless staff requested information be delivered directly by such other person.
5. Minimum Score and Threshold Requirements: The qualified allocation plan for the Tax Credit Ceiling for calendar years 2011 and 2012 requires that an Application evidence a minimum score of **39** in accordance with the published selection criteria prior to receipt of a reservation of tax credits.
6. Tie-breaking Procedures: Tax credits for Applications submitted for a competitive funding round will be reserved to projects in descending order of score until all tax credits available for reservation have been reserved. In the event of a tie between Applications for which there are insufficient credits to reserve to each project, the Agency will use the following tie-breaking procedure:

****Projects receiving the same score using the competitive selection criteria of the State Qualified Allocation Plan will be awarded tax credits in the order of a sub-ranking score using the total points for such Projects evidenced in the following categories from the Selection Criteria:**

- Selection Criteria IA (i): Projects which promote project diversity
- Selection Criteria IA (ii): Projects which promote geographic diversity

If the use of the sub-ranking score does not break a tie, the project requesting the lower amount of tax credits will be allocated credits in advance of other projects requesting higher amounts of credits. In the event that there are remaining tied projects requesting the same amount of tax credits, preference will be given to the project with the earliest application submittal.

7. Reservations Pursuant to Qualified Allocation Plan and Federal Regulations: The Agency reserves the right to make, revise, rescind or withdraw any reservations according to the Agency's qualified allocation plan and in accordance with published federal regulations, rulings, guidelines and notices.

8. Waiting List: All unfunded applications meeting minimum threshold will be placed in rank order on an Agency approved waiting list for further credit reservations as credits become available. The 2011/2012 waiting list shall remain active until either the next funding cycle, next QAP is drafted and approved or at such time the Board deems the waiting list not in affect.
9. Agency LIHTC Allocation: Notwithstanding any contrary statement or representation by the agency, or any contrary understanding or belief by the applicant, no decision of this agency regarding the allocation of a federal low income housing tax credit shall be final until the applicant receives an IRS Form 8609 properly issued by the Agency. Prior to the receipt of the IRS Form 8609 the agency may, in its discretion and at any time prior to the applicant's receipt of an IRS Form 8609, rescind or modify any allocation of a federal low income housing tax credit, if the Taxpayer or a Partner/Member of the Taxpayer has undertaken any action which is not consistent with the clear language of the QAP from which the low income housing tax credit was allocated. The Forms 8609 will not be issued if the Taxpayer or a Partner/Member of the Taxpayer has been found to be noncompliant with any provision of federal, state, or local law or regulation (including the terms of the pertinent QAP).
10. Binding Arbitration: Any and all disputes concerning, but not limited to any process, reservation, requirement, recapture procedure or other that evolves under this QAP or funding rounds or initiatives, will be resolved via binding arbitration at the expense of the developer.

F. Project Threshold Requirements

Many requirements formerly evaluated as part of the point-based competitive review section are now included as threshold items. Unless noted otherwise, projects with tax-exempt bond financing must also meet all threshold requirements to receive Tax Credits.

1. **Site Control**: Site control documentation in the form of either a fully executed purchase agreement, an option to purchase or a valid title in the name of the taxpayer or developer.
2. **Zoning**: Appropriate zoning evidence in the form of (i) an official local jurisdiction map that the site is actually zoned for the proposed project type or (ii) a letter from an official of the jurisdiction stating either that (a) the proposed project is consistent with existing zone requirements or (b) if the site is not currently zoned for the project type, that changing the existing zoning requirements to permit the project to be constructed will be completed by a date certain (not later than the date specified in the QAP for tax credit reservations). This documentation must be included in the final application submitted no later than June 30, 2011.
- 3.
4. **Infrastructure**: Evidence of essential infrastructure and proximity to other services:
 - (i) **Utilities**: Evidence of electrical, water and sewer lines to the property site, or if such facilities are not currently available, how such facilities will be available to the site.
 - (ii) **Transportation**: Evidence that reasonable transportation services are currently proximate to the site, or if such transportation services are not, a narrative statement of how tenants will access commercial, educational, recreational and other services upon completion of project:

(iii) **Educational Facilities:** Evidence that (a) primary educational facilities are reasonably available to school-age children of tenants if the project is for family units or, if such facilities are not, a narrative statement of how school-age children will access public educational facilities and (b) the local public school system has been notified about the estimated prospective population count of school age children in the project when the project is placed in service.

5. **Environmental Review:** All projects involving use of existing structures must submit an Environmental Restrictions Checklist completed by a professional licensed to conduct environmental testing. Any finding that environmental hazards exist must be mitigated or abated in accordance with an Operating and Maintenance plan that addresses how each hazardous material or condition will be addressed, including the training of on-site personnel in accordance with applicable local, State and Federal laws or regulations.

6. **Tenant Referrals from LRA/OCD, PHAs, Louisiana Family Recovery Corps and the Agency:** Taxpayer shall acknowledge and agree to rent low income units to households referred by the LRA/OCD, Louisiana Family Recovery Corps and/or the local PHA if the tenants referred to the Taxpayer satisfy the requirements of the Project's Management and/or Operating Plan.

7. **Minimum Internet/Cable Capacity Requirements:** All units must be equipped with networks to provide cable television, telephone and internet access in the living area and each bedroom. The following networks (combined or distinct) must be capable of being accessed and activated by tenants: (i) telephone network installed for phones using CAT5e or better wiring, (ii) network for data installed using CAT5e or better, networked from the unit back to a central location or similar configured wireless network and (iii) TV services network using COAX cable. The wiring for such networks should be available to tenants free of charge but tenants may be charged the actual fee incurred by the Taxpayer for activating and making available any services provided directly by the Project or through third party providers. The equivalent of wireless network access is acceptable.

8. **Energy Efficiency:** Each of the following are minimum project requirements for new construction. Full support documentation must be submitted or project will automatically be disqualified.

(i) All windows and doors Energy Star Qualified & matching correct climate zones

- Windows: U-value of 0.4 or less (R-value 2.5 min.)
- Windows: Solar Heat Gain Coefficient of 0.4 or less
- Windows: Ten-year warranty from date of delivery against breakage of the glazing panel's seal.

(ii) HVAC

- Energy Star furnace (90% AFUE or greater) or heat pump (HSPF 8.2)

- Energy Star air-conditioner (SEER 14 or greater)

(iii) Appliance

- Energy Star refrigerator
- Energy Star dishwasher
- Water heater: Gas (Energy Factor of 0.62 or higher) or Electric (Energy Factor of 0.93 or higher)

• All of the above components must be clearly and individually listed in an original stamped letter from either the architect or engineer of record. The letter must state that the entire construction envelope meets or exceeds the 2006

International Energy Conservation Code. Manufacturer's cut sheets must be submitted to document the energy efficiency of each component for which points are claimed.

Rehabilitation Projects are not required to adhere to the minimum Energy Efficiency requirements unless:

- (i) The physical needs assessment requires replacement of the item or
- (ii) The applicant chooses to replace an item or
- (iii) The Agency's architect, in consultation with the Agency's contracted underwriter, determines that an early replacement of an item with a more energy efficient system substantially improves the quality of life for residents with substantial benefits attributable to reduce deposits to reserves for replacement and/or reductions in operating expenses.

9. **Design Features:** All projects must meet the following design features: (1) All projects must have a 15-year or more maintenance-free exterior, such as brick, stucco, hardiplank or other fiber -cementious acceptable durable materials. Vinyl siding does not meet this requirement. Additional product may be added to this list subject to review of LHFA Architectural staff approval. (2) All projects must have at least a 25 year roof warranty (3) All projects must have at least storm windows.
10. **New construction projects** must meet new FEMA Guidelines dated 4/12/2006 or the most current available for the location of the proposed project for elevation of housing relative to Base Flood Elevation. An Architect's certification must be provided for any project located inside a levee protected area.
11. **Rehabilitation projects** must include in its scope of work elevation of the project to meet new FEMA Guidelines dated 4/12/2006 for elevation of housing relative to Base Flood Elevation. A flood elevation survey for the project must be submitted if it is located inside a levee protected area.
12. **Historic rehabilitation projects** must include in their submission information concerning minimum project requirements, including but not limited to Energy Efficiency requirements, Design Features, Base Flood Elevation requirements and Internet Cable requirements for consideration of applicable waivers at time of application.

G. Project Team/Developer Threshold Requirements

1. Development Experience
 - a. The Managing General Partner or Sponsor must have successfully developed, operated and maintained in compliance either one (1) Louisiana low-income housing tax credit project or the development of public housing/affordable housing or six (6) separate low-income housing tax credit projects totaling in excess of 200 units, Such Managing General Partner or Sponsor must:
 1. be identified in the application,
 2. become a general partner or managing member of the ownership entity,
 - and

3. remain responsible for overseeing the project and operation of the project for a period of two (2) years after placed in service.

All owners and Principals must disclose all previous participation in the low-income housing tax credit program. Additionally, Owners and Principals that have participated in an out of state tax credit allocation may be required to complete an Authorization for Release of Information form.

The Agency reserves the right to determine that a particular development team does not meet the threshold requirement of subsection (F)(1)(a) due to differences between its prior work and the proposed project. Particularly important in this evaluation is the type of subsidy program used in the previous experience (such as tax-exempt bonds, RD).

No Developer or Taxpayer utilizing a debarred participant in the development or operation of a project may be reserved or allocated tax credits

2. Management Experience

The management agent must have at least:

- a. One similar (size and type) tax credit project in their current portfolio, and
- b. One staff person serving in a supervisory capacity with regard to the project who has been certified as a tax credit compliance specialist.

Such certification must be from an organization approved by the Agency. None of the persons or entities serving as management agent may have in their portfolio a project with material or uncorrected non-compliance beyond the cure period. The management agent listed on the application must be retained by the ownership entity for at least two (2) years after project completion, unless Taxpayer releases such the agent for nonperformance of duties.

The development must be managed by a Property Management Company or On-Site Manager that has received LIHTC Compliance Certification dated no more than 12 months prior to the Application deadline from a program deemed acceptable to the Agency in accordance with industry recognized training standards.

3. Project Team Disqualifications

The Agency shall disqualify any owner, Principal or management agent, who is not in good standing because:

- a. has been debarred or received a limited denial of participation in the past ten years by any federal or state agency from participating in any development program;
- b. within the past ten years has been in a bankruptcy, an adverse fair housing settlement, an adverse civil rights settlement, or an adverse federal or state government proceeding and settlement;

- c. has been involved within the past ten years in a project which previously received an allocation of tax credits but failed to meet standards or requirements of the tax credit allocation or failed to fulfill one of the representations contained in an application for tax credits;
- d. has been found to be directly or indirectly responsible for any other project within the past five years in which there is or was uncorrected noncompliance more than three months from the date of notification by the Agency or any other state allocating agency;
- e. interferes with a tax credit application for which it is not an owner or Principal at a public hearing or other official meeting;
- f. has outstanding flags in HUD's national 2530 National Participation system;
- g. has been or is currently involved in any project awarded tax credits in 2007 or earlier for which either the permanent financing or equity investment has not closed;
- h. has been or is currently involved in any project awarded Non-GO Zone Tax Credits in 2008 or earlier for which the final cost certification requirements have not been met by December 30, 2010;
- i. has been or is currently involved in any project awarded tax credits after 2000 where there has been a change in general partners or managing members during the last five years that the Agency did not approve in writing beforehand;
- j. are delinquent or in default on any Agency Obligation (including but not limited to HOME repayment) as of the Final Application Date of June 30, 2011 will be considered ineligible to receive a reservation/allocation of credits during this Funding Round;
- k. has an outstanding audit report requirement or unresolved audit deficiencies as of the Final Application Date of June 30, 2011 will be considered ineligible to receive a reservation/allocation of credits during this Funding Round. It is the developers' responsibility to contact the Agency's Audit department to ascertain any outstanding or unresolved deficiencies;
- l. has unresolved outstanding 8823's or other outstanding Compliance Violations as of June 30, 2011 will be considered ineligible to receive a reservation/allocation of credits during this Funding Round. It is the developer's responsibility to contact the Agency's Compliance Department to ascertain any outstanding or unresolved deficiencies; or
- m. are currently out of compliance with TCAP closings or 1602 Closings or project schedules on current projects at the agency will be considered ineligible to receive a reservation/allocation of credits or 1602 funds during this Funding Round.

- n. Is not in good standing with the Agency.

A disqualification under this subsection (F)(3) will result in the individual or entity involved not being allowed to participate in the 2011/2012 cycle and removing from consideration any application where they are identified.

H. Other Program Requirements

1. **Local Community Notification:** The Applicant must include in the Application evidence that a Public Notice was published in a local newspaper having general circulation in the city, town, township or municipality of the proposed development area AND in the official journal of the local governing authority three (3) separate times between May 25, 2011 and June 29, 2011. This notice must include:

- The name of the project owner;
- The project name;
- The project address or location;
- The maximum number of units;
- The nature of the project (i.e. new construction or rehabilitation, elderly or family, etc and construction type and occupancy type along with proposed community facility and supportive services;
- State the project is competing for 9% Tax Credits provided by Louisiana Housing Finance Agency; and,
- Total development cost including funding sources and amounts

Applicant must provide proof that correspondence has been submitted to the Mayor and appropriate local governing authority of the smallest jurisdiction within which the project is to be located such as City Council where the project is proposed notifying them of the project and including above referenced notice information.

2. **Total Development Cost and Unit Size Limitations:** No project will be reserved credits if the limits and other requirements by type and size of unit specified below are not satisfied.

- a. **Minimum Square Footage and Full Bathrooms Per Unit Type:**

<u>Unit Type</u>	<u>Bathrooms</u>	<u>Square Feet</u>
Efficiency	1	450
1 Bedroom	1	600
2 Bedroom	1	750
3 Bedroom	2	900
4 Bedroom	2	1100

- (i) **Waiver of Minimum Bathroom and Bedroom Size.** The minimum bath rooms and bedroom size may be waived for an existing project which is being rehabilitated only if a federal program finances the unit and the federal agency administering the program which finances the unit requests a waiver of such limits.

- (ii) Reduction of Minimum Square Footage Per Unit. The minimum square feet per unit may be reduced by 10% for existing units if the local jurisdiction within which the units are located certifies that such units will comply with all applicable zoning and building codes.

b. Maximum Average TDC Per Unit by Development Type:

Acquisition/Rehab (incl. elderly)	\$125,000
New Construction/Conversions (incl. elderly, non-elevated)	\$175,000
New Construction/Conversions (incl. elderly, elevated)	\$225,000
Historic Rehab	\$275,000
Scattered Site	\$203,000

- c. Exclusion of Governmental Grants and Historic Credit Syndication Proceeds from Cost Limits. The costs of a development funded by a governmental grant or with the proceeds from syndicating historic credits will be excluded from total development costs for the purposes of establishing the Maximum Average TDC Per Unit and for purpose of calculating maximum qualified basis of a building or Project.

- d. Exclusion of Costs of Community Facilities and Community Services Facilities from Cost Limits: The costs associated with Community Facilities and Community Service Facilities will be excluded from total development costs for the purpose of establishing the Maximum Average TDC Per Unit. The costs of Community Facilities which are functionally related and subordinate to the residential units and the costs of Community Service Facilities not in excess of the sum of (i) twenty-five percent (25%) of so much of the eligible basis of the qualified low-income housing project of which it is a part does not exceed fifteen million dollars (\$15,000,000) plus (ii) ten percent (10%) of the eligible basis of such project as is not taken into account under (i) may be included in eligible basis of a building or Project.

- e. SRO Projects: Single Room Occupancy Projects will not be subject to Maximum Average TDC Per Unit Limits if the local governmental unit by resolution or ordinance endorses the SRO Project and certifies that the SRO Project will provide shelter to homeless persons or will receive Stewart-McKinney Act Funds.

- f. Projects Reallocated Credits Based on Housing Discrimination: Notwithstanding any other calendar year Qualified Allocation Plan to the contrary, additional costs to projects which are delayed based upon housing discrimination and which are reallocated credits will not be subject to cost limits if the project is otherwise feasible and viable and the Agency's Board of Commissioners acknowledges that the increased costs were due to circumstances beyond the control of the Taxpayer.

- g. Bond Financed Projects: Bond financed projects are not subject to the Maximum Average TDC Per Unit Limits.

h. Construction and Design Standards: All projects must must adhere to the Construction and Design Standards as contained in Exhibit B. Requirements for New Construction Scattered Site Projects are also contained in Exhibit B.

3. **Project Amenities:** All properties other than SRO Projects must include HVAC systems, refrigerators, stoves, an on-site laundry (1 washer and 1 dryer per every 10 units- except for Elderly Projects). If washers and dryers are installed and maintained in every unit at no additional cost to tenants, an on-site laundry is not required. All amenities, with the exception of the on-site laundry, must be available to the tenants at no additional charge. The requirement of an on-site laundry shall not apply to rehabilitation projects with 12 or fewer units.

4. **Profit Limits:**

Developer Fees

Developer Fees for a project shall not exceed fifteen percent (15%) of the Developer Fee Base plus either (i) five percent (5%) of the Acquisition Cost Base or (ii) 8% of the Acquisition Cost Base in the case of RD or HUD Distressed Properties.

No Developer Fee, including payments to consultants, will be allowed unless a Development Services Agreement is submitted as an Exhibit to the Tax Credit Application Package. The Developer Fee Base will include only the amount of Builder Profit, Builder Overhead and General Requirements at the maximum limits permitted by the Agency and will exclude all payments to the Developer or persons related to or having an identify of interest with the Developer. Fees paid to CHDOs or non-profits will not be added to the total amount of developer's profit cap.

Builder Profit

Builder Profit shall not exceed six percent (6%) of the Builder Profit Fee Base.

Builder Overhead

Builder Overhead shall not exceed two percent (2%) of the Builder Profit Fee Base.

General Requirements

The actual costs associated with General Requirements shall not exceed six percent (6%) of the General Requirements Base. Bond Premium paid by the Developer or the Taxpayer/Owner will be excluded from the General Requirements.

Syndication Costs

Syndication Costs in excess of ten percent (10%) and fifteen percent (15%) of Gross Equity for privately placed and publicly offered Syndications, respectively, will be treated as part of the Developer Fee.

5. **Self-Owned Equipment Limitations:** Costs deemed to lease self-owned equipment or to lease equipment owned by persons related to or having an identity of interest with the Developer or Builder will be considered as builder profit and overhead; provided, however, that certifications as to costs of fuel, lubrication, normal expenditures for such identified equipment, maintenance, repair and depreciation will be considered as a construction cost.
6. **Subcontractor Limits Related to builder Profit and Overhead:** No overhead and builder profit will be allowed when (i) more than fifty percent (50%) of the contract sum in the construction contract is subcontracted to one subcontractor, material supplier or equipment lesser or (ii) seventy five percent (75%) or more with three or fewer subcontractors, material suppliers or equipment lessors.
7. **Pro Forma Cash Flows:** All projects must submit fifteen year pro forma cash flows complying with the following requirements:
- a. **Rate of Increase Assumptions for Revenues and Expenses:** Revenues may be projected to increase at a rate not in excess of two percent (2%) and expenses must be projected to increase at a rate of not less than OCAF or three percent (3%).
 - b. **Required Debt Service Ratios:** Debt service ratios may not fall below 1.15 (1.10 for RHS Properties) unless the Taxpayer/Owner executes an appropriate escrow or acceptable guarantee in an amount equal to the maximum cumulative cash flow shortfall. If Debt Service Ratios during the compliance period with respect to all debt exceeds 1.4, the excess cash flow must be deposited to the Reserves for Replacement or used to prepay hard debts. The Minimum Reserve Balance shall be increased by such excess cash flow.
 - c. **Maximum Return on Taxpayer Capital for Projects with HOME Funds and Distributions of Surplus Cash:** Any project which receives HOME Funds from the Agency and which evidences satisfaction of the Minimum Reserve Balance will be permitted a Capital Recovery Payment on Taxpayer Capital equal to 350 basis points above the comparable Treasury bill yields as of the Closing Date that are coterminous with the return of taxpayer capital over a maximum fifteen year period. Tax Credit equity shall be disregarded as Taxpayer Capital. Surplus Cash evidenced in annual audits may be distributed each fiscal year so long as such distributions are limited to not exceeding fifty percent (50%) of such Surplus Cash.
 - d. **Terms Required for Cash Flow Notes:** Any cash flow note associated with the acquisition of an existing project must be accompanied by a schedule establishing the imputed principal of the cash flow note under Section 1274(b) of the Internal Revenue Code and any basis adjustment of the note and project pursuant to Section 1.1275-4(c) of the Treasury Regulations. All cash flow notes must mature on or before the end of the economic life of the project which may not exceed 55 years unless such cash flow note is a Developer Fee Cash Flow Note, in which case such Developer Fee Cash Flow Note must mature by the end of the initial Compliance Period of 15 years.

- e. Vacancy Rate Assumptions: Assume a five percent (5%) vacancy rate and two percent (2%) bad debt unless the project is located in a “soft” market as determined by the Independent Qualified Housing Consultant within which a higher vacancy allowance will be required. .
- f. Required Deposit to Reserves for Replacement: Minimum replacement reserves should equal \$250 per unit per year for new construction developments for seniors and \$300 per unit per year for new construction developments for families and developments involving rehabilitation. If the reserve deposits specified in Physical Needs Assessment exceed the foregoing minimum reserve deposits following rehabilitation, then the deposits to the reserved for replacement shall be the higher amount specified in the Physical Needs Assessment. Notwithstanding the foregoing, if HUD or RD finances the first mortgage, the annual deposit to the Reserves for Replacement may be determined in accordance with HUD or RD policies or regulations. Deposits to the Reserves for Replacement will be regulated and monitored in accordance with the Tax Credit Regulatory Agreement.
- g. Maximum Rents: Pro forma Rents for Application purposes may not exceed the lowest of market rents evidenced in the market study, HUD's most recently published fair market rents (FMR) or the maximum rent permitted by Section 42 or any subsidy program which benefits the project. Actual rents may not exceed the maximum rent permitted by Section 42 of the Code.
- h. Minimum Operating and Maintenance Expenses: Minimum operating and maintenance expenses shall not be less than \$3,600 per unit per year. For an existing project undergoing rehabilitation the Minimum Operating and Maintenance Expenses shall be increased if, following a review of the prior three years of audits of the project's operations, the expenses exceed the minimums and if the rehab to be completed will not reduce the historic expenses. SRO Projects shall evidence appropriate subsidies to sustain the proposed operating budget.
- i. Minimum Reserve Balance: Minimum operating reserves should equal six months of projected operating expenses. Initial operating reserves of up to \$2,000 per unit per year may be funded from project development sources. Initial operating reserves exceeding \$2,000 per unit must be funded either with deferred developer fees, unsecured debt or soft cash flow debt.
8. **Capital Needs Assessment:** A Capital Needs Assessment by an architect or engineer, dated no earlier than 90 days before the Application deadline, is required for all existing projects.
9. **Receipt of Cost Certifications and GAAP Audits:** Prior to mailing a Form 8609 for a project, the Agency must receive (i) a GAAP Audit, (ii) a Financing Certification, (iii) a Syndication Certification and (iv) a proposed baseline operating budget (including trending assumptions) as of the date sustaining occupancy is to be attained. The GAAP audit and the Financing Certification must certify all sources and uses of funds through the Placed in Service Date of a project and must clearly distinguish and show (a) costs that may be included

in eligible and qualified basis and (b) costs which may not be included in eligible and qualified basis.

