

From: [John Wall and Associates](#)
To: [QAP Comments](#)
Subject: Fwd: QAP Comment
Date: Monday, November 10, 2014 9:40:41 AM
Attachments: [jwa_ofc.vcf](#)

See below.

Thank you,

John Wall and Associates
P.O. Box 1169
Anderson, SC 29622
864-261-3147
864-226-5728 (fax)
www.johnwallandassociates.com

----- Original Message -----

Subject: QAP Comment

Date: Fri, 10 Oct 2014 10:55:50 -0400

From: John Wall and Associates <jwa_ofc@bellsouth.net>

To: Marjorianna Willman <mwillman@lhc.la.gov>

CC: Brenda Evans <bevans@lhc.la.gov>

Ms. Willman,

Regarding Appendix B – IV.A.(i) on pages B-7 and B-8 of the QAP, it would be helpful and beneficial to all parties (LHC, the applicant, and the market analyst), if this section required the developer to provide the name, address, and even map location of each item where a point is claimed (some applicants already do this). In past experience with this section, the applicant usually claims all ten points; in many cases, we have discovered that the claimed points end up not being justified, as the services really aren't there or don't qualify for some reason. There have also been cases where I, as the market analyst, have missed locating a point item while conducting my site visit even though I am very diligent about trying to locate all items.

As I mentioned, overall, this would benefit all parties. It would allow the market analyst to work quicker and more efficiently on the ground, it would ensure the applicant gets a fair assessment on the points they have claimed, and LHC would see a reduction in the number of challenge issues that stem from this section.

I hope you will give this serious consideration. Thank you.

Joe Burriss

--

John Wall and Associates
P.O. Box 1169
Anderson, SC 29622
864-261-3147
864-226-5728 (fax)
www.johnwallandassociates.com