10. **Subsidy Layering Review:** A subsidy layering review will be conducted in connection with any project receiving Governmental Assistance from HUD or RD in addition to housing tax credits for each of acquisition, rehabilitation and new construction uses.
11. **Processing Projects With Federal Funds or Insurance:** Projects receiving Federal Funds or insurance under a Federal program will be reserved credits only after the federal agency advises the Agency in writing that the federal agency providing such funds or insurance has no objections to the reservation of tax credits to the Project.
12. **Financing Commitments:** Fully executed Financing Commitments for all sources of funds must be included with the Application.
13. **Legal Description of Project Property Site:**

Projects in Urban and Rural Areas: The legal description and cost of the portion of a site on which the Project is located must be submitted in the Application involving a Project in Urban and Rural Areas. The legal description shall include parish, municipality, subdivision, tracts, section, ranges, boundaries, directions and measurements.

Scattered Site Projects in Urban Areas: A Scattered Site Project located in an urban area may identify only the street addresses for each separate site.

Consistency of Project Description: The legal description and/or street addresses of the Property Site included with the Application must be consistent with all subsequent documents submitted to the Agency during the development and operation of the Project. If a material inconsistency in the legal description of the Project Site between the Application Deadline and any reservation or allocation of credits is identified and such inconsistency constitutes a Site Change, the tax credits reserved and/or allocated to the Project will be subject to rescission and/or recapture.

Additional Sites: No additional sites may be included in a Scattered Site Project following the Application Deadline unless the Project is located in a Redevelopment Area and the local governmental unit requests the addition of new sites within the Redevelopment Area; however, no additional sites may be included in a Project following carryover allocation.

Map Requirement: A map identifying the Project Site must be included with all legal descriptions and/or street addresses. The map must show parish, municipality, subdivision, tracts, section, ranges, boundaries, directions, and measurements.

I. Project Site Information

1. **Certification of Architectural Plans and Specifications:** At least 45 days prior to commencement of construction or Substantial Rehabilitation of a project, the owner must submit certification of architect that (i) the unit configuration by bedroom size and square footage by bedroom size is consistent with the configuration in the

project's Application, (ii) the project as completed complies with Fair Housing Accessibility Guidelines and design features required by or subject to bonus points awarded in this QAP and (iii) if HOME or other federal funds have been invested, the requirements of Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 are satisfied. In addition to the Certifications shown in Exhibit B, Attachments IV and V, the Applicant shall submit Architect's Certifications at each of the following three (3) stages:

1. At the time the application is submitted. The certification should outline the proposed design and construction commitments.
2. At the time of Construction/Bid Documents are completed. The certification should verify that all the commitments outlined in the QAP and also described in the first Architect's Certification have been met.
3. When construction is completed. The certification should verify that the project was built per QAP commitments, as well as previous Architect's Certifications.

2. Signage on Property: Developers will be required to display sign upon start of construction through completion on project site that the project is being financed by Louisiana Housing Finance Agency.
3. Staff Visits: The Agency will make a minimum of three (3) site visits to projects before the issuance of 8609s. This is to ensure that the project is being constructed as stated in the application and in accordance to the QAP. The Agency reserves the right to add additional site visits if deemed necessary.
4. Construction Progress/Schedule Form: Upon receiving a Low Income Housing Tax Credit Award, applicants are required to submit electronically, monthly, on the first day of each month, a Construction Progress/Schedule Form including AIA documents. Failure to submit or the submission of erroneous information may result in the forfeiture of the tax credit reservation.

J. Market Study and Appraisals

Agency Evaluation

The Agency will administer the market study process based upon this section and additional criteria formulated through the procurement of market study analyst. The Agency will contract directly with market analysts to perform the studies. However, developers will be required to submit the cost of the market study analysis at the time of application. The Agency will limit the number of projects awarded in the same application round to those that it determines can be supported in the market. The Agency is not bound by the conclusions or recommendations of the market analysts(s) and will use its discretion in evaluating the criteria listed in this subsection.

The Agency is relying on the information prepared by third party market analysts and is to be held harmless by the action or inactions of the market analysts or their staffs. In addition, in the event that the applicant disagrees with the findings of the market analysts, the applicant should address its concerns in writing to the Agency during the challenge period to forward to the market analysts. If there is conflicting information in studies supplied in the same area, the applicant(s) in disagreement with the market study may request a supplemental study to be supplied by an approved market analyst of the Agency's choosing during the challenge period. The applicant(s) requesting the supplemental study will be responsible for the cost of the supplemental study.

The Agency will post its current Housing Needs Assessment to its website at www.lhfa.state.la.us. Developers are encouraged to utilize this information in evaluating prospective application projects and sites. All market analysts contracted by LHFA will consider this document in preparing individual market studies.

The Agency has the right to request additional or further analysis based upon market anomalies. The cost of any additional market studies to reconcile data will be at the developer's expense. Prior to ordering the additional market study, the Agency will notify the developer and of the cost involved.

The Agency will not allow a project to restructure (i.e. change the bedroom configuration, rent structure, elderly to family, etc) during the competitive cycle, once the application has been submitted, based upon the results of the Market Study. Please note that the Agency will require that the analyst simultaneously send to the developer, the underwriter and the Agency a copy of the market study – such submission to the developer will therefore precede Agency review.

Prior to granting awards, the Agency will undertake an RFP or other process to engage a firm or firms to perform individual market studies.

As it relates to the submission of Tax Exempt Bond Financed project applications the agency will select approved market study analyst on a rotational basis. Applicants must submit the appropriate Market Study Analysis fee with the Bond Application.

Market studies are deemed current for a period of 6-months from date of completion.

Project Evaluation And Market Study Information

Market studies ordered by the LHFA should evidence the housing needs of Targeted Households, Large Families, tenants with children, Special Needs Households (if project serves Special Needs Households) in the Market Area and the unmet needs of such individuals and families following the construction and/or development of the Project.

Projects may not give preferences to potential tenants based upon 1) residing in the jurisdiction of a particular government, 2) having a particular disability or 3) being a part of a specific occupational group.

The following five (5) criteria are **threshold requirements** for inclusion in all market studies:

- i. The project's capture rate,
- ii. The project's absorption rate,

- iii. The vacancy rate at comparable properties (what qualifies as a comparable will vary based on the circumstances), and
- iv. The project's effect on existing or awarded properties with 9% tax credits or Agency loans.
- v. The project's ability to meet housing demand established in LHFA's Housing Needs Assessment

The Agency is not bound by the conclusions or recommendations of the market analyst(s) and will use its discretion in evaluating the analysis.

Content of Market Study

In addition to threshold requirements, the Market Study must provide information under noted boldfaced captions with tabs and an index to locate the following within the Market Study:

1. **Executive Summary:** A statement summarizing the findings of the market study.
2. **Credentials:** Statement of experience and competence of the market analyst.
3. **Independence and No Identity of Interest:** A certification that the market analyst is independent and has no identity of interest with the developer of the proposed or existing project and that the market analyst was retained to perform the market study without conditions, including compensation based upon finding market need.
4. **Property Site:** A description of the proposed property site along with a map site identifying area of the Project. Color photographs of the site and neighborhood, a map clearly identifying the location of the project and the closest transportation linkages, shopping, schools, medical services, public transportation, places of worship and other services such as libraries, community centers, banks, etc.
5. **Demographic Analysis:** Analysis of the Income Qualified Renter Demand in the market area.
6. **Market Area:** Geographic definition and analysis of the primary and secondary market area which Project serves including a discussion of the Local/community perspective of rental housing market and housing alternatives
7. **Operations and Development Comparisons:** A description of comparable developments in the market area served by Project, including the following information or analysis, labeled accordingly:
 - a. **Subsidy** whether or not each comparable development is subsidized.
 - b. **Rent Levels and Vacancy:** a description of rent levels and vacancy rates of comparable properties, including subsidized and non-subsidized developments in market area served by Project.
 - c. **Operating Comparisons:** analysis of operating expenses using data from comparable properties in the market area served by Project, if available, or, if not available, using IREM or ULI data.
 - d. **Project Operating Statement:** projected operating funds and expenses of the subject Project.

- e. Public Housing: a summary of (i) the number and quality of units in developments owned by the local public housing authority and a statement concerning vacancy rates and waiting lists and (ii) the number of vouchers administered by the local public housing authority and the estimate of the households on the waiting list for vouchers.
 - f. Absorption Analysis: expected market absorption of the proposed rental housing units in the subject Project each month following certificate of occupancy.
 - g. Market Impact Statement: A description of the impact of the proposed housing units in the market area, including the impact of the proposed housing units on tax credit and other existing affordable rental housing in market areas.
8. **Federal Housing Agency Coordination**: The Independent Qualified Housing Consultant must identify all multifamily housing developments in the primary market area of the proposed project, which are financed by HUD, RD and the Agency. The consultant must provide a table evidencing the following information for each such project:
- a. Name of Project
 - b. Number of Units in Project
 - c. Type of Federal loan or credit enhancement, e.g., 515 loan, 202, 221(d)(4), etc.
 - d. Average vacancy rate in comparable projects in prior twelve-month period.
9. **Pipeline Analysis**: Certification of the number of building and demolition permits (or its equivalent) for multifamily housing units issued over the preceding 24 months by the local jurisdiction within which the project is located and that any planned or construction in progress will not adversely affect the market's absorption of the units in the project.
10. **Certification of Demand**: A Certification of Demand for New Units and Conversion executed by the Qualified Housing Consultant.
11. **Statement of Housing Needs of Low-Income Individuals and Large Family Households**: A statement of housing needs of Large Families and tenant populations with children in the primary market area and the extent there will be unmet needs for such individuals and families following construction/rehab of the Project.
12. **Location Characteristics**: Part II of the Selection Criteria contains a number of positive points and negative points relating to the location of a Project. Each Market Study must include a separate section that evidences whether the Project satisfies the positive points listed or incurs the negative points listed.
13. **Appraisals**: For rehabilitation projects an Appraisal must be submitted establishing the fair market value of any existing property when the purchase price of such property exceeds \$500,000 and the Acquisition Costs are included in Eligible Basis.
14. **Sources for Data**: Market analysts must clearly define all sources for data.

15. Information contained in the report must adhere to standard FHA/HUD Guide on Market Studies.

III. Post-Award Processes & Requirements

A. Carryover Allocation of Tax Credits

Projects may qualify for a carry-forward allocation of tax credits under the Ten Percent (10%) Basis Exception within twelve (12) months of the date of the allocation. A Taxpayer/Owner must deliver to the Agency all carry forward allocation documentation, including an appropriate attorney or CPA opinion in the format prescribed by the Agency, on or before December 15, 2011. Projects that have not met the 10% expenditure test as of December 2011 will receive a "Carryover Allocation Agreement" in lieu of the "Carryover Allocation Certification. Such projects shall have until June 15th of the following year to provide the necessary documentation evidencing their 10% basis expenditure test has been met.

Each Carryover Allocation Certification will be done on a Project-Wide basis with a designation stating the appropriate credit ceiling from which the allocation is made.

B. Placed in Service and Annual Audit

1. Placed in Service Audit: The Financing Certification, Syndication Cost Certification, GAAP Audit and Baseline Operating Budget must be received by not later than the April 1st of the calendar year following the year in which the Project is placed in service. The GAAP audit must specifically identify any unexpended contingency construction reserve and any operating/rent up reserve. The carry forward allocation of credits is subject to recapture if required certifications, audit and Baseline Operating Budget are not received by such date. The Taxpayer/Owner may request only a one-year extension for submission of the certificates and audits from the Agency and only if the Taxpayer has elected to begin the first year of the credit period following the year in which the Project is placed in service. Payment of developer fees to CHDO or non-profit partners partnering with a for-profit as a co-developer must be shown as fully paid in the placed in service audit. CHDOs and non-profit partners must receive not less than twenty percent (20%) of the Developer Fee for transactions receiving tax credits.
2. Annual Audit: Following the placed in service date of a project, Taxpayers must submit annual electronic audits to the Agency in a format prescribed in the Agency's audit instructions and using the Chart of Accounts prescribed by the Agency. All cash distributions and withdrawals from operating reserves and/or reserves for replacement must be explained in footnotes to the audit and all payments to Related Persons and contractors with an identity of interests to the Taxpayer/Owner must be identified. Annual audits must be received by not later than April 1st of each calendar year if the fiscal year is a calendar year or not later than ninety (90) days following the end of a fiscal year that is not a calendar year.

All audits must be submitted to:

AnnualAudits @lhfa.state.la.us

3. The Management Company of record for the project will be required to provide to the Agency, electronically, through an Agency approved medium any changes in contact information as well as but not limited to information on number of vacancies and unit mix.

DRAFT

C. Compliance Training Requirements

Taxpayer/Owners will be required to evidence to the Agency at least ninety (90) days prior to a Project's Placed In Service Date that the proposed on-site manager or the Management Company has completed compliance training within the prior (12) twelve months in a program deemed acceptable to the Agency in accordance with industry recognized training standards. No Form 8609 will be issued without evidence of such training.

D. Fees to CHDO or Non-profit General Partner

Prior to delivery of Form 8609 a for-profit co-general partner with a CHDO or non-profit general partner must certify payment of the fee paid to the CHDO or non-profit general partner is consistent with Development Services Agreement submitted with the Tax Credit Application. Developer Fees to CHDOs or non-profit general partners in connection with projects receiving an allocation must not be less than twenty percent (20%) of the total Developer Fees. Fees paid to CHDOs or non-profits, up to 20% of the developer's fee, will not be included in the developer's maximum profit cap. Therefore the total developer's fee may be up to 18%.

E. Notification of Material Changes

It is the applicant's responsibility to notify the Agency, in writing via certified US Mail, of any occurrence of a material change in a project. Such notifications must be sent to: Louisiana Housing Finance Agency; Attn: Tax Credit Manager, 2415 Quail Drive, Baton Rouge, LA 70808. Notwithstanding any provision of any other program requirements, no project that the Agency determines to have materially changed shall be deemed feasible or viable. **LHFA Board approval is required on all requests seeking material changes in a project.** A material change will be governed by Reasonable Man Standard.

- (i) Material Changes Prior to Final Application Deadline: The Agency may receive a new Application for a Project which has materially changed in advance of the Final Application Deadline for a competitive funding round. A new Application, along with the Application and analysis fees must be received by the Final Application Deadline. (This option is applicable only when a preliminary Application review is offered by the Agency.)
- (ii) Material Changes After Final Application Deadline: A material change occurring after the Final Application Deadline can result in cancellation of either the tax credit reservation or the carry-forward allocation of tax credits.

F. Notification of Reprocessing Change

It is the applicant's responsibility to notify the Agency, in writing, of any occurrence of a reprocessing change in a Project. A reprocessing change may occur prior to delivery of Form 8609 to a Project; however, Form 8609 will be withheld until the Taxpayer submits a revised Application and Reprocessing Fee. Following receipt of the revised Application and reprocessing fee, the Agency will complete a new legal, feasibility and viability review in order to determine any adjustment of maximum qualified basis and/or the tax credits to be allocated to the Project. Projects subject to reprocessing are at risk of credit loss if such Project fails to satisfy the minimum score or if other projects on the waiting list which are not reserved credits have higher scores.

G. Site Change

A Site Change, which has not been previously approved by the Agency's Board of Commissioners in a Project following the Final Application Deadline will automatically cancel any reservation, commitment or allocation of tax credits to such Project.

H. Deviations from Selection Criteria in Filed Application

When an Applicant requests a deviation from the selection criteria in the filed application and the deviation is not a Material Change as defined by the QAP or a change that a Reasonable Man would determine to materially change the project, the following penalty shall apply:

The developer shall select a substitute benefit or enhancement identified in the selection criteria in the QAP of equal or greater point value, Agency staff shall consider approval of the request and require the replacement benefit to the project be incorporated into the project, thereby enhancing the project by the same point value. There shall be no additional penalty to the developer.

If the developer or the agency determines there is no appropriate substitute project enhancement of equal or greater point value, the developer and all affiliates shall be subject to penalty points in the applicant's next tax credit funding round equal to the point value of the representation that cannot be satisfied.

When an Applicant requests a deviation from the selection criteria in the filed application and the deviation is a Material Change as defined by the QAP or by the Reasonable Mans Standard, the following penalties shall apply (e.g. Applicant got funded when someone else would have gotten funded).

1. The developer shall select a substitute benefit or enhancement in the QAP of equal or greater point value, the Agency's Board of Commissioners may approve the request and require the replacement benefit to the project be incorporated into the project, thereby enhancing the project by the same point value. There shall be no additional penalty to the developer.
2. If the developer does not select a substitute benefit or enhancement in the QAP of equal or greater point value:
 - a. The developer may surrender its allocation and there shall be no additional penalty to the developer.
 - b. If the developer does not surrender the allocation and no substitute selection criteria is selected, the developer and all affiliates shall be subject to penalty points in a single application in the applicant's next tax credit funding round equal to three times the point value of the selection criteria that cannot be satisfied.

Applicants requesting more than one (1) Material Change relating to selection criteria in a single funding cycle shall be subject to a one year suspension from the applicant's next tax credit funding round.

Any Material Change requested due to the reliance on a representation made by a Governmental Agency remains at the discretion of the Board and the penalties, if any, to be assessed by the Board.

The Board may waive penalties in extraordinary circumstances.

Notwithstanding the above, the Applicant/Developer must notify the Agency of any changes to the project, including but not limited to unit mix and development type. It is the Applicant's responsibility to provide required public notification of any such changes.

I. Re-Allocation of Recaptured Tax Credits Based Upon Housing Discrimination

Tax Credits which are recaptured from a Taxpayer will be reserved automatically to a project in an amount approved in a reprocessing of the Project Application upon receipt of all of the following:

- (i) Evidence that HUD or the Department of Justice has determined to proceed to process a complaint of housing discrimination because such a complaint has merit based upon evidence contained or certified in such complaint,
- (ii) A revised Application,
- (iii) An opinion of tax counsel to the Taxpayer that the project remains qualified for a Tax Credit reservation, and
- (iv) A report that the project remains feasible and viable at the time of the reallocation, and;
- (v) If additional credits are available, and additional soft costs have been incurred in the defense of housing discrimination, these costs may be included in the feasibility/viability analysis in an amount not to exceed the actual cost and the amount that the Agency has currently available and subject to underwriter and agency review.

J. Reasonable Professional Fees and Other Soft Costs

The Agency retains the right to determine safe harbors for reasonable professional fees and other soft costs that may be included in a project's development cost budget. Absent compelling reasons that professional fees and other costs should exceed such safe harbors, any professional fee or soft cost in excess of Agency approved safe harbors will either be excluded from the development cost budget in determining the gap for tax credit underwriting purposes or will be deemed to be paid through a deferred developer fee.

K. Quality Production

The Agency will require each Taxpayer's Architect to certify that the design and materials used to submit the Estimate and Certificate of Costs with the application satisfy the State's new building code.

L. Extended Use Agreement, Compliance Monitoring and Other Requirements

The Agency has approved the form of a Tax Credit Regulatory Agreement to be dated as of December 31 of the calendar year immediately preceding the first year of the Credit

Period, pursuant to which the Agency requires the Applicant/Taxpayer to fulfill the commitments and representations made in this Application. An Extended Use Agreement in accordance with the Code and Tax Regulations has been incorporated into the Tax Credit Regulatory Agreement. Upon execution, the Tax Credit Regulatory Agreement must be filed in the mortgage/conveyance records of the Parish within which the Project is located. A separate Compliance Monitoring Agreement also has been approved by the Agency and must be executed and returned to the Agency when the Project is placed in service and prior to the Agency providing Form 8609. The Agency may impose reporting and record keeping requirements, nondiscrimination regulations, and any other special conditions considered desirable by the Agency or the U.S. Department of Treasury.

M. Protocol to Present Matters to Multi-Family Committee/ Full Board

To facilitate the transmission of complete, thorough information and the analysis of requests that staff periodically receives from the development community; a protocol has been implemented for matters to appear on the Multi-Family Committee Agenda.

In order to be considered for the Multi-Family Committee Agenda, requests must be submitted at least two (2) weeks prior to the regularly scheduled meeting. All requests must be submitted via certified US Mail. Such requests must be sent to: Louisiana Housing Finance Agency; Attn: Tax Credit Manager, 2415 Quail Drive, Baton Rouge, LA 70808. Exceptions to the QAP will be reviewed by staff on a case by case basis. The request should include a clear statement from the developer on why a waiver is necessary, benefits derived from the exception, along with all available documentation to support their position. Within two (2) business days of receipt, the requester will receive a "Receipt of Acknowledgement" via e-mail restating the issues as presented along with a statement of the staff's position. If additional information is required from the developer, it must be submitted within two (2) working days.

Based upon the outcome of the matter presented to the Board, an "Infraction log" will be maintained and will include the developer's name, type of request, and approval/ denial of request.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, the submittal of information does not guarantee that the matter will be created as an action item. Item may appear under other business.

Intentionally Left Blank

IV. Glossary

ABANDONED UNIT: A housing unit which has been certified by the Developer/Owner and the local jurisdiction within which the housing unit is located that the unit is Substandard and has not been occupied for at least ninety (90) days. Evidence must be submitted with the Application that the local jurisdiction specifically identified the unit and stated that the unit is substandard and has been vacant for at least ninety (90) days.

ABANDONED PROJECT: A project in which 100% of the housing units are Abandoned Units.

ACCESSIBLE: A site, building, facility or portion thereof that complies with the accessibility requirements of the Fair Housing Act and is handicap adaptable.

ACCESSIBLE PROJECT: A project in which at least twenty-five percent (25%) of the units are Disability Equipped Units and set aside for Disabled Households and evidencing appropriate Project Based Subsidy to support Disabled Households occupying the Disability Equipped Units whose incomes are at or below thirty percent (30%) of area median income. Accessible Project must provide that five percent (5%) of units be accessible for people with mobility impairment and two percent (2%) for people with hearing or vision impairments.

ACCESSIBLE UNITS: Units which satisfy Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 and fully meet the handicap accessibility requirements of the Uniform Federal Accessibility Standard.

ACQUISITION COSTS: The actual costs of the buildings on the Property Site prior to rehabilitation of such buildings plus any additional indirect costs of acquiring the buildings.

ACQUISITION COST BASE: The Acquisition Costs exclusive of any Developer Fee or Acquisition Fee.

ACQUISITION FEE: Any fee, other than a broker fee to a professional broker listing the Project, for arranging the purchase of the building or Project for which tax credits are requested.

ADAPTABLE: The flexibility of certain building spaces to add features or adjust elements in a short time by unskilled labor without structural or material changes to accommodate the needs of persons with different types or degrees of disability. Examples of adaptable features include counter tops or closet rods that are supported by adjustable supports rather than built into the wall at a fixed level.

ADDITIONAL AFFORDABILITY PROJECT: A Project that agrees have equal twenty percent (20%) set asides for households in each of the following income classes:

- (a) at or below twenty percent (20%) or less of the AMI,
- (b) above 20% AMI but less than or equal to thirty percent (30%) of the AMI and
- (c) above 30% AMI but less than or equal to forty percent (40%) of the AMI and
- (d) above 40% AMI but less than or equal to fifty percent (50%) of the AMI.

ADULT RESIDENTIAL CARE PROJECT: A Project submitted under the Elderly Project Pool that provides personal care for activities of daily living and instrumental activities of daily living available 24 hours a day; chore services, age and ability appropriate social and recreational activities, assistance with meals, medication administration assistance, coordination/provision of transportation, housekeeping and laundry and intermittent nursing services. Such projects must supply proof of approval to receive Medicaid waiver funds through the Louisiana Department of Health and Hospitals. Projects will be allowed to adjust unit sizes to be consistent with the ARCP Licensing Standards for ARCP development.

AFFILIATE: Any corporation, entity, partnership, venture, syndicate, or arrangement in which a local housing authority has an ownership or governance interest of less than a majority either directly or indirectly through one or more subsidiaries.

ADVANCE RESERVATION (FORWARD COMMITMENT): A reservation of credits from a credit ceiling in a calendar year following the competitive funding round in which a project has been evaluated.

AMEC MODEL: The Asset Management Electronic Model to be used for performing Asset Management functions in connection with 1602 or TCAP awarded projects.

AMENITIES: Equipment and/or facilities which are for the exclusive benefit of the residents and which are not essential to constituting a residential rental unit and which are not being submitted as a Community Facility. Examples of such amenities are: 1) recreational facilities such as basketball or tennis courts, 2) swimming pools, 3) learning centers, 4) family counseling facilities, 5) gates accessible only with ID or other device and 6) non-essential appliances, e.g.: built-in dishwashers, clothes washers and dryers and microwave ovens. (Air conditioning or heating systems are not considered an amenity). Amenities that are constructed in one phase of a multiple phase project qualify as an amenity in all subsequent phases of such project.

AMI: Area median income as determined by HUD and as may be adjusted by family size.

ANALYSIS FEE: The fee by that name as calculated in accordance with the Non-Refundable Fee Schedule.

APPLICATION CHECKLIST: The form by that name contained in the Application for Tax Credits.

APPLICATION FEE: The fee by that name as calculated in accordance with the Non-Refundable Fee Schedule.

ASSET MANAGER: The Agency or 3rd Party Asset Manager selected by the Agency.

AUDIT INSTRUCTIONS: The instructions to be posted to the Agency's web site and to be used by an independent CPA to submit placed in service and annual audits.

BASELINE OPERATING BUDGET: The budget established for a project during the first year of the Credit Period that is formatted in accordance with the Agency's Chart of Accounts.

BEDROOM: An area of a unit to be used for sleeping and not primarily for family activities other than sleeping.

BUILDER: The licensed general contractor or any other entity executing a contract with the Developer/Owner to construct and/or rehabilitate a housing unit.

BUILDER OVERHEAD: Portion of a general contractor's expenses necessary to conduct business which directly concerns the Project and may include such items as office rent, fuel, lights, telephone and telegraph, stationery, office supplies, fire and liability insurance for the office, salaries of office employees such as a bookkeeper, social security taxes, public liability insurance, workmen's compensation insurance, and unemployment compensation taxes for office personnel. The allowance does not include salaries of the builder or executives of the builder.

BUILDER PROFIT FEE BASE: The construction hard costs specified in the Estimate and Certificate of Actual Cost which hard costs must be audited by an independent certified public accountant in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards utilizing generally accepted accounting principles and reduced by any General Overhead.

BUILDING: A discrete edifice or other man-made construction consisting of an independent foundation, outer walls and roof.

CAPITAL FUNDS: Funds appropriated to the Local PHA to carry out capital and management activities in accordance with the provisions of Section 9 of the United States Housing Act of 1937, as amended

CAPITAL NEEDS ASSESSMENT: An inspection report of an existing building or project by an architect or engineer conducted in accordance with Fannie Mae's Physical Needs Assessment Guidance to the Property Evaluation which (i) identifies the age and condition of the building or project and related major systems (including climate control equipment, plumbing and fixtures, cooking and other kitchen equipment, roofs, exterior siding and electrical systems), (ii) specifies the required repair and/or rehabilitation of the buildings and systems (including the estimated costs of each) over at least twenty years following the Placed in Service Date, (iii) estimates the useful remaining life of the project and related major systems following their repair, (iv) specifies the minimum amount which must be deposited to the repair and replacement reserve over twenty years to maintain property quality and habitability standards and (v) either identifies the presence of environmental hazards, such as asbestos, lead paint and mold on the property or contains an Exhibit A Phase I Environmental.

CAPITAL RECOVERY PAYMENT(S): The monthly payments, or, if no cash exists for the payment thereof, the monthly accruals to reimburse the Owner, with interest, for the capital contributions made by Owner.

CAPITALIZED COSTS: The expenditures relating to the acquisition, rehabilitation or construction of a building and any facilities functionally related and subordinate thereto which may be included in the adjusted basis of the building for depreciation.

CARRYOVER ALLOCATION: A commitment by the Agency following receipt from the Taxpayer of the ten percent (10%) carryover certification of a portion of the current calendar year credit authority to a project that will not be placed in service by the end of the current calendar year. Projects receiving a carryover allocation must be completed and placed in service in accordance with the Project Schedule and not later than two years after receiving a carryover allocation.

CASH FLOW NOTE: Any evidence of indebtedness which is issued or assumed in connection with the acquisition of a building and which (i) is not payable in whole or in part in accordance with a fixed amortization schedule or (ii) is payable in whole or in part only to the extent of profit or the cash flows of the Project.

CDBG FUNDS: Community Development Block Grant Funds.

CHART OF ACCOUNTS: The standard chart of accounts to be posted to the Agency's web site and which will be used to submit annual audits of a project to the Agency.

CHDO: A community housing development organization as defined at 24 CFR Part 92.2 of the Federal Regulations.

CLOSING DATE: The date that title to the Project transfers to the Taxpayer if not previously conveyed to the Taxpayer and date on which the Construction Contract, all financing agreements and final notes are executed and all mortgages are recorded (or, if not actually recorded, delivered to a recordation agent for recording as appropriate title policies are released).

CODE: The Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended.

COMMUNITY FACILITIES: Facilities located on the Project Site which are functionally related and subordinate to a Project and which are intended to primarily (not exclusively) benefit tenants

of a Project but which are available to neighborhood residents without charge or a fee. Community facilities must be relative to the type of project and number of units and in compliance with locale codes. It must contain at least 15 net square feet for each residential units. It must also have a kitchen or kitchenette that will be available to all residents. Community facilities may not be amenities. If a Project is a phase of a larger development, only the Community Facility identified on the Project Site of the phase may qualify as a Community Facility for that phase only and shall not be qualified for any other phase. **(Excluded from receiving Community Facility Selection Criteria points are Scattered Site Rental Projects on Non-Contiguous Land and all Homeownership Projects.)**

COMMUNITY SERVICE FACILITY: Any facility which is (i) located in a Qualified Census Tract and (ii) designed to serve primarily individuals whose income is sixty percent (60%) or less of area median income within the meaning of section 42(g)(1)(B) of the Code by satisfying the following conditions:

First, the facility must be used to provide services that will improve the quality of life for community residents.

Second, the taxpayer must demonstrate that the services provided at the facility will be appropriate and helpful to individuals in the area of the project whose income is 60 percent or less of area median income. This may, for example, be demonstrated in the market study required to be conducted under §42(m)(1)(A)(iii), or another similar study.

Third, the facility must be located on the same tract of land as one of the buildings that comprises the qualified low-income housing project.

Finally, if fees are charged for services provided, they must be affordable to individuals whose income is 60 percent or less of area median income.

COMPLETION DATE: The last date permitted in the Construction Contract for completing construction and /or rehabilitation.

CONCERTED COMMUNITY REVITALIZATION PLAN: A plan, including measurable and/or tangible objectives, approved by a local governmental unit following a public hearing which describes an area and the incentives and the measures to coordinate and target resources to the area for purposes of redeveloping or revitalizing the area and which identifies the strategies and organizations to implement revitalization.

CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT: Means the agreement between the Taxpayer and the Contractor for construction and/or rehabilitation of the Project.

CONSTRUCTION START DATE: The date on which construction work pursuant to a construction contract actually begins.

CONTACT PERSON: The person listed as the Contact Person by the Taxpayer in the Tax Credit Project Summary or such substitute individual specified in writing by the Managing General Partner. The Contact Person may not be a professional who will render any independent and/or unqualified professional opinion to the Agency.

CONTINGENCY FEE: A fee to be earned by a Developer or other professional in consideration of achieving a specified benchmark for earning such fee.

CONSTRUCTION CONTINGENCY: An amount not in excess of the lower of ten percent (10%) of the Hard Cost or such lower amount as may be determined by the Agency as reasonable for the construction or rehabilitation of a project.

CONTRACTOR: The person who is licensed as a general construction contractor by the state and who has executed a construction contract with the Taxpayer.

CONTROL: Having the capacity or the power to designate 25% or more of the board or management of an entity or general partner of a limited partnership.

CONVERSION: The process of rehabilitating a nonresidential building to residential rental use.

CREDIT CEILING: The dollar amount of credits available in a calendar year for allocation by the Agency.

DEBARRED PARTICIPANT: Any developer, person, company, firm, staff or development team member or employee thereof, who (i) is currently debarred, suspended, declared ineligible or is prohibited from participating in any housing program sponsored by any federal agency, local government or instrumentality thereof or (ii) is convicted or pleads guilty to any criminal offense related to the construction, development, ownership, management or operation of a housing development.

DEBARMENT CAUSES: Providing fraudulent documents or committing fraudulent acts, failing to fulfill reasonable Agency requests in a prescribed time period following expenditure or use of Agency resources, or having a controlling ownership interest in a project determined to be in material non-compliance with any federal, state or local requirement related to the development or operation of such project. Other causes may be determined by the Agency to constitute cause for debarment following an appropriate administrative hearing on the record which permits the person subject to sanction an opportunity to contest the facts specified as the debarment cause.

DEBARMENT PROCEDURES: The procedures established by the Agency pursuant to which the compliance division recommends debarment of a Developer to the Agency's General Counsel and upon the Agency's General Counsel's concurrence with such recommendation the Board of Commissioners approve the debarment following an opportunity of the Developer to contest the recommended actions at a public meeting of the Board of Commissioners.

DEBARMENT SANCTION: Includes, but is not limited to, suspension from participation in Agency programs, cancellation of reservations or commitments, funding of additional escrows, etc. Agency applied sanctions will be reported to other state, federal or local governments, or instrumentalities thereof.

DEBT SERVICE RATIO: Payments on any amortizing mortgage secured debt divided by the sum of all operating expenses plus Required Reserve Deposit.

DELTA PARISHES: Caldwell, East Carroll, Franklin, Madison, Morehouse, Ouachita, Pointe Coupee, Richland, Tensas, West Carroll.

DEVELOPER: Any person or entity (including persons or entities which constitute Related Persons to such person or entities or have an identity of interest with such person or entity) which owns or develops a Project, including any general partner of a partnership, any Builder related to or having an identity of interest with the person or entity which owns or develops the project and any consultant receiving any fee or compensation to assist in the development of a Project.

DEVELOPER FEE: Any profit, fee or income realized by the Developer in connection with the development of the project as specified in a GAAP Audit and which satisfy the Developer Fee Terms.

DEVELOPER FEE BASE: The Development Costs of a Project reduced by (i) any Acquisition Costs, (ii) any Land Costs, (iii) any payments deemed lease payments for self-owned equipment, (iv) any payments to related persons or to persons with an identity of interest to the Developer, and (v) any Developer Fees (including Builder Profit and Overhead when there is an identity of interest between the Builder and the Developer).

DEVELOPER FEE TERMS: The fees and income of a Developer as may be specified in the Development Services Agreement between a Developer and the Taxpayer which must meet the following requirements and/or include the following information:

- (1) The fee is reasonable and does not exceed the limits on Developer Fees established by the Agency;
- (2) The taxpayer is legally obligated to pay the fee;
- (3) The portions of the fee, if any, allocable to Land Costs, Organizational Costs, Acquisition Costs and Syndication Costs;
- (4) The fee is not paid (or to be paid) by the taxpayer to itself; and
- (5) If the fee is paid (or to be paid) by the taxpayer to a related person, and the taxpayer used the cash method of accounting, the taxpayer could properly accrue the fee under the accrual method of accounting (considering, for example, the rules of section 461(h) of the Code).

DEVELOPMENT COSTS: The costs of acquiring land or buildings or constructing and/or rehabilitating buildings and facilities functionally related and subordinate to such buildings as certified in a GAAP Audit by an independent certified public accountant in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards utilizing generally accepted accounting principles as of the placed in service date of the building or as of the end of the first year of the credit period for the building.

DEVELOPMENT PLAN OF ACTION: A plan of action to redevelop an area defined by a local governmental unit or qualified non-profit organization in accordance with the requirements of Section 42(i)(3)(E) of the Code.

DEVELOPMENT SERVICES AGREEMENT: The agreement(s) with a Developer, including any consultants, evidencing (i) the Developer Fee Terms, including the amount of the Developer Fee and how it's to be paid, (ii) how the Developer Fee will be determined, (iii) who is the Developer and the Developer's relationship to the Taxpayer or to the general partner of the Taxpayer, (iv) the individuals controlling the Developer who are primarily responsible for performing the services of the Developer and (v) the nature of the services to be performed by the Developer.

DEVELOPMENT TEAM: Any party identified in the Application as such or any other party identified who advances funds to the Developer or Taxpayer prior to an allocation.

DHH: The Louisiana State Department of Health and Hospitals.

DIFFICULT DEVELOPMENT AREAS: Areas designated by HUD as an area which has high construction, land, and utility costs relative to area median gross income.

DISABLED HOUSEHOLD: A household composed of one or more persons at least one of whom has: (1) a disability as defined in Section 223 of the Social Security Act or (2) is determined to have a physical or emotional impairment that is expected to be on long-continued and indefinite duration and the impairment substantially impedes his/her ability to live independently, and is of such a nature that such ability could be improved by more suitable housing conditions **OR** (3) has a developmental disability as defined in Section 102 of the Developmental Disability Assistance and Bill of Rights Act.

DISABILITY EQUIPPED UNITS: Units which satisfy Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 and fully meet the handicapped accessibility requirements of the Uniform Federal Accessibility Standard.

DISTRESSED PROPERTY: Any federally-assisted building for which a waiver of the ten year period described in Section 42(d)(2)(B)(ii) is obtained or a building which qualifies for such a waiver but for the building having been last placed in service more than ten years prior to the Application deadline or any project financed by RD and placed in service 15 years or earlier from the Application Deadline which project requires rehab (exclusive of soft and intermediary costs) of \$6,000 or more per unit.

DSS: The Louisiana State Department of Social Services.

ECONOMIC LIFE: The maturity of any debt funded by either HUD or RD; provided that economic life shall not exceed fifty-five (55) years or the period which may be reported for federal income tax purposes as the economic life of a building.

ELDERLY HOUSEHOLD: A household composed of Elderly Persons; provided that a non-Elderly Person may reside in the household only if such household qualifies pursuant to the Fair Housing Act.

ELDERLY PERSON: A person who is 62 years of age or more at the time of initial occupancy.

ELDERLY HOUSING PROJECT: A project included under the Fair Housing Act determined to be Elderly Housing.

ELIGIBLE TARGET POPULATION FOR PERMANENT SUPPORTIVE HOUSING:

Extremely Low Income Households consisting of one or more of the following:

- (i) Hurricane displaces in need of permanent supportive housing living in the homeless shelter system or otherwise in temporary housing,
- (ii) Households in which an individual or household member has substantial, long term disability as determined by DHH/DSS, including any one of the following:
 - (a) Serious Mental Illness
 - (b) Addictive Disorder (i.e., individuals in treatment/ recovery from substance abuse disorder),
 - (c) Developmental Disability (i.e., mental retardation, autism, or other disability acquired before the age of 22),
 - (d) Physical, sensory, or cognitive disability occurring after the age of 22 or
 - (e) Disability caused by chronic illness (e.g., people with HIV/ AIDS who are no longer able to work),
- (iii) *Frail Elderly Household,*
- (iv) Homeless Household in need of permanent supportive housing or a household determined by DSS to be most-at-risk of homelessness and in need of permanent supportive housing or
- (v) An individual or household member aging out of the foster care system and determined by DSS to be in need of permanent supportive housing.

EQUITY: Funds which are provided by investors in a project and which are contingent upon the value attributed to the tax benefits generated by ownership of the project.

EXISTING HOUSING: Housing units which have previously been occupied.

EXPENDITURES EXCLUDED FROM ELIGIBLE BASIS:

(A) Items noted in the IRS Audit Guidelines, including Land Costs and the following enumerated items:

- Organization Costs
- Syndication Costs
- Bridge loan interest and origination fees
- Permanent loan credit enhancement, origination fees and closing costs
- Reserves required by the lender
- Marketing/advertising
- Compliance fees

(B) Items noted in Internal Revenue Service National Office Technical Advice Memorandums Nos. 100727-00; 100740-00; 100743-00; 100745-00; and 100748-00; including the following:

- Developer Fees Allocated to Land
- Unused Construction Contingency
- Rent up Costs
- Local Impact Fees (See "C" below which reverses this treatment)
- Landscaping not affected by replacement of building and considered inextricably associated with the land
- Surveys not used to determine if improvements can be built on site
- Bond Issuance Costs
- Partnership Syndication and Formation

(C) Revenue Ruling 2002-9 now requires impact fees incurred by developer of residential rental building to be capitalized under Section 263A as indirect costs allocable to the building.

EXTREMELY LOW INCOME HOUSEHOLDS: Households in which the household income at initial occupancy is thirty percent (30%) or less of area median income.

FACILITY: A least consisting of a building commensurate with the activities to take place or the services to be provided therein.

FEDERAL HOUSING ACT: The United States Housing Act of 1937, as amended through April 1, 2005.

FEDERALLY ASSISTED BUILDING: Any building which is substantially assisted, financed or operated under Section 8 of the United States Housing Act of 1937, Section 221(d)(3), 221(d)(4) or 236 of the National Housing Act, Section 515 of the Housing Act of 1949, or any other housing program administered by the Department of Housing and Urban Development or by Rural Housing Service of the Department of Agriculture.

FEDERALLY SUBSIDIZED BUILDINGS: Federally Subsidized Buildings are only buildings financed with tax-exempt bonds under Section 103 of the Code. Any below market Federal loan will not cause a building to be Federally Subsidized.

FHA LIMITS: The 203(b) limits for FHA insurance of single family housing as published by HUD.

FINAL ALLOCATION: The mailing of Form 8609 to the Taxpayer. The Agency must adjust the amount of tax credits specified in a reservation or a carryover allocation based upon the feasibility/viability review and subsidy layering review as of the project's placed in service date.

FINANCING CERTIFICATION: A certification by the Taxpayer on the form provided by the Agency which specifies among other matters (a) Sources of Funds for a Project, (b) Syndication

Information, (c) Subsidies provided to a Project and (d) amounts allocated to various development costs as of Application, reservation and placed in service dates.

FLEXIBLE FUNDS: Funds made available by or through the Louisiana Housing Finance Agency that do not impose either rent or occupancy restriction on any units other than Maximum Low-Income Units.

FOSTER PARENT HOUSEHOLD: A household providing shelter to an unrelated child who was assigned for foster parenting to such household by the Department of Social Services.

FRAIL ELDERLY: An elderly person with at least one impairment in *Activities of Daily Living* and/or multiple impairments in instrumental *Activities of Daily Living* as determined by DSS/DHH or the DHH/DSS.

GAAP AUDIT: An audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards performed by an independent certified public accountant using the Agency's Chart of Accounts:

I. At Placed in Service Date: At a minimum a GAAP Audit as of a project's placed in service date must contain:

- (a) an audit of the certificate of actual cost in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards utilizing generally accepted accounting principles evidencing no line item with a "to be paid" amount in excess of five percent (5%) of such line item;
- (b) an audit of the sources and uses through the Placed in Service Date of a project specifying separately (i) uses to be included in eligible basis, (ii) land costs and costs properly capitalizable to land, (iii) Acquisition Costs, (iv) Organizational Costs, (v) Syndication Cost paid by the Taxpayer and (vi) Developer Fees which are properly allocable to (iii), (iv) and (v);
- (c) an identification of all identities of interest and related persons to the Taxpayer receiving payment from the Taxpayer; and
- (d) an identification of all subcontractors owned in whole or in part by employees of the developer or the contractor and a statement of the percentage of construction costs subcontracted to a subcontractor.

II. Annual Audit: At a minimum a project's annual audit must (i) identify all transactions involving related persons, (ii) distinguish operating expenditures from capital expenditures and (iii) specify Surplus Cash.

GENERAL REQUIREMENT BASE: Hard cost plus bond premium and miscellaneous fees paid by contractor.

GENERAL REQUIREMENTS: The actual costs for those items incurred in the construction of a Project and directly pertaining to the Project, excluding amounts paid to the Contractor or Developer which may be characterized as Overhead.

GOVERNMENTAL ASSISTANCE: Includes any loan, grant, guarantee, insurance, payment, rebate, subsidy, credit tax benefit, or any other form of direct or indirect assistance from the Federal, State or local government for use in, or in connection with, a specific housing project.

GREEN BUILDING: A building that meets one of the following criteria:

(A) LEED Criteria: Building design and construction emphasizing sustainable site development, water savings, energy efficiency, materials selection and indoor environmental quality that achieves the points necessary to qualify as LEED Certified (a minimum of 26 points under the LEED Green Building Rating System® for lodging). The LEED (Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design) Green Building Rating System® is a voluntary, consensus-based national standard for developing high-performance, sustainable buildings created by the US Green Building Council. See the LEED-NC (New Construction) Application Guide for Lodging. For existing buildings, see LEED-EB (Existing Buildings).

(B) Green Communities Criteria: Built according to the Green Communities Criteria, the first national framework for healthy, efficient, environmentally smart affordable homes. To be certified as Green Communities, a project must comply with all the mandatory provisions of the Green Communities criteria. In addition, new construction projects must earn 35 points from the Optional Criteria, while moderate rehabilitation projects must earn 30 points from the Optional Criteria. For the full criteria visit <http://www.greencommunitiesonline.org/tools/criteria/index.asp>

GROSS EQUITY: The nominal dollar amount invested in the Taxpayer by the Syndicator.

HABITABILITY STANDARDS: The Physical Conditions Standards promulgated in HUD Regulations at 24 CFR 5.703, including the major areas of housing: the site, the building exterior, the building systems, the dwelling units, the common areas and health and safety conditions.

HARD COSTS: Costs of constructing the project as evidenced in the Estimate and Certificate of Actual Costs reduced by any amount which reduces the Builder Fee Base. Hard Costs do not include Builder Profit, Builder Overhead, Developer Fees or Soft Costs (such as architectural, engineering, consultant, legal fees, etc).

HISTORIC REHABILITATION CREDIT: Tax Credits authorized to be taken by a Taxpayer for the rehabilitation of an historic property in accordance with the requirements of Section 38 of the Code.

HISTORIC PROPERTY: Property designated as historic by the State Historic Preservation Office SHPO.

HOMELESS PERSON/HOUSEHOLD: A Person or household sleeping in a place not meant for human habitation or in an emergency shelter; and a person or household in transitional housing for homeless persons who originally came from the street or an emergency shelter.

HOMEOWNERSHIP PROJECT: Project consisting of townhouses, duplexes with firewalls or buildings with not more than one unit per building. Applicants for Homeownership Projects must execute the Agency's form of an **Option to Purchase and Right of First Refusal Agreement** which provides to an individual tenant the option to purchase a unit at the Minimum Purchase Price. **No points will be awarded for Community Facilities located in a Homeownership Project.**

HOUSEHOLDS IN POVERTY: Households at or below the most recent Poverty Threshold as determined by the U.S. Census Bureau.

HOUSEHOLDS WITH LONG TERM DISABILITY: A household in which a household member has Substantial Long Term Disability.

HOUSING NEEDS ASSESSMENT: The current assessment of the State's housing posted on the Agency's website at www.lhfa.state.la.us.

HUD: The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development.

HURRICANE DISPLACED HOUSEHOLD: A household displaced from its principal residence and living in a homeless shelter or other temporary housing as a result of Hurricane Katrina or Rita.

IDENTITY OF INTEREST: An identity of interest is construed to exist when:

- (i) There is any financial interest of the Developer or Taxpayer in the Builder or any financial interest of the Builder in the Developer or Taxpayer.
- (ii) Any officer, director or stockholder or partner of the Developer or Taxpayer who is also an officer, director or stockholder or partner of the Builder.
- (iii) Any officer, director, stockholder or partner of the Developer or Taxpayer has any financial interest in the Builder; or any officer, director, stockholder or partner of the Builder has any financial interest in the Developer or Taxpayer.
- (iv) The Developer or Taxpayer advances any funds to the Builder.
- (v) The Developer or Taxpayer supplies and pays, on behalf of the Builder, the cost of any architectural services or engineering services other than those of a surveyor, general superintendent, or engineer employed by a Developer or Taxpayer in connection with its obligations under the construction contract.
- (vi) The Developer or Taxpayer takes stock or any interest in the Builder compensation as consideration of payment.
- (vii) There exists or comes into being any side deals, arrangements, contracts or undertakings entered into or contemplated, thereby altering, amending, or canceling any of the required closing documents, except as approved by the Agency.
- (viii) Any relationship (e.g., family) existing which would give the Builder or Developer or Taxpayer control or influence over the price of the contract or the price paid to any subcontractor, material supplier or lessor of equipment.
- (ix) Any member of the Development Team advances any funds to the Developer or Taxpayer at any point prior to an allocation.

For purposes of determining an identity of interest between parties not identified in (i) through (ix), such parties will be identified as either the Developer and Taxpayer or the Contractor as appropriate to establish the identity of interest.

INCOME QUALIFIED RENTER DEMAND: Number of households projected to be at 60% or less of area median income which can afford to pay the rent proposed at subject project provided such rent does not exceed 30% of their household income.

INCREASE IN CREDIT FOR CERTAIN STATE DESIGNATED BUILDINGS: Except with respect to a building financed with tax-exempt bonds under Section 103 of the Code, buildings may be designated by the Agency as located in a difficult development area and as requiring an increase in credit in order for such building to be financially feasible as part of a qualified low-income housing project.

INDEPENDENT QUALIFIED HOUSING CONSULTANT: A disinterested professional housing consultant who has been approved by the Agency and who has no identity of interest with any Builder or Developer participating in the Housing Tax Credit Program in any state and who by

virtue of academic training, licensing and/or experience is a recognized expert skilled in the requirements of conducting a market survey and demand study.

IREM: Institute for Real Estate Management.

LAND COSTS: The purchase price related to the purchase of undeveloped land plus the following additional costs:

- excavating and earth-moving expenses
- finders/brokerage fees for assistance in acquiring title to land
- costs for excavation of water retention ponds
- cost of land surveys
- unpaid back real estate taxes and similar assumed costs
- legal and professional fees related to the acquisition of land
- environmental impact tests and perk tests

provided, however that the purchase price of land shall not include any appreciated value above the price paid for such land by the Developer or by any other entity related to the Developer or by any entity in which the Developer had an ownership interest within the ten (10) year period immediately preceding the sale of the land to the Taxpayer.

LARGE FAMILY HOUSEHOLD: A household with at least five persons at initial occupancy of a unit.

LEED: The acronym for Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design.

LLA: Local Lead Agency designated by DHH/DSS for management of supportive services for *Permanent Supportive Housing*. A DHH/DSS designated LLA may be a DHH Regional Office or human services district/authority.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT: Governing authority of the local governmental unit. A parish or municipality under the Louisiana Constitution of 1974.

LOCAL GOVERNMENTAL SUPPORT: Project has local governmental support as evidenced by a signed commitment letter, the project must submit an original letter of support for the project on official letterhead from the highest ranked public official of the City in which the project is located or fully executed City Proclamation in support of the project AND proof of support from the majority members of the City Council.

LOCAL NON-PROFIT SPONSOR: A 501(c)(3) or 501(c)(4) organization in which not more than fifteen percent (15%) of the members of the governing board are domiciled outside the service area of the non-profit and at least seventy-five percent (75%) of the governing board are domiciled within the Project's Market Area or is a State certified Community Housing Development Organization ("CHDO") with a service area encompassing the Project's market area.

LOCAL PARTICIPATING JURISDICTION (LOCAL PJ): One of any governmental unit or consortium of governmental units receiving HOME Funds directly from HUD and which is not a state recipient.

LOCAL PHA: A local public housing authority organized and existing under the State's Housing Authorities Law at Chapter 30 of Title 40 of the Louisiana Revised Statutes of 1950, as amended.

LOUISIANA DEVELOPER: Any Managing General Partner or Sponsor who submits evidence of having filed Louisiana tax return (Form 990 in the case of a Non-profit) in the two calendar years preceding the year in which an Application for tax credits is submitted, or if no return was required

to be filed for the two (2) calendar years preceding the year in which an application for tax credits is submitted, then a CPA's statement to that effect is required.

LOUISIANA FAMILY RECOVERY CORPS: An entity established within the State to coordinate and mobilize a network of providers, organizations and government agencies to deliver comprehensive humanitarian services to displaced residents of the State.

LOW INCOME UNIT: A low-income unit as defined in Section 42(i)(3) of the Code.

LRA: The Louisiana Recovery Authority.

MANAGING GENERAL PARTNER: The entity or individual(s) that controls or owns all of an entity which is designated in the Application as the managing general partner or the primary sponsor/operator of the Project.

MARKET AREA: An area referenced on a map included with the Market Study and certified by the Independent Qualified Housing Consultant to be an appropriate market area to be served by the project in view of geographic and other barriers and demographic and mobility factors for low income households at or below 60% of AMI.

MARKET STUDY: An analysis performed by an Independent Qualified Housing Consultant which evidences demand for the proposed market matrix of a project, including demand capture rate at the subject property by bedroom type and which further the housing needs of the Targeted Households, Large Families, Tenants with children and Special Needs Households if the Project serves Special Needs Households.

MATERIAL CHANGE: Notwithstanding the provisions of any prior Qualified Allocation Plan, a material change for any project, including projects receiving an allocation from a prior Calendar year credit Ceiling, shall mean any reprocessing change which results (i) in the project deemed not feasible or not viable or (ii) a reduction of points from the Selection Criteria below the minimum score or below the score of the highest ranked project on the waiting list for the year in which the credits were allocated. Any change caused by force majeure or circumstances beyond the control of an Owner will not be a material change if the Agency's Board of Commissioners concurs that such change was beyond the control of the Owner. The Agency also considers the following to be material changes:

- Removal of any managing general partner;
- Bankruptcy of any managing general partner;
- Change of development location (site change);
- Deletion or change of resident amenities and/or services such as activity centers, children centers, community centers, computer rooms, laundry rooms, etc.;
- Changes in residential unit design that increases or decreases by 10% or greater the number of units, unit mix, square footage of each unit, etc.;
- A 10% or greater change in the total number of structures;
- Change in the type and quality of construction materials, as well as mechanical and/or electrical systems that result in a 10% or greater change of the total construction cost;
- Delays in project schedule or benchmark dates in excess of 180 days, and,
- Notwithstanding the foregoing, any change that a reasonable man would determine to be materially change the project.

MATERIAL PARTICIPATION: Having an ownership interest other than a passive ownership interest and which participation is regular, continuous and substantial.

MILITARY IMPACT AREA: Generally a small or medium size metropolitan housing market area or a remote or isolated non-metropolitan area where:

1. Military-connected households comprise 25 percent or more of the total households in the market area. Military-connected households include active duty military personnel, civilian employees of the military service (Department of Defense) or other Federal agency at or in support of the installation, and employees of contractors and sub-contractors directly associated with the military installation and their dependents. Unaccompanied active duty military personnel housed in military-controlled group quarters housing (barracks, BOQ's) are excluded; and
2. The Department of Economic Development has expressed written concern about the continued stability of the current level of military strength and mission at the installation based on the absence of suitable affordable housing, or public announcement from the Department of Defense or the military service, advise that the stability of the military installation is at risk because of the absence of affordable housing.

MINIMUM RESERVE BALANCE: At least 1/6 of the largest annual deposit to Reserves for Replacement and Repair.

MINIMUM REHABILITATION EXPENDITURE REQUIREMENTS: The minimum rehabilitation expenditure during a twenty-four (24) month period required to qualify as a new building or to qualify an existing building for acquisition credits has been increased to the greater of (i) twenty percent (20.0%) of the adjusted basis of a building or (ii) \$6,000 if the qualified basis attributed to such rehabilitation expenditures when divided by the number of low-income units in the building is \$6,000 or more.

MINIMUM PURCHASE PRICE: An amount equal to the sum of (i) the principal amount of outstanding indebtedness secured by the building (other than indebtedness incurred in the five year period ending on the date of the sale to the tenants) and (ii) all Federal, State and local taxes attributable to such sale. Except in the case of Federal income taxes, these shall not be taken into account under clause (ii) any additional tax attributable to the Application of clause (ii).

MIXED FINANCE PROJECT: A project described in Section 35(d) of the Federal Housing Act that is financially assisted with private resources in addition to amounts provided under the Federal Housing Act.

MIXED OCCUPANCY PROJECT: A Project involving the occupancy of units by Special Needs Households and the occupancy of units by households which are not Special Needs Households.

MIXED USE BUILDING: A building consisting of units available for residential rental use and other property the use of which is not related to residential rental use, e.g., commercial office space, owner-occupied residences, etc.

NEIGHBORHOOD IMPACT: With respect to a clearly defined neighborhood described on a map outlining such neighborhood, either (i) the construction of at least one hundred (100) new residential units or (ii) the demolition of at least fifty (50) functionally obsolete residential units and the construction and/or reconstruction of not less than fifty (50) residential housing units.

NEIGHBORHOOD NETWORK: A multi-service community learning center located in a *facility* of a Project promoting self-sufficiency by (i) improving computer access for tenants, (ii) advancing literacy, (iii) preparing residents to take advantage of employment opportunities and (iv) providing access to healthcare information and other social services.

NEIGHBORHOOD NETWORK FUNDING: Financial commitments or funding evident in the operating pro forma of a Project committed to providing a Neighborhood Network that includes (i)

a business plan for staffing, technical support, and ongoing funding, and a strategy for achieving ongoing partnerships with other neighborhood, community, or educational groups, (ii) the location and size of the space to be used for the *facility* to be used as a Neighborhood Network, (iii) an estimate of the number and percentage of residents who will benefit directly from the services of the Neighborhood Network and (iv) a detailed estimate of the center's initial cost and ongoing operating expenses.

NET EQUITY: The Gross Equity invested in the Taxpayer as discounted and compounded to the placed in service date.

NEW CONSTRUCTION: Housing units which have not previously been occupied.

NON-FEDERALLY SUBSIDIZED NEW BUILDINGS: Any new building placed in service by a taxpayer before December 31, 2013 and which is not federally subsidized qualifies for a credit percentage not less than nine percent (9.0%).

OCD: The Office of Community Development in the Office of the Governor.

OCAF: Operating Cost Adjustment Factor published annually for the State of Louisiana.

OPTION TO PURCHASE AND RIGHT OF FIRST REFUSAL AGREEMENT: The Agreement by that name attached as an Appendix to the Application.

ORGANIZATIONAL COSTS: Costs of organizing the Taxpayer, including the legal and accounting costs necessary to organize the Taxpayer and facilitate the filings of the necessary legal and other regulatory paperwork at the state and national level are of a character which, if expended incident to the creation of the taxpayer having an ascertainable life, would (but for Section 709(a) of the Code) be amortized over such life.

OWNER OCCUPIED BUILDING: A Building containing more than one but less than five units in which one of the units is occupied by the owner of such unit and which is located in an area in which the local governmental unit has approved by a Development Plan of Action.

PAYMENT STANDARD: One of the following standards of payment selected by the Taxpayer in connection with a Low Income Unit occupied by an Extremely Low Income Household: (a) 50% AMI, (b) 55% AMI or (c) 60% AMI.

PERMANENT SUPPORTIVE HOUSING: Housing that is (i) safe and secure, (ii) affordable to the eligible target population, (iii) permanent, with continued occupancy as long as the eligible target population pays the rent and complies with the terms of the lease or applicable landlord/tenant laws in the State of Louisiana and (iv) linked with supportive services that are flexible and responsive to the needs of the individual, available when needed by the eligible target population and accessible where the tenant lives, if necessary.

PERMANENT SUPPORTIVE HOUSING PROJECT: A Project in which between Twenty-five percent (25%) and fifty percent (50%) of the Low Income Units are designated to be occupied by a member of an Eligible Target Population for Permanent Supportive Housing and for which a Taxpayer/Owner submits with the Tax Credit Application either (i) an irrevocable binding commitment for Project Based Subsidy for units constituting Permanent Supportive Housing or (ii) demonstrates in the project's operating pro forma that units which are not Permanent Supportive Housing adequately subsidize the units occupied by the Eligible Target Population For Permanent Supportive Housing in a manner consistent with the minimum coverage ratios required by this QAP. A minimum of the units designated as permanent supportive housing units will be either efficiencies or one bedroom units.

PHA REFERRAL AGREEMENT: The executed form of the PHA Referral Agreement attached as an Appendix to the Application.

PHYSICAL CONDITION STANDARDS: HUD Standards for housing which is decent, safe, sanitary and in good repair.

PLACED IN SERVICE DATE: The date on which the first unit in building of the Project is available for occupancy in accordance with the requirements of the Code and the local jurisdiction within which the Project is located. For rehabilitations which qualify as a separate new building, such date occurs at the end of the 24 month period over which such expenditures are aggregated.

POVERTY CENSUS TRACT: Census tract in which the percentage of Households in Poverty exceed 15% of the total households of such census tract.

PRESERVATION PROPERTY: Is project that previously received credits but is at end of compliance period.

PRIORITY HUD REHABILITATION PROJECT: A project in which the mortgage is either FHA insured or HUD held and which receives a *Project Based Subsidy* or is subject to occupancy restrictions which are as restrictive as the restrictions applicable to a *Low Income Unit*.

PRIORITY NEIGHBORHOOD SUPPORTED PROJECT: A project that is specifically identified to be constructed, reconstructed, or rehabilitated in a neighborhood plan consistent with the LRA Local Planning Process.

PRIORITY ELDERLY REHABILITATION PROJECT: A project (i) that was substantially damaged or destroyed by Hurricane Katrina or Rita, (ii) in which one hundred percent of the units were occupied by elderly or disabled residents an received a *Project Based Subsidy* that has been abated by may be reinstated or moved to another site if the Priority Elderly Rehabilitation Project is rehabilitated or relocated, (iii) cannot be restored or reconstructed with the proceeds of insurance claims alone, according to the capital needs assessment and (iv) currently in financial default.

PRIORITY STRATEGIC PROJECT: A Priority Elderly Rehabilitation Project, a Priority HUD Rehabilitation Project or a Strategic Priority Project.

PROJECT: At least one building together with any facilities functionally related and subordinate on a Project Site. Multiple buildings are part of the same project only if such buildings:

- (i) Have similarly constructed units;
- (ii) Are proximate, i.e., located on a single tract of land; and
- (iii) Are owned by the same person and are financed pursuant to a common plan.

PROJECT BASED SUBSIDY: Projects receiving operating subsidies based upon either (i) Section 8 project based assistance contract, (ii) rental assistance from RD or (iii) other operating subsidies in connection with housing supported under the Stewart McKinsey Act and (iv) Project Rental Assistance (PRACs), (v) Annual Contribution Contract (ACC) subsidies or other such operating subsidies in connection with a Federal or State operated program.

PROJECT SCHEDULE: A schedule required to enumerate the activities and the timelines or deadlines for completing such activities and placing the project in service. At a minimum, the Project Schedule shall evidence the Closing Date and Construction Start Date following benchmark dates:

- (i) date that expenditure of ten percent (10%) of Project's Total Development Costs is to be achieved,
- (ii) date that final zoning will be approved,
- (iii) date that land and buildings are to be purchased,
- (iv) date that environmental clearance will be obtained,
- (v) date constituting the Closing Date,
- (vi) date that building permits will be obtained,
- (vii) date that constitutes the Construction Start Date,
- (viii) date that ten percent (10%) of construction will be complete,
- (ix) date that fifty percent (50%) of construction will be complete,
- (x) date that ninety percent (90%) of construction will be complete,
- (xi) date that project will receive certificates of occupancy and be placed in service and
- (xii) date for submitting the audited cost certifications.

PROJECT SITE OR PROPERTY SITE: The legal description of the land on which the Project is located as submitted in an Application on or before the Application Deadline.

PSH: Permanent Supportive Housing.

QUALIFIED CENSUS TRACT: Any census tract which is designated by HUD in which either 50% or more of the households have an income which is less than 60% of the area median gross income or which has a poverty rate of at least 25 percent (25%).

QUALIFIED NON-PROFIT ORGANIZATION: An organization (i) described in paragraph (3) or (4) of Section 501(c) of the Code, (ii) exempt from tax under Section 501(a) of the Code, (iii) determined by the Agency not to be affiliated with or controlled by a for-profit organization and (iv) one of the exempt purposes of such organization includes the fostering of low-income housing.

QUALIFIED PURCHASE CONTRACT: A contract defined in Section 42(h)(6)(F) of the Internal Revenue Code.

QUALIFIED REHABILITATION EXPENDITURE: Rehabilitation costs incurred within any 24 month period selected by the building owner and which are allocable to or substantially benefit one or more low-income units in a building and in which the hard costs of such rehabilitation equal or exceed the greater of \$6,000 per low-income unit in the building or twenty percent (20%) of the adjusted basis of the building.

RD: Means the Rural Development division of the U.S. Department of Agriculture.

RD TARGET AREA: An area designated in writing by Rural Development of the U.S. Department of Agriculture as a priority area for financing housing under the 515 housing program.

REASONABLE MAN STANDARD: Standard in which a prudent person would have acted or would have been expected to have acted, based upon all objective facts and circumstances, in the same manner.

REDEVELOPMENT AREA: An area or areas within Qualified Census Tracts which is specified by a local governmental unit as requiring revitalization and within which the local governmental unit provides incentives and/or resources on a priority basis in order to promote redevelopment pursuant to a Concerted Community Revitalization Plan.

REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT: A Project which is (i) a Distressed Property, (ii) Redevelopment Property, (iii) Owner-Occupied Property covered by a Development Plan of Action, or (iv) Urban Redevelopment Property.

REDEVELOPMENT PROPERTIES: A Project located in a Redevelopment Area.

RELATED PERSON: Any person bearing a relationship to such person as specified in sections 267(b) or 707(b)(1) of the Code, or if the persons for whom a relationship is being determined are engaged in trades or businesses under common control (within the meaning of subsections (a) and (b) of section 52 of the Code.

RENT UP/LEASE COSTS: Costs, such as advertising, sample unit costs, on site rental managers and staff and initial rental costs, necessary to fully rent a low-income building which may be amortized over the period necessary to rent all units, (e.g. 24 or 36 months).

REPROCESSING CHANGE: Any change other than a material change relating to (i) adjustments of sources or uses of funds greater 5% but less than 10%, (ii) increases or decreases in the number of units, unit mix, square footage, etc. greater than 5% but less than 10%, (iii) increases or decreases in the number of buildings greater than 5% but less than 10%, (iv) an applicant's inability to comply with the project schedule proposed in the Application by more than three months in any element of the Project and (v) any increase in the interest rate of long term debt required to complete the Project.

REPROCESSING FEE: The fee by that name calculated in accordance with the Non-Refundable Fee Schedule.

REQUIRED EXHIBITS: The Exhibits and/or Appendices specified as Required Exhibits in the Application and does not include the Exhibits and/or Appendices to support Selection Criteria items.

REQUIRED RESERVE DEPOSIT: For new construction, assume \$200 per unit per year. For projects other than new construction assume \$300 per unit per year or the amount specified in Physical Needs Assessment required to be deposited to Reserves for Replacement. Notwithstanding the foregoing, if HUD and RD finance the first mortgage, the annual deposit to the Reserves for Replacement may be determined in accordance with HUD or RD policies or regulations. Deposits to the Reserves for Replacement will be regulated and monitored in accordance with the Tax Credit Regulatory Agreement.

RESERVATION: An agreement on the part of the Agency to allocate tax credits at a future date to a Taxpayer, subject to the Taxpayer satisfying the elements of the Qualified Allocation Plan and all conditions established by the Agency with respect to the submission of all documents and information required by the Agency prior to the delivery of Form 8609 to the Taxpayer.

RESERVES FOR REPLACEMENT: A special reserve to be established for each project from which the costs of replacement and repair of the project is to be funded.

RESIDENT MANAGER UNIT: The unit occupied by a full-time resident manager in the project.

REVITALIZATION: To impart new life or vigor for the purpose of redeveloping an area.

RURAL AREA: Areas indicated in the latest census data as having a population of 20,000 or less"

RURAL PROJECT: Any project for residential property located in a rural area (as defined in section 520 of the Housing Act of 1949).

SCATTERED SITE PROJECT: A project consisting of buildings containing housing units in which all such units are rent restricted provided that each building is located on a single lot which is subdivided by the local jurisdiction and for which an option to purchase separately may be executed and further provided that a single building may not contain more than two (2) housing units. Evidence of a Scattered Site Project must consist of a subdivision plot or proposed

subdivision plot evidencing separate lots for each building. If a Scattered Site Project is located on non-contiguous land, no points will be awarded for Community Facilities.

SERVICES: Benefits made available to the tenants of a Project.

SINGLE PARENT HOUSEHOLD: A household with children in which the parent or guardian of such children resides in the household and in which no other adult resides in such household at initial occupancy.

SITE CHANGE: The addition of any noncontiguous land to the Property Site or the reduction of the Property Site by more than 25% or the addition of contiguous land to the Project Site exceeding 25% of the area of the original Property Site; provided, however, that a Scattered Site Project located in a Redevelopment Area may include additional new sites within the Redevelopment Area if the local governmental unit requests such additional sites prior to a Carryover Allocation of Tax Credits. .

SMALL PROJECT: A project in which the total number of units do not exceed thirty (30) units.

SPECIAL NEEDS HOUSEHOLD: A household which constitutes a Single Parent Household, Large Family Household, a Foster Parent Household, an Elderly Household, a Disabled Household or a Homeless Household.

SPECIAL NEEDS PROJECTS: A Project in which at least twenty-five percent (25%) of the units are set aside for Special Needs Households in accordance with the Tax Credit Regulatory Agreement; provided, however, that a Special Needs Project constituting an Elderly Project must satisfy the requirements of the Fair Housing Act.

SPONSOR: The person(s) owning one hundred percent (100%) of the interests in and who controls the Managing General Partner or Managing Member. A non-profit organization may sponsor another non-profit organization or a for-profit subsidiary entity only if such non-profit sponsor is legally entitled to designate all board members of the sponsored non-profit and/or owns 100% of the stock or ownership interest in the subsidiary as evidenced in the articles of incorporation of the sponsored non-profit or the subsidiary's articles of organization. As this paragraph pertains to PHA's, the PHA must be receiving an Annual Contribution Contract from HUD.

SRO: A Project of single room occupancy providing Supportive Services in dwelling units that do not contain bathrooms or kitchen facilities and are appropriate for use as Supportive Housing.

STAND-ALONE NON-PROFIT: A 501(c)(3) or 501(c)(4) organization or for-profit wholly-owned subsidiary of such organization which serves as the sole general partner of a limited partnership owning a project or the sole and exclusive manager of an LLC which owns the project. The managing agreement must be submitted with Application for LLC-owned projects.

STATE ASSISTED BUILDING: Any building which is substantially assisted, financed or operated under any State law similar in purpose to any of the laws referred to in the definition of Federally-Assisted Building.

STORM WINDOWS: double paned, double insulated windows.

STUCCO: A durable finish for exterior walls, usually composed of cement, sand, and lime, and applied while wet.

SUBSIDIARY: Any corporation, entity, partnership, venture, syndicate, or arrangement in which a local housing authority receiving an Annual Contribution Contract shall participate by holding a 100% ownership interest or participating in its governance, in which commissioners, officers,

employees, and agents of such authority constitute a majority of the governing body of such entity.

SUBSTANDARD: Any housing unit which does not satisfy the Habitability Standards.

SUBSTANTIAL REHABILITATION or CONVERSION: Any rehabilitation in which Hard Costs equal or exceed \$20,000 per unit.

SUPPORTIVE HOUSING: Housing designed to meet the special needs of the targeted special needs households occupying the housing and providing Supportive Services targeted to such special needs households.

SUPPORTIVE SERVICES FOR PSH: The range of services tailored to the needs of the category or categories of persons with special needs occupying housing in which such services are provided. The intensity of services delivered may vary based on the target population and individual needs but, for typical individuals would include an intensive service mix covering these types of services:

- Outreach and engagement
- Support in accessing housing (including assistance with applications, arranging for utilities and arranging for relocation)
- Crisis prevention and intervention
- Support in acquiring skills and knowledge for community living including acquiring benefits and money management
- Providing opportunities for social support and peer support
- Advocacy, clinical case management, clinical interventions
- Facilitating arrangement for child care
- Service Coordination including services of a tenant services liaison
- Arranging access for acute and emergency care
- Mental health and substance abuse treatment
- Linkage to education and employment
- Arranging access to transportation and
- Services tailored to the frail elderly including securing access to meal services adequate to meet nutritional needs, housekeeping aid, personal assistance, and other services essential to a frail elder maintaining independent living.

SUPPORTIVE SERVICES FOR SPECIAL HOUSEHOLDS TYPES OTHER THAN PSH: The range of services tailored to the needs of the category or categories of persons with special needs occupying housing in which such services are provided. The costs of Supportive Services must be specified in the Application and separately identified as an expense item in the operating pro-forma or must be provided by a governmental or non-profit agency which evidences in writing a commitment to provide supportive services to special needs households in the Project without charge. For purposes of this definition, Supportive Services are presumed to be provided if such services qualify under HUD Regulations and if HUD informs the Agency in writing that services evidenced in the Application qualify under HUD regulations. Supportive Services must be provided for a period commencing at the placed in service date of a project and ending not earlier than the end of the tax credit compliance period for a project. A description of Supportive Services must contain minimum supportive services required under HUD regulations for such special needs group and may include:

- Daycare
- After-school programs
- Financial and budgeting seminars
- Job training

- Homebuyer training and seminars
- Supervised recreational activities for children
- Transportation to seminars
- Preventive health care programs/health screening on a regular basis
- Transportation to facilitate access to social services, doctors, shopping
- Computer labs/internet hookup and/or tutors
- Library
- Dry-cleaning and/or laundry
- Grocery pickup and/or delivery
- Continuing education
- Information and senior counseling
- Homemaker/housekeeping
- Meals
- 24 hour security/neighborhood watch programs
- Community pets (cost of care provided by project)
- Community gardening
- Weekly exercise class
- Grandparent mentoring programs
- Weekly "day trips" to shopping centers/specialty shopping
- Reading service and library
- Social and recreational programs planned and overseen by the project manager. (Monthly birthday parties/holiday dinners and/or parties/potluck dinners, movie nights, bingo)

SURPLUS CASH: Any cash remaining at the end of each fiscal year of the Owner after:

1. the payment of : (i) all sums due or currently required to be paid under the terms of (a) the first mortgage and/or the note secured thereby ("First Mortgage") and (b) if applicable, subordinate mortgages with cash flow priority ("Priority Cash Flow Indebtedness") approval by the Agency; (ii) all amounts required to be deposited in the reserve fund for replacements; (iii) all other obligations of the mortgaged property other than the First Mortgage and Priority Cash Flow Indebtedness, unless funds for payment are set aside or deferment of payment has been approved by the Agency; (iv) Deferred Developer Fee, and (v) the Capital Recovery Payments; and
2. the segregation of: (i) an amount equal to the aggregate of all special funds required to be maintained by the project; and (ii) all tenant security deposits held.

SYNDICATION: The process of acquiring an ownership interest in the Taxpayer by the Syndicator and investing equity in the Taxpayer by the Syndicator.

SYNDICATION COSTS: Costs which are not includable in the tax credit basis for either the low income housing credit or the rehabilitation tax credit nor are allowable for depreciation purposes and which are the costs of syndicating a partnership and its related investment units.

SYNDICATION COST CERTIFICATION: A certification by the Taxpayer and Syndicator on the form provided by the Agency which specified among other information costs or items incurred for the packaging of the investment units and the promotion as an investment, including any marketing of the actual units, the production of any marketing memorandums or promotional materials, the mobilization of any broker/dealers who will sell the investment units and the actual sales commissions paid to the sellers of the partnership (whether they are unrelated third parties

or the individuals who promoted the investment), including due diligence related aspects of the syndication and legal costs associated with the offering, opinions, inquiries as to certain aspects of the syndication, etc.

SYNDICATION PROCEEDS: The funds generated by the Syndicator from investors seeking to acquire tax benefits in Projects through the Syndicator.

SYNDICATOR: The person or agent involved in directly providing equity to the Taxpayer or the person which owns or controls the person providing such equity Syndication Costs.

TARGETED HOUSEHOLDS: The households identified in a Market Study for which the Project will provide housing.

TARGETED PARISHES: Parish designated as such by the Governor's Office.

TAX CREDIT REGULATORY AGREEMENT: The form of Tax Credit Regulatory Agreement provided by the Agency pursuant to which the requirements of Section 42 of the Code are satisfied and pursuant to which the Agency enforces the commitments and representations made by the Taxpayer in the Application.

TAXPAYER: The legal entity which will own and operate a project and which will be identified on Form 8609 as the Taxpayer.

TAXPAYER CAPITAL: Amounts other than Gross Equity as evidenced in the audit of the Taxpayer as of the Placed-in-Service Date of the Project as paid-in capital.

TOTAL DEVELOPMENT COSTS: Development Costs plus the cost of land.

ULI: Urban Land Institute.

UNIT: Any accommodation containing separate and complete facilities for living, sleeping, eating, cooking and sanitation. Such accommodations may be served by centrally located equipment, such as air conditioning and heating.

URBAN REDEVELOPMENT AREA: An area or areas (i) which are within a city of 20,000 or more but which are outside of a Qualified Census Tract, (ii) which do not exceed in the aggregate 5% of the geographic area of the city and, (iii) which are specified by a local governmental unit as requiring redevelopment or revitalization pursuant to a Concerted Community Revitalization Plan.

URBAN REDEVELOPMENT PROPERTY: A project which is located within an Urban Redevelopment Area.

VACANT UNIT: A housing unit which is certified by the Developer/Owner and the local jurisdiction to have not been occupied for a period of at least 90 days and which is reasonably expected to remain vacant for an indefinite duration because the unit is substandard.

V. EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT A – SECTION 42 UPDATES 55

EXHIBIT B-CONSTRUCTION AND DESIGN STANDARDS 55

GENERAL 55
CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS **ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.**
GENERAL-CONTRACTOR SELECTION PROCESS **ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.**
BONDING **ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.**
CONSTRUCTION MONITORING **ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.**
DESIGN STANDARDS **ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.**

ATTACHMENT I ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.

ATTACHMENT II ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.

ATTACHMENT III ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.

ATTACHMENT IV ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.

GLOSSARY ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.

EXHIBIT C- COMPLIANCE MONITORING AGREEMENT 59

Exhibit A – Section 42 Updates –

NON-FEDERALLY SUBSIDIZED NEW BUILDINGS: Any new building placed in service by a taxpayer before December 31, 2013 and which is not federally subsidized qualifies for a credit percentage not less than nine percent (9.0%).

FEDERALLY SUBSIDIZED BUILDINGS: Federally Subsidized Buildings are only buildings financed with tax-exempt bonds under Section 103 of the Code. Any below market Federal loan will not cause a building to be Federally Subsidized.

INCREASE IN CREDIT FOR CERTAIN STATE DESIGNATED BUILDINGS: Except with respect to a building financed with tax-exempt bonds under Section 103 of the Code, buildings may be designated by the Agency as located in a difficult development area and as requiring an increase in credit in order for such building to be financially feasible as part of a qualified low-income housing project.

MINIMUM REHABILITATION EXPENDITURE REQUIREMENTS: The minimum rehabilitation expenditure during a twenty-four (24) month period required to qualify as a new building or to qualify an existing building for acquisition credits has been increased to the greater of (i) twenty percent (20.0%) of the adjusted basis of a building or (ii) \$6,000 if the qualified basis attributed to such rehabilitation expenditures when divided by the number of low-income units in the building is \$6,000 or more.

Exhibit B-Construction and Design Standards

GENERAL

These standards establish both general and minimum criteria for the design and construction of housing developments that receive financing assistance from the Louisiana Housing Finance Agency under this QAP.

The Agency requires full conformance with current federal, state and locally adopted building, plumbing, electrical, fire protection, and engineering codes and standards. The Agency also requires full conformance with all federal, state and local regulations regarding zoning and subdivisions, floodplains, wetlands and other environmental concerns.

The Agency recognizes and endorses the Federal *Energy Star Programs*.¹ The Agency also encourages the incorporation of *Universal Design* and *Green Building* design initiatives into the project.² The Agency's standards will complement or supplement national, state or local

¹ Energy Star website – www.energystar.gov

² Universal Design website – www.aarp.org/families/home_design
Enterprise Foundation website – www.greencommunitiesonline.org
Green Building Council website – www.usgbc.org

regulations. In any situation where conflicting standards exist, the more stringent standard will apply.

All construction related contracts shall be in American Institute of Architects (AIA) formats, including the contract between the Applicant and Architect and/or other consulting professionals, the Applicant and General Contractor, and the contracts between the General Contractor and all subcontractors.

All drawings and specifications shall be completed utilizing these standards, and stamped by a licensed architect or professional engineer registered with the appropriate State of Louisiana Licensing Board. All other professionals involved with the project will be responsible to the primary "professional of record." These professionals may include architects, land surveyors, and civil, structural, mechanical, and electrical engineers.

All design and construction shall be done in accordance with the most current edition of the following standards and codes as they may apply:

1. Uniform Federal Accessibility Standards and Section 504 requirements.
2. State of Louisiana Fire Code including various National Fire Protection Association (NFPA, NFPA 101) Codes.
3. State of Louisiana Plumbing and Mechanical Codes.
4. State of Louisiana, Division of Water Supply and Pollution Control, Standards of Design for Sewerage and Water Treatment Systems, Design Standards for Small Public Drinking Water Systems, Department of Environmental Services, Water Well Board Standards.
5. State of Louisiana Energy Code.
6. International Building Code (IBC) current edition as adopted by the State of Louisiana.
7. HUD 24 CFR Part 5 Uniform Physical Conditions Standards.
8. HUD Housing Quality Standards (24 CFR Part 982.401).
9. HUD Requirements for the Notification, Evaluation, and Reduction of Lead- Based Paint Hazards in Federally Owned Residential Property and Housing Receiving Federal Assistance (24 CFR Part 35).
10. Energy Star Program Standards relating to indoor air quality, HVAC systems, insulation, lighting and appliances.

I. Construction Compliance and Monitoring Criteria for Syndicated Tax Credit Transactions

Construction documents

Not more than 45 days prior to the commencement of construction the applicant must submit to the agency the following:

Complete plans and specifications in electronic PDF format.

Construction contract, complete with timeline and schedule of values. The Agency recommends the use of AIA Document 101.

Certification by Architect of plans and specifications.

The Agency shall review the plans and specifications for compliance with minimum threshold requirements selection criteria requirements and applicable minimum building codes. Within 30 days of receipt, the Agency will notify developer if there are of any concerns or the need for clarity. Notwithstanding the Agency review, the applicant remains responsible and accountable for compliance with applicable IRS and LHFA rules and regulations.

Building permits

Copies of all building permits shall be submitted to the Agency when available. Should building permits not be available at the start of construction the applicant may submit a "will issue" letter from the local jurisdiction stating that building permits will be issued once certain requirements are met.

Construction monitoring and compliance

The Agency will make periodic site inspections during the course of construction to verify conformance with the plans and specifications and selection criteria requirements.

The applicant shall submit to the Agency the following:

Notice to Proceed

Monthly application for payment certified by the Architect and/or project manager and the applicant. The Agency recommends the use of AIA Document G702 and G703. The submission of monthly applications for payment is intended for monitoring progress and does not require Agency approval to release payment.

A copy of all change orders to monitor changes to the plans and specifications and the schedule of values. All change orders shall be submitted with monthly applications for payment. The Agency recommends the use of AIA Document G701. The Agency shall not have the authority to approve change orders, but will review change orders to ensure compliance with minimum threshold and selection criteria requirements. The Agency shall immediately notify the applicant if it determines that the change orders submitted conflicts with the minimum threshold or selection criteria requirements.

The Agency shall notify the applicant, in writing, of any scheduled inspections and the applicant must have a representative present during such inspection. During each scheduled inspection the Agency shall verify compliance with the plans and specifications, minimum threshold requirements, and selection criteria requirements. The Agency will notify the applicant immediately upon discovery of alleged non-compliance and request that the applicant take appropriate corrective action.

CONSTRUCTION Completion

At construction completion the applicant shall submit the following:

- Certificate of Substantial Completion. The Agency recommends AIA Document G704.
- Certificate of Occupancy(s) if applicable.

Prior to issuance of IRS form(s) 8609, the Agency will perform their final inspection to verify compliance with plans and specifications, minimum threshold requirements and selection criteria requirements.

Within 30 days of receipt of the above referenced items, the Agency shall schedule a final inspection. Should the Field Report show that the project is in compliance, the Agency will proceed with issuance of 8609. Should the Field Report describe issue(s) of non-compliance, the Agency will immediately notify the applicant. Once the applicant has addressed the non-compliant issue(s) and notifies the Agency via written communication that all reported issues have been addressed, the Agency shall issue the 8609(s).

Intentionally Left Blank

DRAFT

Exhibit C- Compliance Monitoring Agreement

I

WHEREAS, the undersigned taxpayer-owner (the "Owner") of the qualified low-income buildings and/or project described in **Exhibit A** hereto acknowledges and agrees that, pursuant to §42(l) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), the Secretary of Treasury (the "Secretary") may require certifications concerning information in such form and such manner as the Secretary prescribes with respect to any qualified low-income building under §42 of the Code; and

II

WHEREAS, §42 of the Code provides for a low-income housing credit (the "Housing Credit") that may be claimed as part of the general business credit under §38 of the Code; and

III

WHEREAS, the Housing Credit determined under §42 of the Code is allowable only to the extent that the Owner receives a housing credit allocation from a housing credit agency such as the Louisiana Housing Finance Agency (the "Agency"), unless the building is exempt from the allocation requirements by reason of §42(h)(4)(B) of the Code; and

IV

WHEREAS, under §42(m)(1)(A) of the Code, the Housing Credit for any building is zero unless the amount was allocated pursuant to a qualified allocation plan (the "Allocation Plan") of the Agency; and

V

WHEREAS, under §42(m)(1)(D) of the Code, the Housing Credit for any project qualifying under §42(h)(4) of the Code is zero unless the project satisfies the requirements for allocation of a Housing Credit under the Allocation Plan of the Agency; and

VI

WHEREAS, under §42(m)(1)(B)(iii) of the Code, an allocation plan is not qualified unless it contains a procedure that the Agency (or an agent of, or private contractor hired by, the Agency) will follow in monitoring compliance with the provisions of §42 of the Code and notifying the Internal Revenue Service (the "IRS") of any non-compliance of which the Agency becomes aware; and

VII

WHEREAS, §42(m)(1)(B)(iii) of the Code is effective on January 1, 1992, and applies to all buildings placed in service for which a Housing Credit is, or has been, allowable at any time; and

VIII

WHEREAS, final regulations relating to (i) the requirement that State allocation plans provide a procedure for the Agency to monitor for compliance with the requirements of §42 of the Code, (ii) how the Agency is to report any non-compliance to the IRS, and (iii) the affect of such regulations on the Agency, owners of buildings or projects for which a Housing Credit is claimed,

and taxpayers claiming the Housing Credits are contained in Section 1.42-5 of the Treasury Regulations (the "Compliance Regulations"); and

IX

WHEREAS, the Compliance Regulations provide that a procedure for monitoring for non-compliance under §42(m)(1)(B)(iii) must include the following:

- A. Record-keeping and Record Retention Provisions;
- B. Certification and Review Provisions;
- C. Inspection Provisions; and
- D. Notification of Non-Compliance Provisions.

X

WHEREAS, pursuant to the Record-keeping provisions of §1.42-5(b)(1), the Agency must require the Owner to keep records for each qualified low-income building that show for each year in the compliance period:

- (i) the total number of residential rental units in the building (including the number of bedrooms and the size in square feet of each residential rental unit);
- (ii) the percentage of residential units in the building that are low income units;
- (iii) the rent charged on each residential rental unit in the building (including any utility allowances);
- (iv) the number of occupants in each low-income unit, but only if rent is determined by the number of occupants in each unit under §42(g)(2) (as in effect before the amendments made by the Revenue Reconciliation Act of 1989;
- (v) the low-income unit vacancies in the building and information that shows when, and to whom, the next available units were rented;
- (vi) the annual income certification of each low-income tenant per unit, unless an exception to the annual re-certification is available because an entire building is occupied by low-income tenants under Section 42(g)(8)(B) of the Code;
- (vii) documentation to support each low-income tenant's income certification; for example, a copy of the tenant's federal income tax return, Forms W-2, or verifications of income from third parties such as employers or state agencies paying unemployment compensation, unless an exception to the annual re-certification is available because an entire building is occupied by low-income tenants under Section 42(g)(8)(B) of the Code. *[Tenant income is calculated in a manner consistent with the determination of annual income under §8 of the United States Housing Act of 1937 ("Section 8"), not in accordance with the determination of gross income for federal income tax liability.]* In the case of a tenant receiving housing assistance payments under Section 8, the documentation requirement of this subparagraph (vii) is satisfied if the public housing authority provides a

statement to the building owner that the tenant's income does not exceed the applicable income limit under Section 42(g) of the Code.

- (viii) the eligible basis and qualified basis of the building at the end of the first year of the credit period; and
- (ix) the character and use of the nonresidential portion of the building included in the building's eligible basis under §42(d) (e.g., tenant facilities that are available on a comparable basis to all tenants and for which no separate fee is charged for use of the facilities, or facilities reasonably required by the project).

XI

WHEREAS, pursuant to the Record Retention provisions of §1.42-5(b)(2), the Agency must require the Owner to retain records described in §1.42-5(b)(1) for at least six years after the due date (with extensions) for filing the federal income tax returns for that year; provided, however, that the records for the first year of the credit period must be retained for at least six years beyond the due date (with extensions) for filing the federal income tax return for the last year of the compliance period of the building; and

XII

WHEREAS, pursuant to the Certification provisions of §1.42-5(c)(1) the Agency must require the Owner to certify at least annually to the Agency that, for the preceding 12-month period:

- (i) the project met the requirements of:
 - (a) the 20-50 test under §42(g)(1)(A) or the 40-60 test under §42(g)(1)(B), whichever minimum set-aside test was applicable to the project; and
 - (b) if applicable to the project, the 15-40 test under §42(g)(4) and 142 (d)(4)(B) for "deep rent skewed" projects;
- (ii) there was no change in the applicable fraction (as defined in §42(c)(1)(B)) of any building in the project, or that there was a change, and a description of the change;
- (iii) the Owner has received an annual income certification from each low-income tenant, and documentation to support that certification; or, in the case of a tenant receiving §8 housing assistance payments, the statement from a public housing authority described at 26 CFR 1-42-5(b)(1)(vii), unless an exception to the annual re-certification is available because an entire building is occupied by low-income tenants under Section 42(g)(8)(B) of the Code;
- (iv) each low-income unit in the project was rent-restricted under §42(g)(2);
- (v) all units in the project were for use by the general public (as defined in Section 1.42-9 of the Treasury Regulations), including the requirement that no finding of discrimination under the Fair Housing Act, 42 U.S.C. 3601-3619, occurred for the Project. A finding of discrimination includes an adverse final decision by the Secretary of the Department of Housing and Urban

Development (HUD), 24 CFR 180.680, an adverse final decision by a substantially equivalent state or local fair housing agency, 42 USC 361a(a)(1), or an adverse judgment from a federal court;

- (vi) the buildings and low-income units in the project were suitable for occupancy, taking into account local health, safety, and building codes (or other habitability standards), and the State or local government unit responsible for making local health, safety or building code inspections did not issue a violation report for any building or low-income unit in the project. If a violation report or notice was issued by the governmental unit, the owner must attach a statement summarizing the violation report or notice or a copy of the violation report or notice to the annual certification submitted to the Agency under paragraph (c)(1) of the Compliance Regulations. In addition, the owner must state whether the violation was corrected;
- (vii) there was no change in the eligible basis (as defined in §42(d)) of any building in the project, or if there was a change, the nature of the change (e.g., a common area has become commercial space or a fee is now charged for a tenant facility formerly provided without charge);
- (viii) all tenant facilities included in the eligible basis under §42(d) of any building in the project, such as swimming pools, other recreational facilities, and parking areas, were provided on a comparable basis without charge to all tenants in the building;
- (ix) if a low-income unit in the project became vacant during the year, that reasonable attempts were or are being made to rent that unit or the next available unit of comparable or smaller size to tenants having a qualifying income before any units in the project were or will be rented to tenants not having a qualifying income;
- (x) if the income of tenants of a low-income unit in the project increased above the limit allowed in §42(g)(2)(D)(ii), the next available unit of comparable or smaller size in the project was or will be rented to tenants having a qualifying income; and
- (xi) an extended low-income housing commitment as described in section 42(h)(6) of the Code was in effect (for buildings subject to section 7108(c)(1) of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1989, 103 Stat. 2106, 2308 - 2311 (1989)), including the requirement under section 42(h)(6)(B)(iv) that an owner cannot refuse to lease a unit in the project to an applicant because the applicant holds a voucher or certificate of eligibility under section 8 of the United States Housing Act of 1937, 42 U.S.C. 1437f (for buildings subject to section 13142(b)(4) of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1993, 107 Stat 312, 438 - 439 (1993)); and
- (xii) all low-income units in the project were used on a non-transient basis (except for transitional housing for the homeless provided under section 42(i)(3)(B)(iii) or single-room-occupancy units rented on a month-by-month basis under section 42(i)(3)(B)(iv)).

XIII

WHEREAS, pursuant to the Review provisions of §1.42-5(c)(2), the Agency must:

- (i) review owner certifications under Section 1.45-5(c)(1) for compliance with the requirements of Section 42,
- (ii) conduct on-site inspections of all buildings in the project by the end of the second calendar year following the year the last building in the project is placed in service and, for a least 20 percent of the project's low-income units, inspect the units and review the low-income certifications, the documentation supporting the certifications, and the rent records for the tenants in those units; and
- (iii) at least once every 3 years, conduct on-site inspections of all buildings in the project and, for at least 20 percent of the project's low-income units, inspect the units and review the low-income certifications, and then the documentation supporting the certifications, and the rent records for the tenants in those units; and
- (iv) require that the Agency randomly select which low-income units and tenant records are to be inspected and reviewed by the Agency. *[The review of tenant records may be undertaken wherever the owner maintains or stores the records (either on-site or off-site). The units and tenant records to be inspected and reviewed must be chosen in a manner that will not give owners of low-income housing projects advance notice that a unit and tenant records for a particular year will or will not be inspected and reviewed. However, the Agency may give an owner reasonable notice that an inspection of the building and low-income units or tenant record review will occur so that the owner may notify tenants of the inspection or assemble tenant records for review (for example, 30 days' notice of inspection or review).]*

XIV

WHEREAS, pursuant to the Frequency and Form of Certification provisions of §1.42-5(c)(3), the Agency must require that Owners submit certifications under penalty of perjury at least annually covering each year of the 15-year compliance period under §42(i)(1); and

XV

WHEREAS, pursuant to the Inspection provisions of §1.45-5(d), the Agency must have the right to perform an on-site inspection of any low-income housing project at least through the end of the compliance period of the buildings in the project, which inspection is a separate requirement from any tenant file review under paragraph (c)(2)(ii) of the Compliance Regulations; and

XVI

WHEREAS, pursuant to the Notification of Non-Compliance provisions of 1.42-5(e)(2) and (3), the Agency agrees to give notice to the Owner and to the IRS, respectively, if the Agency (i) does not receive required certifications of the Owner, (ii) does not receive or is not permitted to inspect the tenant income certifications, supporting documentation, and rent records or (iii) discovers by inspection, review, or in some other manner, that the project is not in compliance with the provisions of §42; and

XVII

WHEREAS, pursuant to the provisions of §1.45-5(e)(4), relating to the correction period, the Owner will be permitted by the Agency to supply any missing certifications and bring the

project into compliance with the provisions of §42 within a period which ends not later than 90 days from the date the Agency mails a Notice of Non-Compliance to the Owner; and

XVIII

WHEREAS, pursuant to the provisions of §1.45-5(g), the Owner acknowledges that compliance with the requirements of §42 is the responsibility of the Owner and that the Agency's obligation to monitor for non-compliance pursuant to §42 and the Compliance Regulations does not make the Agency liable for the Owner's non-compliance.

XIX

WHEREAS, this Compliance Monitoring Agreement is intended to comply with the requirements of §42(m)(1)(B)(iii) and the Compliance Regulations with respect to the procedures which the Agency (or an agent or other private contractor of the Agency) will follow in monitoring for non-compliance and in notifying the IRS of such non-compliance of which the Agency becomes aware;

NOW, THEREFORE, the Louisiana Housing Finance Agency, acting through its duly authorized officers, and the undersigned Owner hereby agree, covenant and represent as follows:

SECTION 1. Record-keeping by the Owner. The Owner agrees to develop and maintain, securely onsite, for each qualified low-income building for each year of the compliance period for such buildings or project described in **Exhibit A** the records and information which the Agency must require the Owner to keep as described in paragraph X of the preamble hereof and as required pursuant to §1.42-5(b)(1) of the Compliance Regulations.

SECTION 2. Record Retention by the Owner. The Owner agrees to maintain and retain the records and information described in paragraph X of the preamble hereof for the time described in paragraph XI of the preamble hereof and for the time which the Agency must require the Owner to maintain and retain such records and information pursuant to §1.42-5(b)(2) of the Compliance Regulations.

SECTION 3. Certifications by the Owner. The Owner agrees to submit by February 15th of each calendar year the certifications of the form attached hereto as **Exhibit B** with respect to the immediately preceding calendar year which the Agency must require from the Owner as described in paragraph XII of the preamble hereof and as required pursuant to §1.42-5(c)(1) of the Compliance Regulations.

SECTION 4. Submission of Low-Income Tenant Annual Income Certification Documentation and Rent Records. The Owner agrees to submit (i) by February 15th of each calendar year on the

form attached hereto as **Exhibit C** information on tenant income and rent for each low income unit and (ii) within fifteen (15) calendar days of a written request of the Agency (a) a copy of the annual income certifications, and the documentation the owner has received to support that certification, with respect to the number and/or percentage of low-income tenants and (b) the rent record for each low-income tenant in the percentage (not less than 20%) of low-income units in the project described in **Exhibit A** as specified by the Agency.

SECTION 5. Project Inspection. The Owner agrees, acknowledges and specifically provides that the Agency has the right to perform an on-site inspection of the project described in **Exhibit A** at least through the end of the latter of the compliance period or extended use period. The Agency has the right to inspect a project at any time as it deems necessary.

SECTION 6. Delivery of IRS Forms. The Owner agrees to provide to the Agency the following:

- (a) Copy of IRS Form 8609 for each qualified low-income building;
- (b) Copy of Schedule A to Form 8609 for each qualified low-income building;
- (c) Copy of IRS Form 8586.

SECTION 7. Annual Compliance/Monitoring Fee. The Owner agrees to submit on or before December 31 of each year, beginning with the year any building in the Project is placed in service, the Annual Compliance Monitoring Fee constituting a minimum fee to be computed as follows:

<u>Project Size</u>	<u>Minimum Fee</u>
1-4 units	\$ 20.00
5-16 units	80.00
17-32 units	160.00
33-60 units	*
61-100 units	*
Over 100 units	*

*Minimum fee is amount equal to 50% of units in Project times \$10.00.

The Agency reserves the right to charge such additional amounts at any time as may be required to monitor compliance in accordance with the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, and regulations promulgated pursuant thereto.

The Owner further acknowledges and agrees that failure to submit the Annual Compliance/Monitoring Fee will result in the Agency reporting that the Owner has failed to deliver the appropriate certifications and/or other documentation necessary to satisfy the Compliance Regulations.

SECTION 8. Captions. The captions or headings in this Compliance Monitoring Agreement are for convenience only and in no way define, limit or describe the scope or intent of any provisions or sections of this Compliance Monitoring Agreement.

SECTION 9. Counterparts. This Compliance Monitoring Agreement may be simultaneously executed in several counterparts, each of which shall be an original and all of which shall constitute but one and the same instrument.

SECTION 10. Acceptance by Owner. The undersigned Owner hereby acknowledges receipt of an executed counterpart of this Compliance Monitoring Agreement and hereby approves the terms and provisions thereof and agrees to be bound by all the provisions thereof applicable to Owner.

SECTION 11. Notification Provision. The Owner is solely held responsible in notifying the Agency of any type of adverse action to the project that may arise. In case of natural disaster and/or man made occurrence immediate notification is to be made by certified mail with a reasonable time period (after verbal notification by phone). In the case of a sale, transfer, assumption, dation en payment or any other legal notification to include but, not limited to foreclosure notice, notice of default, notice of condemnation or lis pendens concerning the project, individual or corporate ownership interest of project, and/ or stockholder interests. The Agency must be notified via certified mail within 48 hours of the developer receiving notices.

The Agency must approve any and all types of changes to ownership including sales, transfers, assumptions or assignments thereof. Documents being sent to the Agency for approval & review concerning sales, transfers and/or assumptions or assignments will be submitted 45 days prior to closing and/or transfer dates. Failure to follow this process will lead to the issuance of an 8823.

LOUISIANA HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY

PRESIDENT _____

(Name of Owner)

By: _____
Title: _____

Dated: _____, 200__

SECTION 10. Acceptance by Owner. The undersigned Owner hereby acknowledges receipt of an executed counterpart of this Compliance Monitoring Agreement and hereby approves the terms and provisions thereof and agrees to be bound by all the provisions thereof applicable to Owner.

SECTION 11. Notification Provision. The Owner is solely held responsible in notifying the Agency of any type of adverse action to the project that may arise. In case of natural disaster and/or man made occurrence immediate notification is to be made by certified mail with a reasonable time period (after verbal notification by phone). In the case of a sale, transfer, assumption, dation en payment or any other legal notification to include but, not limited to foreclosure notice, notice of default, notice of condemnation or lis pendens concerning the project, individual or corporate ownership interest of project, and/ or stockholder interests. The Agency must be notified via certified mail within 48 hours of the developer receiving notices.

The Agency must approve any and all types of changes to ownership including sales, transfers, assumptions or assignments thereof. Documents being sent to the Agency for approval & review concerning sales, transfers and/or assumptions or assignments will be submitted 45 days prior to closing and/or transfer dates. Failure to follow this process will lead to the issuance of an 8823.

LOUISIANA HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY

PRESIDENT _____

(Name of Owner)

By: _____
Title: _____

Dated: _____, 200__

**Louisiana Housing Finance Agency
LIHTC - 2011/2012 Qualified Allocation Plan
Selection Criteria**

Selection Criteria Index Page	2
I. Targeted Project Type	
A. De-concentration Project.....	3
B. Rehabilitation Project.....	3
C. Redevelopment Project	3
D. Abandoned Project.....	3
E. High Vacancy Project.....	3
F. Scattered Site Project.....	3
II. Targeted Population Type	4
A. Single Room Occupancy.....	4
B. Accessible Project	4
C. Special Needs Project	4
D. Lease to Own	4
E. Adult Residential Care Project.....	4
III. Priority Development Areas and Other Preferences	5
A. Extended Affordability Agreement.....	5
B. Increased Unit Affordability	5
C. Difficult Development Area (QCT/DDA)	5
D. Rural Target Area (RD)	5
E. Other Government Priority	5
F. PHA Referrals and Sponsorship	5
IV. Location Characteristics	7
A. Neighborhood Features	7
V. Project Characteristics	8
A. Green Buildings	8
B. Community Facilities.....	8
C. Optional Amenities	8
D. Additional Accessible Units	8
E. Superior Design	8
VI. Leverage, Efficiency and Viability	9
A. Leverage for Disability Funding.....	9
B. Viability Penalty Points	9
VII. Project & Submission Penalty Points	10

Selection Criteria Index Page

I. Targeted Project Type (Maximum of One)

Point Ranges

A. De-concentration Project	4-10 Points
B. Rehabilitation Project	10-20 Points
C. Redevelopment Project	6 Points
D. Abandoned Project	10 Points
E. High Vacancy Projects	2-6 Points
F. Scattered Site Project	4 Points

II. Targeted Population Type (Maximum of One)

A. Single Room Occupancy Shelter	5 Points
B. Accessible Project	10 Points
C. Special Needs	6-10 Points
D. Lease to Own	10 Points
E. Adult Residential Care Project	3 Points

III. Priority Development Areas and Other Preferences (All That Apply)

A. Extended Affordability Agreement	2-4 Points
B. Increased Unit Affordability	4-5 Points
C. Difficult Development Area (QCT/DDA)	2-4 Points
D. Rural Target Area (RD)	6 Points
E. Other Governmental Priority	3-6 Points
F. PHA Referrals and Sponsorship	4 Points
G.	

IV. Location Characteristics

A. Neighborhood Features	0-10 Points
--------------------------	-------------

V. Project Characteristics

A. Green Buildings	5 Points
B. Community Facilities	2 Points
C. Optional Amenities	1-5 Points
D. Additional Accessible Units	1-3 Points
E. Superior Design	10 Points

VI. Leverage, Efficiency and Viability

A. Leverage for Disability Funding	3 Points
B.	
C. Viability Penalty Points	Loss of 5 - 10 Points

VII. Project & Submission Penalty Points

Loss of 4 - 39 Points

SELECTION CRITERIA AND EVIDENTIARY MATERIALS

Points

I. TARGETED PROJECT TYPE (Select Maximum of One)

A. *De-concentration Projects* (maximum of 10 Points)

- (i) *Project Diversity* - Percentage of Low Income Units in Project does not exceed:
(Not Qualified for Selection Criteria in I-F Scattered Site Project and II-E Lease to Own)

- | | | |
|-----|--------------------------------|---------|
| (a) | 60% of the Total Project units | 4 ____ |
| (b) | 50% of the Total Project units | 8 ____ |
| (c) | 40% of the Total Project units | 10 ____ |

- (ii) *Geographic Diversity*: Project is located in census tract in which the median income of the census tract exceeds 120% of the area median income 6 ____

B. *Rehabilitation Project* which constitutes:

- | | |
|---|---------|
| (i) Substantial Rehabilitation or Conversion: (for acquisitions only) | 10 ____ |
| • Capital Needs Assessment must evidence Substantial Rehabilitation | |
| (ii) Rehab of Historic Property | 10 ____ |
| • Must evidence Historic Designation by SHPO | |

C. *Redevelopment Project* (As defined in the glossary) 6 ____

D. *Abandoned Project* 10 ____

(For Acquisitions Only - Not Qualified for Selection Criteria II-D Lease to Own)

- Submit letter from local governmental unit that all units (residential or non-residential) in the Project are substandard and have been vacant for at least six months
- Capital Needs Assessment must certify 100% vacancy

E. *High Vacancy Projects* (For acquisitions only)

- | | | |
|-------|----------------------------------|--------|
| (i) | Minimum of 25% but less than 50% | 2 ____ |
| (ii) | Minimum of 51% but less than 75% | 4 ____ |
| (iii) | 75% or above | 6 ____ |

- Submit letter from local jurisdiction that unit has been vacant (residential or non-residential) for at least 90 days and is likely to remain vacant because unit is substandard.
- Capital Needs Assessment must evidence inspection of vacant units.

F. *Scattered Site Project- Blighted housing remediation and/or replacement* 4 ____

- Submit list of each separate address and square footage and costs of each separate building.

II. TARGETED POPULATION TYPE (Select Maximum of One)

A. *Single Room Occupancy Shelter*

5_____

- Submit evidence from local governmental unit or appropriate continuum of care district that project satisfies need for homeless shelter

B. *Accessible Project* (Not qualified for V.D Additional Accessible Units)

10_____

- Application must include the following:

- Description of Supportive Services tailored to each Special Needs Household (See Supportive Services Definitions)
- Costs per annum of Supportive Services per Special Needs Household or written commitment from governmental or non-profit agency that Supportive Services will be provided to Project without cost
- Experience of Taxpayer/Owner in developing Projects servicing Special Needs Households
- Evidence of Project Based Subsidy for Handicapped Households

C. *Special Needs Households other than Elderly Households and provides*

Supportive Services

(Check one or more)

- Homeless Households _____
- Disabled Households _____
- Tenant populations of individuals with children _____

(a) Twenty Percent serve such households

10_____

(b) Ten Percent serve such households

6_____

- Application must include the following:

- Description of Supportive Services tailored to each Special Needs Household (See Supportive Services Definitions)
- Costs per annum of Supportive Services per Special Needs Household or written commitment from governmental or non-profit agency that Supportive Services will be provided to Project without cost
- Experience of Taxpayer/Owner in developing Projects servicing Special Needs Households

D. *Lease To Own (Section 8)*

10_____

Owner must agree to sell units at Minimum Purchase Price.

- Not eligible if executing extended use agreement

The award is subject to a transactional structure acceptable to the agency according to industry best practices that protects the expectations of tenants anticipating title transfer of their units in fee simple absolute or condo or cooperative ownership.

E. *Adult Residential Care Project*

3_____

Application must include the following: 1) Proof that it provides personal care for activities of daily living and instrumental activities of daily living available 24 hours a day; chore services, age and ability appropriate social and recreational activities, assistance with meals, medication administration assistance, coordination/provision of transportation, housekeeping and laundry and intermittent nursing services. 2) Such projects must have proof of approval to receive Medicaid waiver funds through the Louisiana Department of Health and Hospitals. Projects will be allowed to adjust unit sizes to be consistent with the ARCP Licensing Standards for ARCP development

III. PRIORITY DEVELOPMENT AREAS AND OTHER PREFERENCES (All That Apply)

A. *Extended Affordability Agreement*

Project will execute agreement in which Owner irrevocably waives its rights under the provisions of I.R.C. §42(h)(6)(E) and (F) until after the (not eligible for lease-to-own projects)

- | | | |
|-------|-----------------------|--------|
| (i) | 25 th year | 2_____ |
| (ii) | 30 th year | 3_____ |
| (iii) | 35 th year | 4_____ |

*Not eligible if executing Agency's Option to Purchase and Right of First Refusal Agreement

B. *Increased Unit Affordability*

At least 5% percent or more of project units serve PSH households.

- | | | |
|------|--------------------------------|--------|
| (i) | At least 5% less than 10% | 4_____ |
| (ii) | At least 10% but less than 15% | 5_____ |

C. *Difficult Development Area (QCT/DDA)*

- | | | |
|-----|--|--------|
| (i) | Project Located in Qualified Census Tract/Difficult Development Area (QCT/DDA) | 2_____ |
| | Census Tract Number: _____ Parish Location: _____ | |

- | | | |
|------|--|--------|
| (ii) | Copy of Final Concerted Community Revitalization Plan adopted by local governmental unit included in Application | 2_____ |
|------|--|--------|

D. *Rural Target Area (RD)*

Copy of Final Concerted Community Revitalization Plan adopted by local governmental unit included in Application

6_____

E. *Other Governmental Priority listed below:*

- | | | |
|------|---|--------|
| (i) | Enterprise Community or Renewal Community | 6_____ |
| (ii) | HUB Zone | 3_____ |

F. *PHA Referrals and Sponsorship*

Developer submitted a certified letter stating they will accept referrals from local PHA to which Developer agrees to rent low income units to households at the top of PHA's waiting list.

4_____

G.

IV. LOCATION CHARACTERISTICS

A. *Neighborhood Features*

(i) Points Gained*: (maximum of 10 Points)

Points will be awarded for the following services located within the specified distance of the site. Distance will be measured by odometer from the automobile entrance of the proposed project site to the closest automobile entrance to the parking lot of the applicable service. Applicant should ensure that the service is suitable for the targeted population. Points will only be awarded for the services listed below. One Half (0.5) points will be awarded for any service listed that is located over 1 mile but is within 2 miles.

1 point <= (1) mile

Grocery Store _____
Public Transportation _____
Hospital/Doctor Office _____
Elementary School _____
Pharmacy/Drug Store _____
Adult/Child Day Care/
After School Care _____

Public Library _____
Convenience Store _____
Bank/Credit Union _____
Post Office _____

Total Positive Points _____

(ii) Points Deducted*: (No Maximum for Deductions)

(There **is no limit** on the amount of points that can be deducted for negative neighborhood services.) Five points **each** will be deducted if any of the following incompatible uses are adjacent to the site; two points **each** will be deducted if any of the following incompatible uses listed are within ½ mile of the site.

Junk yard/dump _____
Salvage yard _____
Wastewater treatment *facility* _____
Distribution facilities _____
Electrical utility substations _____
Prisons _____
Adult entertainment/video/
theater _____

Pig/chicken farm _____
Processing plants _____
Industrial _____
Airports _____
Liquor Store _____
Solid waste disposal _____

Total Negative Points _____

*The Market Study for every project must include a separate section that evidences whether the Project satisfies the positive points listed or incurs the negative points listed above. The application should not be penalized if the LHFA hired market analysis fails to include the referenced section.

V. PROJECT CHARACTERISTICS

A. Green Buildings

See Glossary for definition of "Green Building."

5_____

B. Community Facilities (See Glossary)

(Community facilities must be consistent with definition contained in QAP)

2_____

C. Optional Amenities

- (i) Washers and dryers are installed and maintained in every unit
- (ii) Dishwashers maintained in each unit
- (iii) Disposals maintained in each unit

3_____

1_____

1_____

D. Additional Accessible Units

Accessible Units in excess of Section 504 of II C Accessible Project Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (Not Qualified for Selection Criteria II-C Accessible Project) Assume Section 504 applies to all Projects, i.e., 5% of units must be accessible for people with mobility impairments and 2% for people with hearing or vision impairments.

- (i) Number of Units: _____ = more than 8% of the total units but less than or equal to 10% of the total units
- (ii) Number of Units: _____ = more than 10% of the total units but less than or equal to 15% of the total units
- (iii) Number of Units: _____ = more than 15% of the total units

1_____

2_____

3_____

- Submit number, percentage and description of construction and/or equipment provided for each Accessible Unit.
- Unit count must represent at least (1) one unit above the 504 requirement

E. Superior Design

10_____

Between 1 and 10 points may be given for a project having Superior Design. Any developer requesting points from this category will be required to submit a \$2,000 (tentative) fee for an independent architect certification. The Board will retain an AIA-certified architect to review designs and make final determination. Developer will be required to submit graphical visual representation of the proposed development. The agency will arrange for the architectural firm that is selected to evaluate the Superior Design criteria through the RFP process, to hold a workshop for applicants proposing to submit in this category.

VI. LEVERAGE, EFFICIENCY AND VIABILITY

A. Leverage for Disability Funding

3_____

Leverage consists of federal or other funds for persons with disabilities:

List: _____

B. Viability Penalty Points

- (i) Rehabilitation Hard Costs are less than \$20,000 per unit

-5_____

Specify Total Rehab Hard Costs: \$ _____ ÷ Number of
Units: _____ = \$ _____/unit

- (ii) Development fee exceeds 25% of hard costs for rehabilitation

-5_____

DRAFT

B. VII. PROJECT & SUBMISSION PENALTY POINTS

- A. Any project which utilizes a condominium or division of a site for the purpose of receiving Low Income Housing Tax Credits in excess of the QAP stated maximum -15_____
- B. Any project involving repair of physical damage on which an insurance claim is made and received but applicant fails to disclose and utilize insurance proceeds in the development budget to reduce the use of Low Income Housing Tax Credits -15_____
- Attach Insurance Certification for any Redevelopment Project (III.F.) or Rehabilitation Project (III.G.)
- C. Incomplete or Missing Exhibits, Appendices or Documents -4_____
- Does not include Required Exhibits which must be submitted by Application Deadline. Missing Required Exhibits will result in Application being rejected.
- Applications for a project that will have units in more than one local government jurisdiction must provide resolutions from all jurisdictions in which there are project units.
- D. Failure to properly tab appendixes in final application -5_____
- E. Developers that have been identified as wasting Agency resources by failure to timely close on GO Zone projects. -10_____



United States Department of Agriculture
Rural Development
State Office

March 3, 2011

Mr. Milton Bailey, President
Louisiana Housing Finance Agency
2415 Quail Drive
Baton Rouge, LA 70808

Dear Mr. Bailey:

Louisiana USDA Rural Development (RD) would like to take this opportunity to present items for consideration for development of the 2011 Qualified Allocation Plan (QAP).

In Louisiana, USDA RD has an outstanding affordable housing portfolio of nearly 400 multi-family housing properties consisting of over 12,000 units. This represents an investment by the federal government of nearly \$350 million in rural affordable housing for the state of Louisiana.

RD is committed to working with LHFA in an effort to achieve the goal of both agencies; that of providing affordable housing which is decent, safe and sanitary to low income residents of our state.

Resources provided by programs through your agency has been critical to the mission of the RD Multi-Family Housing Program; particularly the Low Income Housing Tax Credit Program. Funding opportunities provided by LHFA have enabled developers to successfully leverage LHFA resources with RD or other third party resources to complete necessary rehabilitation of existing properties within an aging RD portfolio. We believe RD owners have evidenced their abilities to deliver.

Therefore, we are requesting consideration of the following:

1. A statewide RD pool specifically allocated for RD financed properties with no minimum scoring threshold due to inherent limitations attributable the location of these existing properties. A requirement for a letter from USDA confirming existing USDA Financing for the project would qualify the project for the pool. We would request this Pool be 10% of the Qualified Allocation Plan total credits.
2. In previous years we've requested point changes in order to make RD properties competitive in the selection criteria. With a separate set aside USDA financed properties could compete within their own pool, making it less important to have changes within the selection criteria for our properties. We all understand that our existing properties have been somewhat less competitive due to our rural locations and limited resources for these areas.

3727 Government Street • Alexandria, Louisiana 71302.
Telephone: (318) 473-7962 • Fax: (318) 473-7662 • TDD/TTY: (318) 473-7655 • Web: <http://www.rurdev.usda.gov/la>

Committed to the future of rural communities.

"USDA is an equal opportunity provider, employer, and lender."
To file a complaint of discrimination, write USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W.,
Washington, D.C. 20250-9410 or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD).

MF - 140

Page 2

Mr. Milton Bailey, President
Louisiana Housing Finance Agency
March 3, 2011

3. We also ask for waiver considerations for Project Threshold Requirements. Specifically we would ask for language to be included in the QAP that grants waivers for USDA Rural Development properties if such requests are submitted to the LHFA with USDA approval. There are a few Project Threshold Requirements that are either impossible or unfeasible for existing USDA properties in rural areas. We also ask that the LHFA continue to waive unit size limitations, including minimum bathroom and bedroom size, for existing RD properties.

We appreciate the opportunity to share our thoughts and concerns and ask that you give the items noted your full consideration during the development of the 2011 QAP. Should you have any questions, please let me know.

Sincerely,


YVONNE R. EMERSON

Multi Family Housing Program Director

cc: Brenda Evans
Board of Commissioners

RECEIVED

MAR 07 2011

LOUISIANA HOUSING
FINANCE AGENCY

HRI PROPERTIES



March 21, 2011

Brenda Evans
Louisiana Housing Finance Agency
2415 Quail drive
Baton Rouge, LA 70808

Re: Comment to 2011 Qualified Allocation Plan

Dear Mrs. Evans,

Please accept this letter and its proposed language for consideration in the upcoming draft of the 2011 Qualified Allocation Plan (QAP).

Within Section I.C. "Maximum Tax Credits," we propose the addition of a subsection "3" which would read as follows:

I.C.(3) The Agency will allow for an exception to the annual LIHTC reservation limit of \$1,500,000 to up to \$2,500,000 for a large master planned and sustainable community developed in a public private partnership, whether or not developer participates in some or all of the development pieces, which transforms the larger community economically, socially and environmentally. Examples are proposed developments that include, in addition to affordable housing, schools, retail, medical facilities, improve the environment and ecology and access to public transportation. A letter from the highest elected official from the local jurisdiction would be required to evidence the transformative impacts. Only one project would be eligible for this designation per funding round.

HRI respectfully requests that the Agency consider this exception in drafting the 2011 QAP. Should you have any questions, or if you would like to discuss further, please do not hesitate to contact me. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Pres Kabacoff
Chief Executive Officer

HRI PROPERTIES



March 21, 2011

Brenda Evans
Louisiana Housing Finance Agency
2415 Quail drive
Baton Rouge, LA 70808

Re: Comment to 2011 Qualified Allocation Plan

Dear Mrs. Evans,

Please accept this letter and its proposed language for consideration in the upcoming draft of the 2011 Qualified Allocation Plan (QAP).

Within Section I.C. "Maximum Tax Credits," we propose the addition of a subsection "3" which would read as follows:

I.C.(3) The Agency will allow for an exception to the annual LIHTC reservation limit of \$1,500,000 to up to \$2,500,000 for a large master planned and sustainable community developed in a public private partnership, whether or not developer participates in some or all of the development pieces, which transforms the larger community economically, socially and environmentally. Examples are proposed developments that include, in addition to affordable housing, schools, retail, medical facilities, improve the environment and ecology and access to public transportation. A letter from the highest elected official from the local jurisdiction would be required to evidence the transformative impacts. Only one project would be eligible for this designation per funding round.

HRI respectfully requests that the Agency consider this exception in drafting the 2011 QAP. Should you have any questions, or if you would like to discuss further, please do not hesitate to contact me. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Pres Kabacoff
Chief Executive Officer

V. Martin And Associates LLC


March 29, 2011

From: Vernon Martin

To: Mr. Milton Bailey, President
LHFA

In addition to our letter dated, Friday, March 25, 2011 concerning the new Q.A.P. we suggest the following:

1. Let the Agency consider a turn-key method for awarding Tax Credits.
2. The Developer will not be paid for the Tax Credits until the project is completed and placed in service. (50 points.)
3. The Developer who receive City and Local Government approval. (15 points.)
4. An Economic Development Area and/or a Government recently declared disaster area. (30 points.)


.....
Vernon Martin

RECEIVED

MAR 30 2011

LOUISIANA HOUSING
FINANCE AGENCY

TO: Milton Bailey, Louis Russell, Brenda Evans, LHFA Multifamily Housing Committee
FR: Gionne Jourdan
CC: Milton Pratt, Steve Yearly, Steve Lawrence, Richard Herrington, Toni Jackson
RE: Comments to the 2011 QAP
DATE: March 30, 2011

This memo is in follow-up to the Stakeholder meeting held Monday, March 28th in New Orleans. Below please find our comments regarding the most recent QAP.

General Comments:

- Increase the current 10% Set Aside for Public Housing Authority (PHA).
- Increase the number of points available for projects that receive local government support or funds received from a PHA. (Page 5 of Selection Criteria)
- Give points to projects that are developed on PHA property.
- Give points for any project with a long-term subsidy contract with 50% of units from a PHA or HUD.
- Neighborhood Features: Eliminate or limit the negative deductions assessed to PHA properties if they are located within ½ of mile the stated negative neighborhood services. (Page 7)
- Consider bifurcating the QAP to allow rural and urban projects their own set of criteria points, so that such projects are weighted equally under its own category.
- Consider an electronic application and/or fewer paper submissions.

Definition Comments:

- **Redevelopment Project:** Expand the definition of a redevelopment area to include PHA projects (p. 48 of QAP).
- **Abandoned Project:** Expand definition to include a project that HUD has declared functionally obsolete or a PHA project that has been vacant more than 6 months due to a relocation plan.
- **High Vacancy:** Same as above – HUD declares functionally obsolete.
- **Scattered Site:** To increase the viability of scattered site projects, we propose that scattered site projects be joined with other projects to be considered one tax credit project and application.

Superior Design Comments:

- Eliminate the duplication of scoring for selection criteria items and Superior Design scoring criteria items for Site Selection, Neighborhood Features, Energy Efficiency, and Green Building. (From LAAHP)
- Eliminate the subjectivity of awarding Superior Design points and implement specific written criteria on how to meet the definition in the QAP.

Suggestions for upcoming LHFA's Qualified Allocation Plan (QAP)

March 21, 2011

1. Revise guidelines for Community notification

As experienced last year, there was confusion on the Community Notification requirement. Here are several suggestions for improvement:

- a. QAP require that all notices must run no sooner than 21 days before the close of the cycle and be complete prior to 7 days before the cycle ends.
- b. Remove this pre-application requirement and revise to become a post application requirement (i.e. notices must be published within 12 days after the application is submitted).

2. Review Selection Criteria Points to eliminate duplication of points for same item.

- a. Superior design scorecard points that duplicate selection criteria points for Site Selection and Neighborhood Features, Energy Efficiency and Green Building.

3. Delete or modify points for Superior Design

- a. Delete superior design.
- b. At the end of this report, please see the summary of other State Housing Agencies QAP and Superior Design. The survey indicates Superior Design is only included in a few states.
- c. If Superior Design is retained in the QAP, provide written specific criteria to meet the definition in the QAP.
- d. In the 2010 Funding round, the majority of the projects awarded Selection Criteria points were in urban areas.
- e. Revise the awarding of points for Superior Design as the current system is very subjective. Suggestions include:
 - i. Award 10 points for only one project per round.
 - ii. Award between 1 and 10 points based on scoring for superior. For example, since the scorecard awards between 10 and 100 points, award 1 point for each 10 points scored design rather than awarding only two levels of 5 and 10 points.

4. Delete Cash Equity Contribution

- a. This criterion can be manipulated to receive points.
- b. Funding sources and amounts change during development. Cash Equity contribution can only be evaluated at the completion of a project not at the outset.

5. Revise Timeline to allow adequate time for comment by developers

- a. The time between application submission (June 30th) and award recommendations to board (Sept 14th) does not allow time for comment on the results of the Market Studies once they are complete.
- b. Provide a time and a format for responding to initial ranking letters prior to award announcements. This can be accomplished by designating a panel of staff who are scheduled to be available on a specific day and anyone wishing to walk through their response / score can come to the agency and do so.

6. Review and Revise the maximum TDC to insure that these are reasonable for all developments. For example, scattered site developments are more costly to develop than single site apartments.
7. Change the developer cap limits back to 10% of states cap, or 15% if partnering with a CHDO, instead of having a hard figure.
8. Revise Rural Housing Definitions in the QAP
 - a. Utilize the US Department of Agriculture definitions for Rural Development
 - i. RD New Construction: in a place designated by RD of the US Department of Agriculture in open country and communities up to 20,000 in population.
 - ii. RD Target Area – An area designated in writing by RD of the U.S. Department of Agriculture as a priority area for housing currently financed under the Section 515 Program.
 - iii. Rural Pool: Developments meeting the definition of RD New Construction and/or RD Targeted Area
9. Expand listing of construction methods that meet the for 15 year maintenance free exterior requirement
 - a. 75% brick exterior
 - b. HardiPlank and other fiber cement based products
 - c. Stucco



HERMAN & KITTLE PROPERTIES, INC.

Real Estate Development • General Contracting • Property Management

March 21, 2011

SENT VIA EMAIL

Ms. Marjoriana Willman
Tax Credit Manager
Louisiana Housing Finance Agency
2415 Quail Drive
Baton Rouge, LA 70808

RE: 2011 Qualified Allocation Plan ("QAP")

Dear Ms. Willman:

On behalf of Herman & Kittle Properties, Inc., the following comments and recommendations are respectfully submitted in connection with the creation and adoption of the 2011 QAP:

1. Per Capita Credits: utilize the Agency's 2011 and 2012 Per Capita Credit Ceiling in the 2011 9% LIHTC funding cycle.
2. Rural Pool:
 - a. Allocate twenty-five percent (25%) of the Per Capita Component of the State's 2011 Credit Ceiling to the Rural Pool. There are numerous places designated by the Louisiana Office of Rural Development, U.S. Department of Agriculture ("RD") in open country and communities with a population up to 20,000 with a significant lack of quality affordable rental housing and RD Target Areas with existing rental housing financed under the Section 515 Program in need of Substantial Rehabilitation.
 - b. Implement the following definitions:
 - i. RD New Construction: New Construction activity in a place designated in writing by RD in open country and communities up to 20,000 in population;
 - ii. RD Target Area: An area designated in writing by RD as a priority area for housing currently financed under the Section 515 Program; and
 - iii. Rural Pool: Developments meeting the definition of RD New Construction and/or RD Targeted Area, as evidenced in writing by a support letter from RD included with the application for Low-Income Housing Tax Credits, shall be *eligible* to compete in the Rural Pool.
 - c. Experience Requirement:
 - i. Developer Experience: previous experience with a minimum of five (5) Developments meeting the definition of RD New Construction and/or RD Targeted Area, however, not limited to the State of Louisiana and
 - ii. Property Management Agent Experience: previous experience with a minimum of five (5) Developments meeting the definition of RD New Construction and/or RD Targeted Area, however, not limited to the State of Louisiana.

3. Maximum Tax Credits

a. Project Limits:

- i. Developments participating in the Rural Pool shall be capped at an annual Credit allocation of \$800,000 and
- ii. Developments participating in the General Statewide, QNP/CHDO and PHA Pools shall be capped at an annual credit allocation of \$1,200,000 if located in a Metro Area and \$800,000 if located in a non-Metro area.

b. Developer Limits: No Developer, related persons thereof or agents thereof or any person having an identity of interest with any Developer, related persons thereof or agents thereof or any combination of the foregoing shall be reserved Credits in excess of \$1,600,000.

4. 30% Basis Bump Up Determination:

- a. Developments *shall* qualify for the 30% Basis Bump Up if located in a Difficult Development Area (DDA) or a Qualified Census Tract (QCT);
- b. The Agency *may* otherwise consider Developments not located in a DDA or QCT on a case-by-case basis for the 30% Basis Bump Up; and
- c. Developments participating in the Rural Pool or located in a non-Metro area *shall* automatically receive the 30% Basis Bump Up.

5. Communication with Contact Person: modify the QAP language and application to allow for a maximum of two (2) contact persons per Development/Application.

6. Maximum Average TDC Per Unit by Development Type: *increase* the limit by 20% for all Development Types for Developments/Applicants electing the Green Buildings selection criteria (Selection Criteria V.A. in the 2010 QAP).

7. Pro Form Cash Flows:

a. Rate of Increase Assumptions for Revenues and Expenses:

- i. Revenue: permit a range of 2% - 6% annual increase for existing Developments if supported by a minimum 3 years of historical operating data, which shall be included as evidentiary materials with the application for low-income housing tax credits. Otherwise, 2% annual increase;
- ii. Expense:
 1. All expenses except real estate taxes: permit an annual increase rate less than 3% for existing Developments if supported by a minimum 3 years of historical operating data, which shall be included as evidentiary materials with the application for low-income housing tax credits. Otherwise, 3% annual increase and
 2. Real estate taxes: permit an annual increase rate less than 3% if supported by a minimum 5 years of historical operating data, which shall be included with as evidentiary materials with the application for low-income housing tax credits. Otherwise, 3% annual increase.

b. Vacancy Rate Assumptions: permit a range of 2% to 10% (including vacancy and bad debt) for existing Developments if supported by a minimum 3 years of historical

operating data, which shall be included as evidentiary materials with the application for low-income housing tax credits.

8. Financing Commitments: modify the current language to read: "All sources of funding identified in the application for low-income housing tax credits must be supported by a letter of interest/letter of intent executed by the party providing such letter. Each letter must clearly identify the Development and its location, state the terms of the proposed financing source, including interest rate, amortization, term, prepayment penalty, anticipated tax credit amount, equity pricing per credit dollar, pay-in schedule and any other terms material to the nature and source of the financing proposed in the letter."
9. Market Study and Appraisals:
 - a. Require the Market Study to be submitted at the time of application for low-income housing tax credits. This process will have the following result:
 - i. Developers/Applicants will not duplicate costs associated with obtaining a Market Study (i.e., obtaining one prior to application and then paying the Agency an additional fee to obtain one after the application for low-income housing tax credits is submitted);
 - ii. Developers/Applicants will have the opportunity and ability to develop a project concept well in advance of the application process that meets the specific needs (i.e., senior versus elderly, appropriate rental rates, AMI set-asides, etc.) of a particular market; and
 - iii. Significantly reduce or potentially eliminate the need for Agency Staff and Developers/Applicants to spend time and resources disputing the results of the Market Study during the 10-day challenge period.
 - b. For rehabilitation projects where there is *not* an identify of interest between the buyer and seller, require an Appraisal to be submitted at the time of application for low-income housing tax credits when Acquisition Costs exceeding \$1,500,000 are included in Eligible Basis. The 30% Value Credit this month is 3.33%, which means the resulting annual credit request from Acquisition Costs of \$1,500,000 would be approximately \$50,000. Any downward adjustments to the acquisition price resulting from an Appraisal would most likely have a nominal impact on the annual credit request associated with Acquisition Costs and overall Development sources and uses (i.e., the feasibility and viability). This modification to the QAP would reflect a meaningful cost savings/reduction of up to \$10,000 to many Developers/Applicants who already go "out-of-pocket" \$20,000 - \$40,000 preparing one low-income housing tax credit application.
10. Green Building Definition:
 - a. LEED Criteria: incorporate LEED for Homes.
 - b. Green Communities Criteria: strike reference to "project" and revise to include only residential buildings, thereby excluding Community Facilities and detached garage buildings, if applicable.

11. Selection Criteria

a. Targeted Project Type

- i. Permit Developer/Applicant to check "All that Apply" and eliminate the "Select Maximum of One" limit;
- ii. I.A.(i). Eliminate the category. This category currently promotes a mixed income structure that was successful under the Piggy-Back Program, but will have limited or no success without a significant amount of soft financing sources. Rather, implement a selection criterion that promotes a variety of income targeting (e.g., 30%, 40%, 50%, 60% AMI and unrestricted market units) in a particular Development. As an example, assign points to the various AMI set-asides based on the number of each as a percentage of the over total number of units in the Development, with the ideal AMI set-aside mix consisting of some combination of 30%, 40%, 50%, and 60% AMI or unrestricted market units;
- iii. Selection Criteria I.B.(i) Substantial Rehabilitation or Conversion: award more points to this scoring category to encourage focusing the State's resources on the acquisition and rehabilitation of existing properties;
- iv. Selection Criteria I.B.(ii) Rehab of Historic Property: modify the definition, or provide clarity to the current definition, of Historic Property to include a Development/Property that includes with its application for low-income housing tax credits a letter from SHPO indicating the Developer/Applicant has filed a Part 1 and SHPO subsequently determined the Development/Property to be eligible;

b. Priority Development Areas and Other Preferences

- i. Selection Criteria G.(iv): revise so that either of the following are sufficient to receive the points: (a) letter of support on official letterhead specifically identifying the Development and its location signed by the highest ranked public official of the City or Parish in which the Development is located; (b) a resolution of support specifically identifying the Development and its location passed by a majority of the members of the City or Parish Council of the City or Parish in which the Development is located; or (c) a letter of support on official letterhead specifically identifying the Development and its location signed by a majority of the members of the City or Parish Council of the City or Parish in which the Development is located;
- ii. Selection Criteria H. Make this a more meaningful scoring category by identifying specific/select Parishes throughout the State and publish this list in the first draft of the QAP. Otherwise, eliminate this scoring criteria;
- iii. Add a 5 point category to award Developments located in a census tract with no other same type (i.e., elderly, general occupancy) Developments supported by rental housing tax credits. Evidence of the census tract in which the Development is located must be submitted with the application for low-income housing tax credits. Additionally, the Market Study must identify the location and specify the target occupancy/households served (i.e., elderly, general occupancy) of all other Developments supported by rental housing tax credits located in the same census tract as the Development under consideration by the Agency.

Ms. Marjoriana Willman


March 21, 2011

Page 5 of 5

- c. Location Characteristics IV.(A.)(ii): define "Industrial" and eliminate Liquor Store
- d. Project Characteristics V.E.: eliminate this selection criteria
- e. Leverage, Efficiency and Viability VI.B.(i) and (ii): eliminate this selection criteria as it relates to Actual Cash Equity Contribution (Investment) by Developer. Add an emphasis to HOME Funds, AHP, NSP or other funding provided by 3rd parties not related to the Developer.

Herman & Kittle Properties, Inc. has been a participant in the 9% competitive application process in the State of Louisiana since April 2006 and appreciates the opportunity to participate again in 2011. Thank you in advance for considering the above comments and recommendations. If you should have any questions, please feel free to contact me via phone at 317.403.5420 or email: mroderer@hermankittle.com.

Sincerely,



Michael A. Roderer
Senior Development Associate

cc: Mr. Milton Bailey, President, Louisiana Housing Finance Agency
Ms. Brenda Evans, Housing Program Administrator
Ms. Allison Jones, Chairwoman, Louisiana Housing Finance Agency Board of Commissioners
Mr. Michael Airhart, Vice Chairman, Louisiana Housing Finance Agency Board of Commissioners
Mr. Guy Williams, Chair, Multifamily Rental Committee, Louisiana Housing Finance Agency Board of Commissioners
Mr. Wayne Neveu, Foley & Judell

MEMO

Date: March 28, 2011
To: Brenda Evans
From: Monica Gonzalez
Re: 2011 QAP: Enterprise Green Communities

We would first like to commend LHFA on continuing its strong commitment to green and sustainable affordable housing development the QAP and inclusion of the Enterprise Green Communities Criteria 2008 in the scoring categories. Enterprise is committed to making evidence-based improvements to its Green Communities initiative in order to advance the economic, health, and environmental benefits that can be achieved through green measures and methods. Towards that end, we released an updated version of the Green Communities Criteria in February 2011 and have a corresponding Certification process for developers of affordable housing seeking to meet the Criteria. We would like to share this update with the LHFA staff for inclusion in the 2011 QAP.

Developers can begin the two-step Enterprise Green Communities Certification process through the online application process. For Step 1, the project team should submit the required documentation during the design phase by the expected construction start date. Step 2 is required to be submitted within 60 days of construction completion. Certification Process is available here: <http://www.greencommunitiesonline.org/tools/certification/>

To achieve Enterprise Green Communities Certification under the 2011 Criteria, all projects must achieve compliance with the Criteria mandatory measures applicable to that construction type. Additionally, New Construction projects must achieve 35 optional points, and Substantial and Moderate Rehab projects must achieve 30 optional points.

In order to establish a stronger baseline for green development and achieve a significant amount of the benefit from the utilization of the Green Communities Criteria, we are recommending that LHFA include the 2011 Enterprise Green Communities Criteria in the scoring criteria as either a threshold or incentive measure.

(Additional text for your consideration on the benefits)

A major obstacle to greening affordable housing has always been the perceived tension between increased cost and affordability. Enterprise recently released research which assessed the associated financial benefits resulting from reduced energy and water utility costs over the life of the housing. From a strictly financial standpoint, the projected “lifetime” utility cost savings, averaging **\$4,851** per dwelling unit (discounted to today’s dollars), are sufficient to repay the average **\$4,524** per-unit cost of complying with the Green Communities Criteria. The

average cost per dwelling unit to incorporate the energy and water criteria was **\$1,917**, returning **\$4,851** in predicted lifetime utility cost savings. In other words, the energy and water conservation measures not only paid for themselves but also produced another **\$2,900 in projected lifetime savings per unit**.

We look forward to working with the Louisiana Housing Finance Agency and developers to help create affordable, sustainable housing for the residents of Louisiana. If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact me at 504.335.2307.

Brenda Evans
Louisiana Housing Finance Agency
2415 Quail Drive
Baton Rouge, LA
70808

4-1-11

Dear Ms. Evans,

I would like to provide these comments relative to the 2011 QAP.

The time has come to discourage big-box developments in New Orleans. We should not be funding and building Mega-plexes when thousands of empty homes and vacant lots sit nearby. New and renovated homes will help our neighborhoods in a way that a big-box complex never can.

Research has shown that neighborhoods have a tipping point. Many experts think that if 80% of the homes within a neighborhood are occupied then the neighborhood will "tip" toward full occupancy.

With so many of our neighborhoods delicately balanced at a point where they could either tip toward full occupancy or decline to jack-o-lantern status Scattered Site housing needs to be encouraged so that new and renovated singles and doubles replace blight in our neighborhoods.

- 1) **Scattered Site:** The way to discourage Big Box and encourage singles and doubles is to give as many points as possible for Scattered Site Development.
- 2) **Clustering:** I have learned through experience that if one wants to leverage additional construction and renovation within a neighborhood then it is necessary to "cluster" scattered site development. Doing so can help create a critical mass of construction. However, don't fall into the trap of saying, "All scattered site development must be within 'x' miles of this particular development." Or, "Each unit within a scattered site development must be within 'x' miles of each other. A) It would drive up land prices in that area, and B) it doesn't take into account that we may have 2 or three distinct clusters of scattered site development within one project. Or put another way, 2 individual properties may be 4 miles apart from each other but each is within its own cluster of development. So if you want to create critical mass and clusters of scattered site development please be prepared for developers who ask for exceptions because they may have more than one cluster of development within the same project.
- 3) **Maximum Total Development Costs.** Just as Historic Rehabilitation costs more per unit to build than new construction, please be aware that the historic rehabilitation of scattered homes costs more than the rehabilitation of a unit within a large complex. Each building has a different floor plan, existing conditions, scope of work, etc.. The only

reason we have been able to develop a successful model of rehabilitating historic homes is because the maximum allowed total development cost for historic rehabilitation has allowed us to do so. (And we have learned that if you want to do historic rehabilitation that is *also* LEED or Enterprise compliant then it is **really** expensive.)

With that said, the TDC for new construction and the historic rehab of big-box developments is probably too high. We would suggest that you leave the historic rehab TDC the same as last year's levels and lower the maximum TDC for other unit types by about 5%-10%.

- 4) **Superior Design.** Points should be given for Superior Design but consider the way you are going about it. Even when you enumerate the standards for "superior design" with ten or twenty criteria you are still awarding points for something that is subjective.

Take a close look at the "standards" that your consulting architect produces for you. Do they have words like, "well thought out," "innovative," "aesthetically pleasing," and "memorable" ? "Aesthetically pleasing" is just as subjective as "Superior," yet one is supposed to define the other. It is still just their opinion and it is not objective because good design is subjective.

Instead, what the Agency needs to do is embrace the fact that design is subjective and say, "We have retained the services of architects that we feel are independent, subjective arbiters of taste and we are going to follow their counsel."

The biggest thing you can do to keep the howls of protest from the development community to a minimum is to award points on a sliding scale. If it is not an all or nothing proposition then you will see that you will not have as many protests during the objection period.

I appreciate the opportunity to make these comments. If you have any questions please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Neal Morris". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "Neal" and last name "Morris" clearly distinguishable.

Neal Morris B.A., M.B.A., J.D., LF '10



April 1, 2011

Louisiana Housing Finance Agency
Tax Credit Commissioners and Staff
2415 Quail Drive
Baton Rouge, LA 70808

Re: Stakeholder Written Comments for 2011 Qualified Allocation Plan (“QAP”)

Dear Honorable LHFA Commissioners and Staff:

At the stakeholder’s meeting on March 28, 2011, the LHFA Commissioners requested that specific comments on the QAP be directed in writing. We are writing to you in response to that request.

Although there were a number of requests by different individuals, two general themes emerging from the meeting were: (1) the need for a greater focus on remediation of blight and transformational projects and (2) the preference, as stated by the federal government, that low income housing should not be a series of large apartment complexes.

Both of these themes resonate with Roak Real Estate’s core strategy, as we exclusively focus on restoring, renovating, and modernizing blighted duplexes. Our finished product retains the charming aspects of an old New Orleans home, such as the hardwood floors, 11+ foot ceilings, and interior exposed brick; however, our homes are also highly energy efficient, as we use, to name a few, the latest spray foam insulation, top-of-the line energy efficient windows, and LED recessed can lighting. Annex A to this letter shows a picture demonstrating the quality of these units.

Because smaller projects such as ours rebuild neighborhoods and allow low income tenants such luxuries as backyards, we believe that similar projects should be encouraged through the tax credit program. These projects help eliminate the unseemly blight that has disproportionately affected lower income neighborhoods and provide tenants with the greater sense of ownership that inevitably accompanies lower density housing. And these projects do all of this while still furnishing the same level, if not better, of modern amenities present in the so-called “Katrina cottages.”

With that in mind, we respectfully submit to you the following specific suggestions on how these ideals could be promoted in the forthcoming QAP:

- 1) Blighted Property Set-Aside Pool. Because remediation of blight rebuilds communities more expeditiously than new construction and also maintains the character of the neighborhood, we suggest a 10% pool for applications targeting existing blighted properties.
- 2) Exterior and Roof Threshold Requirements.
 - a. *Exterior*: We appreciate the flexibility to be able to use hardiplank for the exterior. However, certain rehabilitation projects, particularly of older duplexes, would be more aesthetically pleasing, more cost-effective, and just as maintenance-free by rehabilitation of existing weatherboards, which are often made from high-grade materials, such as cedar. Disposal of perfectly good cedar weatherboards for the sole purpose of complying with this threshold requirement is the antithesis of green building. Therefore, we suggest extension of this requirement to allow for use of cedar or other high-quality weatherboards.
 - b. *Roof*: Likewise, many older homes have roofs that have already in good condition and were constructed with higher grade materials than would be present in a modern roof with a 25-year roof warranty. Again, to discard a roof that is already in good condition and that was built using high-grade materials is extremely wasteful. For rehabilitation projects, we would suggest relaxation of the 25-year roof warranty requirement to allow for certification by a roofing specialist that the roof is currently in working condition and is expected to be in working condition for 25 years.
- 3) Development Experience. The development experience threshold currently requires a developer who has administered tax credit programs before. However, this requirement grossly favors large corporations and large-scale development projects and ignores the benefit that smaller scale projects have on communities. It also allows no opportunity for a small business who has never received tax credits. It is simply not feasible for most small businesses to hire someone with this type of experience for the sole purpose of administering the credits. We would suggest a tiered approach where applicants requesting less than a certain dollar amount (perhaps \$250,000) worth of credits can overcome this requirement.
- 4) Minimum Square Footage and Bathrooms Per Unit. For rehabilitation projects, there is a potential waiver if the project is financed by a federal program. Because most 3 bedroom units available for rehabilitation do not already have a second bathroom, we would suggest that the potential for this waiver be extended to any rehabilitation project that exceeds the



- minimum square footage. Essentially, we suggest that the minimum number of bathrooms for 3 bedroom units – only for rehabilitation projects – be reduced to 1 bathroom, rather than 2.
- 5) Actual Cash Equity Contribution. As was stated by a gentlemen in the stakeholders meeting, rehabilitation of blighted properties on a home-by-home basis often is financed in large part by the developer. We would suggest a higher point total for these types of projects.
 - 6) Targeted Project Type. Because studies have shown that lower density housing is tied to lower crime rates, higher prospects for employment, and more meaningful childhood development, we suggest adding a targeted project type called “Low Density Housing” worth 10 points.
 - 7) Project Characteristics – Optional Amenities. Because single family homes and duplexes afford low income individuals more privacy, more pride of ownership, and significantly lower density housing, we suggest adding an optional amenity worth 3 points for providing a backyard of at least 500 square feet.

We believe that the above suggestions will help address many of the comments made at the stakeholders’ meeting and capture the spirit of the noble goals of the LHFA. We thank you for reviewing our suggestions and ask that you please contact us should you have any questions.

We look forward to this round of applications.

Sincerely,

/s/ Walter Baudier

Walter J. Baudier III
Co-Founder
Roak Real Estate LLC

/s/ Paul Dufour

Paul J. Dufour
Co-Founder
Roak Real Estate LLC

Annex A

Sample Kitchen and Hallway



Selection Criteria Summary
Review by: James Freeman

I have reviewed multiple state QAP's for comparison to the LHFA's recent introduction of the Superior Site design. In my review I looked at the southeast geographical region of the U.S., which I believe is the best comparison to Louisiana. The states reviewed are ***Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Mississippi, Oklahoma, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee and Texas.*** I also selected 2 states that are in the eastern part of the U.S.: ***Indiana and New York.*** Below are my findings relative to each. NOTE that most all QAP's incorporate minimum building standards.

Alabama- The QAP provides specific points for building criteria and implementation of GREEN construction. *No subjective points for site design or building practices*

Arkansas- The QAP provides specific points for building criteria and implementation of GREEN construction. *No subjective points for site or building practices.*

Florida- *No subjective points for site or building practices.*

Georgia- The QAP provides specific points for building criteria and sustainable (GREEN) construction. This QAP is the only one in my review that specifically mentioned Superior Project Concept and Design. Under this QAP the state housing agency may, but is not required, to give points to one submitted application based on written narratives submitted with the applications. These points and awards are at the agency's sole discretion. *The value of this particular point criterion is 6 points which other criteria range between 1 and 10 points.*

Mississippi- The QAP provides specific points for both building criteria and for GREEN features. There are also points given for specific amenities incorporated into the site. *No subjective points for site design or building practices.*

Oklahoma- The QAP provides points for specific building amenities. *No subjective points for site design or building practices.*

North Carolina- The QAP provides specific points for building criteria etc. However it also provides points based on Neighborhood Characteristics, Surrounding Land Uses and Site Suitability and building location. While there are suggested criteria given to each component, the award of points appears to have some subjectivity involved as evaluation involves comparison with other applications in the same geographical area. The QAP also provides points for Site Layout, Quality Design and Construction and Adaptive Re-use. These points as well as the aforementioned items do provide suggested criteria but are still subjective as they are evaluated against other submitted projects. *The maximum available positive points in this QAP are 200, the mentioned criteria's account for 150 of them.*

South Carolina- The QAP provides points for specific building criteria. This QAP also provides two scoring components that are dependent on third party review. First is topography of the site being consistent with adjacent sites and buildings. Second is project (building) compatibility relative to surrounding area within ¼ mile. *Both of these criteria are worth 2 points each in the QAP and are small point values in the overall scoring process. Both criteria also provide guidance as to the review process.*

Tennessee- The QAP provides points for specific building criteria and building amenities. *No subjective points for site design or building practices.*

Texas- The QAP provides points for specific construction criteria and specific location characteristics. Also GREEN points are given for specific green criteria's. *No subjective points for site design or building practices*

New York- The QAP provides GREEN points and points for project location etc. *No subjective points for site design or building practices.*

Indiana- The QAP provides points for specific construction criteria and GREEN criteria. The QAP also has a section titled Unique Features. The section requires a written narrative and gives guidance of what to submit but gives no specific direction. The section also gives an expected breakdown of percentage of applications that will receive what point values. *This section appears to have some subjectivity.*

**Louisiana Housing Finance Agency
Stakeholder Meeting- New Orleans, LA
March 28, 2011- 10 AM**

Commissioners Present

Guy Williams
Donald Vallee
Michael Airhart
Adena Boris
Frank Thaxton

Staff Present

Milton Bailey
Alesia Wilkins-Braxton
Brenda Evans
Wayne Neveu
Marjorianna Willman
Louis Russell
Nicole Carter
Ronald Burrough
Jeff DeGraff
Eva Martinez

Meeting started promptly at 10:00 am; Chairman of the Multifamily Committee welcomed participants and explained this meeting is strictly for the commissioners and staff listening purposes for input into the 2011 Qualified Allocation Plan (QAP).

Pres Kabacoff, HRI Properties- Would like to see the Agency increase the project cap from \$1.5 Million to \$2.5 Million for projects that are a part of a transformational effort (housing, hospital & transportation). Consider bringing PSH back; would like to bring substantial social services to provide case management and substance abuse services. UNITY and Common Ground organizations could bring strong services to allow residents to function in society. He also asked for priority points for PSH.

Martha Kegal, UNITY- Affordable rental housing aligned to services for regular tenants with full time staff with offices on-site to assist residents. Not asking for a mandatory set aside, just incentives.

Ed Washington, New Orleans East (NOE) Resident/ NOE Neighbor Advisory- Seems to be a glut of senior housing in NOE, with no reasoning behind it; entirely too many units being built and there are currently vacant units with vacancy signs. In addition, there is no infrastructure (jobs, transportation, other amenities) in place for the residents. CDBG dollars were not meant to concentrate poverty in any one area of the city. The Agency needs to take into consideration the needs of the community prior to building housing.

Joan Hisser, New Orleans East Resident/ Realtor- NOE has over 40 percent of the city's low income housing and has the large vacancy rates. Federal government did not intend to saturate a particular area with low income housing.

Gionne Jordan, Michaels Development- Give points for projects partnering with a housing authority or increase set aside for such. Eliminate Superior Design.

Larry Jackson, Citywide Development Services- Develop blighted properties with own funds since financing is not available. Would like to see a Tax Credit set aside for such and some incentives for developing this type of project; this would provide affordable housing quickly.

Todd Little, Little and Associates/ LAAHP- Would like the definition of rural to be clearer. In addition, a precise definition of “pools” and how the set aside will collapse.

James Freeman, Standard Enterprises- Lower project cap to \$700,000-\$800,000 range. Superior site should be on level playing field to allow rural projects to compete.

Steve Perry, Perry Property- The simplest way to get projects on the ground would be to forward allocate.

Rebecca Rotherberg, HANO- Increase cap for transformational projects.

Pierre Walker, CCNO Development- Did not participate in last funding round and would like to see the Agency allow developers to participate even if they haven’t fulfilled every task and permanent financing on previous awarded projects.

Yvonne Emerson, USDA Rural Development (RD) - In favor of a statewide rural development pool; RD would like to focus on rehabbing existing properties that the federal government has already invested in.

Charlotte Bourgeois, LAAHP- Has submitted written comments prior to the meeting. Some of the comments are as follows:

- Revise guidelines for “community notifications”
- Eliminate selection criteria duplicate points
- Superior Design
 - Delete superior design
 - If deleting is not an option, revise the way it is managed for more clarity and not as subjective.
 - Urban areas currently have an advantage over rural areas
 - Revise point options from 5 or 10 points to a sliding scale of 1-10 points dependent upon scoring. (For example if a project scores 30 points, they would get 3 selection criteria points)
 - Delete cash equity contribution; this can only be assessed at the end and can be manipulated.
 - Revise comment period to allow additional time to review market study and initial ranking. Possibly set a day or two for a panel to review challenges prior to board meeting.
 - Change developer cap to 10%
 - Revise rural housing definition
 - Expand listing of 15-year maintenance free exterior

Tim Hardy- would like to see more scattered site developments

Michael Gross, LDG Multifamily-

- Against forward allocation
- Current QAP is being skewed to new construction projects, wants a level playing field.

- Against lowering the developer cap
- Superior design- would like a checklist to be provided
- Eliminate community support points from mayor and/or metro council
- Have separate selection criteria for urban and rural areas
- Have paperless applications, or 1 paper and 2 electronic copies

Michelle Whetten, Enterprise Community- Would like the Agency to encourage the use of Green Communities.

Bob Watkins, Phoenix Development- In favor of homeowner units and green communities.

Jakob Von Trapp, Columbia Residential- Wanted to know if the Board plans to target certain parishes.

Jack Guatreau, LA Homebuilders Associations- Wants the Agency to encourage the use of local builders, suppliers, and sub-contractors.

Monica Gonzales, Enterprise Community- Supports Green Communities and offers training to developers interested in Green Communities.

Billy Ward, LA Homebuilders Association- Interested in building communities, not just housing.

Lisa Mazie, CD Capital- Would like to see lending for distressed housing.

Murray Calhoun- Rural cannot compete with urban new construction; would like to see a set aside for rural projects. Also, rural is at a disadvantage with superior design as well. Wants to see a greater emphasis placed on the restoration of existing properties.

Jonathan Wright, Olympic Construction- Supports scattered site developments.

George Turner, The Peoples Workshop- Would like to see the Agency better serve rural Louisiana.

Connie Decuir, Urban Planner NOE- Wants the Agency to consider the infrastructure that will be needed to support tax credit multifamily housing.

Commissioner Williams- The Agency's strategy/ plan will be developed after all comments are heard. (Opened the floor to other commissioners for comments and questions)

Commissioner Vallee-

- Asked the New Orleans East group if they had seen the UNO market study completed recently, if they haven't, he suggested they do so.
- HANO is to report the number of subsidized and assisted living structures.
- Wanted to know if Larry Jackson with Citywide Development had any suggestions to assist in the development of blighted housing.
 - Mr. Jackson suggested a set aside and since no financing is available, maybe CDBG funds.
 - Would like Mr. Jackson to discuss these options with staff.
- Asked how we could help with superior design and criteria to provide nicer projects.
 - Charlotte Bourgeois stated LAAHP had met with the architect firm that completed the superior design assessment last year and found the problem was the firm did not have

extensive knowledge of tax credit properties and how they work. Would like to meet with the architect firm again to discuss the superior design criteria.

- Asked Murray Calhoun to further discuss the problems rural housing is facing. In particular rural housing's difficulty in finding investors. In his opinion, what is the ideal size and dollar amount for rural housing to get investors interested in rural housing?
 - Mr. Calhoun stated a typical 32 unit project building 1985 would need approximately \$125,000 to \$175,000 to rehab. In addition to the credits needs, it is difficult to find a partner for the credits.
 - Commissioner Vallee asked in Mr. Calhoun's opinion how would he divide the pools (urban to rural, new construction to rehab, residential to multifamily)?
 - 6-8 projects a year for rural (about 10% for rural)
 - In addition, rural projects also have to work with the existing spaces and adding amenities like washer/dryers and dishwashers are taking away from living spaces.

Commissioner Thaxton- Two issues to discuss:

- Market Studies: Market studies are now being done after the site is picked (in-house); he believes this should be done prior to ensure the right site was picked for the project. In addition, the price has doubled to obtain the market study and a lot of firms completing the market studies are out of state. This is causing some conflict between the developer and the analyst. Would like some feedback to in order to improve the process.
- Community endorsement- Whether it is from the mayor or the city council, it involves politics. Another issue is zoning for the projects; in order to get the proper zoning one must either convince the zoning officer to change it or buy the property first and then try to get it zoned properly.
- Todd Little stated the reason why the Agency probably opted for in-house market studies is to not have the developer "buy" a favorable market study. However, he hasn't seen a project (maybe one or two) done the old way and gone under because the developer had an incorrect market study. Plus he agrees the cost of a market study is way too high.
 - Will Belton also stated the investor/ financial backer gets their own market study done as well to prior to committing to the project.

Commissioner Airhart- Understands the concern over the definition of rural; would like the Agency to use the federal definition of rural for tax credit purposes. Wants to encourage developers to use local builders and subcontractors. Also would like for developers to build where the projects are wanted.

Meeting adjourned